UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

X QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from_____to____

Commission file number: 1-7182

MERRILL LYNCH & CO., INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware	13-2740599
(State or other jurisdiction of	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
incorporation or organization)	
Bank of America Corporate Center	
100 N. Tryon Street	
Charlotte, North Carolina	28255
(Address of principal executive offices)	(Zip Code)
(704) 3	86-5681
Registrant's telephone nu	umber, including area code
ate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by	Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

X YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

X YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer _____ Accelerated filer _____ Non-accelerated filer X_____ Smaller reporting company _____ (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

___ YES X___NO

As of the close of business on November 8, 2012, there were 1,000 shares of Common Stock outstanding, all of which were held by Bank of America Corporation.

The Registrant is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Bank of America Corporation and meets the conditions set forth in General Instructions H(1)(a) and (b) of Form 10-Q and is therefore filing this Form with the reduced disclosure format as permitted by Instruction H(2).

EX-32.1 EX-32.2 EX-101

QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2012 TABLE OF CONTENTS

Part I. Financial Information	
Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)	<u>2</u>
Condensed Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Earnings	<u>2</u>
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive (Loss) Income	4
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets	5
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	7
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	<u>8</u>
Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies	<u>8</u>
Note 2. Transactions with Bank of America	<u>17</u>
Note 3. Segment and Geographic Information	<u>18</u>
Note 4. Fair Value Disclosures	<u>20</u>
Note 5. Fair Value of Financial Instruments	<u>42</u>
Note 6. Derivatives	<u>43</u>
Note 7. Securities Financing Transactions	55
Note 8. Investment Securities	<u>56</u>
Note 9. Securitizations and Other Variable Interest Entities	<u>58</u>
Note 10. Loans, Notes and Mortgages	<u>65</u>
Note 11. Goodwill and Intangible Assets	<u>68</u>
	<u>69</u>
Note 12. Borrowings and Deposits	
Note 13. Stockholder's Equity and Earnings Per Share	<u>72</u>
Note 14. Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees	72
Note 15. Employee Benefit Plans	<u>84</u>
Note 16. Regulatory Requirements	85
Note 17. Sale of International Wealth Management Businesses	85
Note 18. Subsequent Event	<u>86</u>
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>87</u>
Introduction	87
Executive Overview	<u>89</u>
Results of Operations	<u>92</u>
Off-Balance Sheet Exposures	<u>96</u>
Funding and Liquidity	<u>102</u>
Credit Risk Management	<u>106</u>
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>110</u>
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	<u>110</u>
Part II. Other Information	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	<u>111</u>
Item 1A. Risk Factors	<u>111</u>
Item 6. Exhibits	<u>111</u>
Signatures	<u>112</u>
Exhibit Index	<u>E-1</u>
EX-12	
EX-31.1	
EX-31.2	

PART I - Financial Information

Item 1. <u>Financial Statements</u>

(Unaudited)

Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. and Subsidiaries Condensed Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Earnings (Unaudited)

	Three M	Three Months Ended			
(dollars in millions)	Septen	nber 30, 2012	September 30, 2011		
Revenues					
Principal transactions	\$	193	\$	2,781	
Commissions		1,209		1,441	
Managed account and other fee-based revenues		1,349		1,354	
Investment banking		1,262		1,016	
Earnings from equity method investments		21		70	
Intercompany service fee revenue from Bank of America		278		153	
Other revenues		243		(1,052)	
Other-than-temporary impairment losses on available-for-sale debt securities:					
Total other-than-temporary impairment losses		—		(12)	
Less: Portion of other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized in					
other comprehensive income		—		7	
Subtotal		4,555		5,758	
Interest and dividend revenues		1,694		2,314	
Less interest expense		1,732		2,202	
Net interest (expense) income		(38)		112	
Revenues, net of interest expense		4,517		5,870	
Non-interest expenses					
Compensation and benefits		3,429		3,638	
Communications and technology		351		432	
Occupancy and related depreciation		300		385	
Brokerage, clearing, and exchange fees		213		279	
Advertising and market development		112		122	
Professional fees		220		266	
Office supplies and postage		22		31	
Representations and warranties		60		17	
Intercompany service fee expense from Bank of America		356		561	
Other		445		529	
Total non-interest expenses		5,508		6,260	
Pre-tax loss		(991)		(390)	
Income tax provision (benefit)		191		(523)	
Net (loss) earnings	\$	(1,182)	\$	133	

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. and Subsidiaries Condensed Consolidated Statements of Loss (Unaudited)

(dollars in millions)	Nine M Septer	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011		
Revenues				
Principal transactions	\$	1,989	\$ 6,125	
Commissions		3,804	4,478	
Managed account and other fee-based revenues		4,035	3,976	
Investment banking		3,519	4,162	
Earnings from equity method investments		149	328	
Intercompany service fee revenue from Bank of America		650	555	
Other revenues		1,271	1,782	
Other-than-temporary impairment losses on available-for-sale debt securities:				
Total other-than-temporary impairment losses		(6)	(59)	
Less: Portion of other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized in				
other comprehensive income		_	10	
Subtotal		15,411	21,357	
Interest and dividend revenues		4,379	6,220	
Less interest expense		5,495	6,945	
Net interest expense	·	(1,116)	(725)	
Revenues, net of interest expense		14,295	20,632	
Non-interest expenses				
Compensation and benefits		11,511	12,146	
Communications and technology		1,180	1,338	
Occupancy and related depreciation		901	1,056	
Brokerage, clearing, and exchange fees		738	882	
Advertising and market development		349	358	
Professional fees		641	718	
Office supplies and postage		78	95	
Representations and warranties		(769)	2,736	
Intercompany service fee expense from Bank of America		1,288	1,793	
Other		1,068	1,742	
Total non-interest expenses		16,985	22,864	
Pre-tax loss		(2,690)	(2,232)	
Income tax benefit		(735)	(1,329)	
Net loss	\$	(1,955)	\$ (903)	

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. and Subsidiaries Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive (Loss) Income (Unaudited)

(dollars in millions)	 Months Ended mber 30, 2012	 1onths Ended nber 30, 2012	 onths Ended ber 30, 2011	 onths Ended Iber 30, 2011
Net (Loss) Earnings	\$ (1,182)	\$ (1,955)	\$ 133	\$ (903)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:				
Foreign currency translation adjustment	15	6	(27)	(25)
Net unrealized gains (losses) on investment securities available-for-sale	1	31	(15)	(3)
Net deferred (losses) gains on cash flow hedges	(2)	_	2	(5)
Defined benefit pension and postretirement plans	8	49	(1)	3
Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	 22	86	(41)	 (30)
Comprehensive (Loss) Income	\$ (1,160)	\$ (1,869)	\$ 92	\$ (933)

Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. and Subsidiaries Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited)

Condensed Consondated Balance Sneets (Unaudited)	September 30,		December 31,
(dollars in millions)	2012		2011
ASSETS			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 12,080	\$	13,731
Cash and securities segregated for regulatory purposes or deposited with clearing organizations	14,232		11,757
Securities financing transactions			
Receivables under resale agreements (includes \$99,587 in 2012 and \$85,652 in 2011 measured at fair value in accordance with the fair value option election)	150,407		143,491
Receivables under securities borrowed transactions (includes \$1,258 in 2012 and \$259 in 2011 measured at fair value in accordance with the fair value option election)	68,460		58,109
	218,867		201,600
Trading assets, at fair value (includes securities pledged as collateral that can be sold or repledged of \$39,988 in 2012 and \$34,932 in 2011):		_	
Derivative contracts	26,621		35,013
Equities and convertible debentures	37,150		25,619
Non-U.S. governments and agencies	38,805		30,239
Corporate debt and preferred stock	16,260		17,305
Mortgages, mortgage-backed, and asset-backed	9,969		8,254
U.S. Government and agencies	45,862		43,003
Municipals, money markets, physical commodities and other	11,724		13,044
	186,391		172,477
Investment securities (includes \$159 in 2012 and \$244 in 2011 measured at fair value in accordance with the fair value option election)	6,389		6,684
Securities received as collateral, at fair value	14,731		13,716
Receivables from Bank of America	54,095		50,972
Other receivables	0 1,000		50,972
Customers (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$9 in 2012 and \$15 in 2011)	17,877		20,512
Brokers and dealers	16,290		8,691
Interest and other	8,773		9,130
	42,940		38,333
Loans, notes, and mortgages (net of allowances for loan losses of \$69 in 2012 and \$72 in 2011) (includes \$2,680 in 2012 and \$2,322 in 2011 measured at fair value in accordance with the fair value option election)	19,564		20,574
Equipment and facilities, net	1,321		1,385
Goodwill and intangible assets	9,860		10,079
Other assets	14,909		15,155
Total Assets	\$ 595,379	\$	556,463
Assots of Consolidated VIEs Included in Total Assots Above (nl-dd			
Assets of Consolidated VIEs Included in Total Assets Above (pledged as collateral)	\$ 10,051	\$	8,800
Trading assets Investment securities	\$ 10,051	э	8,800 162
	41 21		94
Loans, notes, and mortgages (net) Other assets	785		3,022
	\$ 10,898	\$	12,078
Total Assets of Consolidated VIEs	φ 10,898	•	12,078
See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.			

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. and Subsidiaries Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited)

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited)			
(dollars in millions)	September 30, 2012	De	cember 31, 2011
LIABILITIES			
Securities financing transactions			
Payables under repurchase agreements (includes \$53,635 in 2012 and \$34,235 in 2011 measured at fair value in accordance with the fair value option election)	\$ 225,446	\$	173,767
Payables under securities loaned transactions	17,713		11,460
	243,159		185,227
Short-term borrowings (includes \$3,309 in 2012 and \$5,908 in 2011 measured at fair value in accordance with the fair value option election)	3,556		6,051
Deposits	12,529		12,364
Trading liabilities, at fair value			
Derivative contracts	22,571		26,239
Equities and convertible debentures	19,588		12,223
Non-U.S. governments and agencies	21,354		16,554
Corporate debt and preferred stock	9,193		7,084
U.S. Government and agencies	17,997		16,976
Municipals, money markets and other	528		645
	91,231		79,721
Obligation to return securities received as collateral, at fair value	14,731		13,716
Payables to Bank of America	12,063		31,779
Other payables			
Customers	46,751		40,153
Brokers and dealers	6,301		8,667
Interest and other (includes \$89 in 2012 and \$173 in 2011 measured at fair value in accordance with the fair value option election)	18,553		18,099
	71,605		66,919
Long-term borrowings (includes \$31,499 in 2012 and \$30,325 in 2011 measured at fair value in accordance with the fair value option election)	93,548		106,929
Junior subordinated notes (related to trust preferred securities)	3,804		3,789
Total Liabilities	546,226		506,495
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES			
STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY			
Common stock (par value \$1.33 ¹ / ₃ per share; authorized: 3,000,000,000 shares; issued: 2012 and 2011 — 1,000 shares)			_
Paid-in capital	43,021		41,967
Accumulated other comprehensive loss (net of tax)	(149)		(235)
Retained earnings	6,281		8,236
Total Stockholder's Equity	49,153		49,968
Total Liabilities and Stockholder's Equity	\$ 595,379	\$	556,463
Liabilities of Consolidated VIEs Included in Total Liabilities Above			
Short-term borrowings (includes \$142 in 2012 and \$0 in 2011 of non-recourse debt)	\$ 2,874	\$	4,939
Derivative contracts	15		4
Payables to Bank of America	1,184		
Other payables	172		186
Long-term borrowings (includes \$3,433 in 2012 and \$2,579 in 2011 of non-recourse debt)	7,423		6,595
Total Liabilities of Consolidated VIEs	\$ 11,668	\$	11,724

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. and Subsidiaries Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

Cash from operating activities: \$ (1,55) \$ (0,65) Net loss \$ (1,655) \$ (0,65) Adjustments in scenarile net loss is can provided by operating activities: """"""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""	(dollars in millions)		Ionths Ended	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011		
Naction \$ (1,85) \$ (09) Adjustments to researched hy operating activities: 2,33 Depreciation and amoritation 4,00 6,60 Share-based comparation expanse 1,288 1,57 Gain on repurchase of insperation expanse 4,00 6,00 Barnings from equity method investments (1,00) 1,288 Older 3,67 2,63 Other comparity assets and liabilities: 7,000 Taking assets (1,13) 4,09 Receivables from take of normation (1,13) 4,09 Receivables under result aspectments (1,13) 4,09 Receivables under result aspectments (1,13) 4,09 Receivables under result aspectments (1,0,11) (0,00 Cohner receivables (1,0,11) (0,01) (1,0,01) Receivables under researches autometaspeciel for take 7,61 3,02 Payables inder researches autometaspeciel for take 7,61 3,02 Payables inder researches autometaspeciel for take 7,61 3,02 <t< th=""><th></th><th>Septen</th><th>aber 30, 2012</th><th>September 30, 2011</th></t<>		Septen	aber 30, 2012	September 30, 2011		
Adjunctions on to be cash provided by operating activities:		¢	(1.055)	¢ (002)		
Papescatalians and warrantics (79) 2.73 Depreciation and amortization 440 56 Stanc-based comparem hornsongs (40) 66 Outgrast more sprachess of langetern hornsongs (40) (40) Defered tares (40) (40) (40) Defered tares (40) (40) (40) Chargs in operating assets and liabilitie: (41) (41) (41) Toding assets (13) (40) (41) Cala and scattrifties sergestrad for regulatory purposes or deposited with claring organizations (2473) (47) Receivables from Bark of America (10,13) (40) (7) Receivables from Bark of America (10,13) (40) (7) Receivables from Insens, nots, and mortgages held for sale (20) (242) (7) (2) <td< td=""><td></td><td>3</td><td>(1,955)</td><td>\$ (903)</td></td<>		3	(1,955)	\$ (903)		
Deprecision and amoritation44055Stree based compensation exprose1,091,77Gains on expressions of long-term betweenings(40)(40)Derend saces(40)(40)Changes in openting openting investion threements(10)(11,84)Changes in openting saces and labilities:(11,00)(11,84)Call and scentriles segregated for regulatory purposes or deposited with clearing organizations(2,43)(40)Receivables moder securities borrowed transactions(10,21)(10)Receivables moder securities borrowed transactions(10,21)(10)Receivables moder securities borrowed transactions(10,21)(10,21)Proceeds from tomas, notes, and mortgages held for sale(10,10)(10,21)Proceeds from tomas, notes, and mortgages held for sale(10,10)(10,22)Other changes in loons, notes, and mortgages held for sale(10,21)(10,22)Proceeds from tomas, notes, and mortgages held for sale(10,21)(10,22)Outer payables under exercities loand transactions(10,21)(10,22)Outer payables under exercities loand transactions(10,20)(10,42)Proceeds from tomasting activities(10,42)(10,42)Proceeds from tom payables(10,42)(10,42)Outer payables under exercities loand transactions(10,42)(10,42)Proceeds from tom payables(20,42)(10,42)Proceeds from tom payables(20,42)(10,42)Proceeds from tom payables(20,42)(10,42)Deren to			(7(0))	2 726		
Share-based compensation expense1.2981.57Gais on spurchases of negrent error borwings(46)(-		. ,	,		
Guis on repurchases of long-term borrowings(405)Defermal bases(36)(30Defermations from equity method investments(409)(32Oher307(2.2.4)Tanding strong equity method investments(31,09)(11,84)Cahan a scenifies segregated for regulatory purpoes or deposited with clearing organizations(2,475)(74)Receivables from Bank of America(3,013)409Receivables moder result agregatements(6,016)(57,42)Receivables moder result regurements(2,031)(600)Dotsome receivables(2,035)(10,00)Doceeds from tox, and mortgages held for sale(2,01)(2,02)Other changes in loons, notes, and mortgages held for sale(2,02)(2,02)Proceeds from tox, and mortgages held for sale(2,36)(1,630)Poundes in docume reservites berger ments(3,162)(1,66)Poundes in docume tox, and mortgages held for sale(2,36)(1,630)Docerds from tox, and mortgages held for sale(2,36)(1,64)Poundes in docume tox and backer specifies(2,360)(1,64)Docerds from tox, and mortgages held for sale(2,36)(1,64)Docerds from tox and mortgages held for sale(2,20)(8,14)<	-					
Deferred taxes (36) (20) Emings from equity method investments (140) (22) Ohner 367 2.4.38 Changer in operating asets and liabilities: """"""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""				1,578		
Earnings from equity method investments (149) (22 Other 367 2.43 Changes inspecting seets and liabilities: 1 1.44 Cash mad securities segregated for regulatory purposes or deposited with clearing organizations (2,475) (7.44) Receivables from Bank of America (3,123) 4.499 Receivables inder researings operations (0,631) (00 Cast and securities agregated for regulatory purposes or deposited with clearing organizations (0,631) (00 Cast and researings operations (0,631) (00 (0,031) (00 Castorer receivables 2,653 (1,18) (1,632) (1,632) Other changes in hums, notes, and mortgages held for sale (0,2) (2,42) (2,42) Trading liabilities 11,407 (7,22) (6,42) Payables under securities tomed transactions 6,453 (3,43) (1,64) Castorer spukles 5,659 (7,82) (7,82) Other, net 5,269 (7,92) (1,64) Other, net 5,269 (7,92) (1,64) <			. ,	_		
Other 567 2,43 Changes in openating assets and liabilities:				(204)		
Changes in operating assets and liabilities: Tanding assets: (15,109) (14,109) <l< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>(328)</td></l<>				(328)		
Trading sector (13,109) 11.84 Cash and securities segregated for regulatory purposes or deposited with clearing organizations (2,475) (74 Receivables from Bank of America (3,123) 499 Receivables inter seale agreements (6,916) (57,42) Receivables inter seale agreements (6,916) (67,42) Customer receivables (7,601) 6.01 Proceeds from Joans, notes, and morgages held for sale (7,601) 6.01 Other changes in loans, notes, and morgages held for sale (9,2) (2,42 Tading labilities 11,407 (7,22 Payables under repurchase agreements 51,679 19,464 Payables under sequities loand interassections 6,533 (3,65 Other changes activities loand transmissions 6,235 (4,65 Payables under sequities loand activities 5,269 (7,93) Castomer payables 6,518 3,38 Brokers and dealers payables 6,61 1,44 Sale of office horesite securities 2,2 3,67 Proceeds from (payments for): 7 2,23 <			367	2,435		
Cash and securities segregated for regulatory purposes or deposited with clearing organizations (2,475) (74 Receivables inter seals agreements (1,123) 4.99 Receivables under seals agreements (6,016) (7,472) Receivables under seals agreements (10,351) (09 Customer receivables (7,601) (6,010) Proceeds from loans, notes, and mortgages held for sale 761 3.92 Other changes in loans, notes, and mortgages held for sale (92) (2,42) Payables under repurchase agreements 51,679 19,44 Payables under securities loaned transactions 6,635 (46) Payables under securities loaned transactions 6,638 3.38 Brokers and dealers payables 6,598 3.38 Brokers and dealers payables 6,598 3.38 Brokers and dealers payables 17,644 6,289 Cash provided by openting activities 17,644 6,289 Payables under securities 6,61 1,44 Sales of available-for-sale securities 6,61 1,44 Sales of available-for-sale securities <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>						
Receivables from Bank of America (3,123) 4.99 Receivables under reale agreements (6,016) (7.742 Receivables under securities borrowed transactions (10,351) (00 Customer receivables 2,635 (1.18) Brokers and dealers receivables (7,61) 6.10 Proceeds from lons, notes, and mortgages held for sale (92) (2,42) Other changes in loans, notes, and mortgages held for sale (92) (2,42) Triding liabilities (11,407) (7,12) Phyables under securities loaned transactions 6,253 (45) Payables under securities loaned transactions 6,253 (45) Customer payables 6,598 3.38 Brokers and dealers payables (2,266) (1,68) Other, net 5,269 (7,93) Cash from from investing activities 22 3.87 Proceeds from (payments for): - 22 Maturities of available-for-sale securities 661 1.44 Sales of available-for-sale securities - 25 Sale of office building	-			11,846		
Receivables under seale agreements (6,916) (7,742 Receivables under securities borowed transactions (10,351) (00 Customer receivables (7,601) 6,10 Proceeds from loans, notes, and mortgages held for sale 761 3.22 Other changes in loans, notes, and mortgages held for sale (92) (2,42 Trading liabilities 11,407 (7,12) Payables under regurchase agreements 51,679 19,46 Payables under regurchase agreements (9,716) 10,56 Customer payables 6,253 (45 Payables under securities loaned transactions 6,253 (45 Other, et al (9,716) 10,56 10,67 Customer payables 6,269 (7,93 Brokers and dealers payables (2,366) (1,68 Other, et al 2,269 (7,93 Cash provided by operating activities 17,644 6,28 Proceeds from (payments for): 41 414 Sales of available-for-sale securities 21 - Sale and maturities of held-to-muturity securities <td>Cash and securities segregated for regulatory purposes or deposited with clearing organizations</td> <td></td> <td>(2,475)</td> <td>(748)</td>	Cash and securities segregated for regulatory purposes or deposited with clearing organizations		(2,475)	(748)		
Receivables under securities borrowed transactions (10.351) (90 Custome receivables 2,635 (1,18) Brokers and dealers receivables (7,601) 6,10 Proceeds from loans, notes, and mortgages held for sale (92) (2,42) Tranding liabilities (11,407) (7,02) Payables under repurchase agreements 51,679 19,46 Payables under securities loaned transactions 6,253 (45) Payables under securities loaned transactions 6,253 (45) Payables under securities loaned transactions 6,258 3,388 Brokers and dealers payables (2,366) (1,68) Other, net 5,269 (7,93) Cash provided by operating activities 17,64 6,28 Proceeds from (payments for): 7 661 1,44 Sales of available-for-sale securities 661 1,44 Sales of available-for-sale securities 621 Sale of office building 221 Loans, notes, and mortgages held for investiment Q41 2,11 Other	Receivables from Bank of America		(3,123)	4,997		
Castomer receivables 2,635 (1,18) Brokers and dealers receivables (7,601) 6,10 Proceeds from lonas, notes, and mortgages held for sale (92) (2,42) Tarding liabilities 11,407 (7,12) Payables under repurchase agreements 51,679 19,46 Payables under repurchase agreements 62,533 (45) Payables to Bank of America (19,716) 10,56 Castomer payables 6,598 3,38 Brokers and dealers payables 6,598 3,38 Brokers and dealers payables 2,360 (7,93) Cash provided by operating activities 17,644 6,228 Cash more struits of available-for-sale securities 22 3,87 Proceeds from javaments for): Turding activities - 225 Maturities of available-for-sale securities 661 1,44 Sales of available-for-sale securities 221 - Proceeds from (paynents for): - 225 Sale of office building 221 - Equipriment and failbiles, net - <td>Receivables under resale agreements</td> <td></td> <td>(6,916)</td> <td>(37,421)</td>	Receivables under resale agreements		(6,916)	(37,421)		
Brokers and dealers receivables (7,601) 6.10 Proceeds from loans, notes, and mortgages held for sale (92) (2,42) Other changes in loans, notes, and mortgages held for sale (92) (2,42) Trading liabilities 11,407 (7,12) Payables under repurchase agreements 51,679 19,46 Payables under repurchase agreements 6,253 (45) Payables under securities loaned transactions 6,253 (45) Customer payables 6,598 3,38 Brokers and dealers payables (2,366) (1,68) Other, net 52,669 (7,93) Cash provided by operating activities 72 3,87 Proceeds from (nayments for): 17,644 6,28 Maturities of available-for-sale securities 22 3,87 Parchases of available-for-sale securities 22 3,87 Sales of available-for-sale securities 22 3,87 Sale of office building 221 - Equipment and facilities, net (222) (8 Cash provided by investing activities	Receivables under securities borrowed transactions		(10,351)	(904)		
Proceeds from loans, notes, and mortgages held for sale 761 3.92 Other changes in loans, notes, and mortgages held for sale (02) (2.42 Trading liabilities 11,407 (7.12 Payables under repurchase agreements 51,679 19,46 Payables under repurchase agreements (19,716) 10,65 Payables under repurchase agreements (2,366) (1,68 Payables to Bank of America (2,366) (1,68 Other, net 5,269 (7,93 Cash nor form investing activities 5,269 (7,93 Cash nor form investing activities 661 1,44 Sales of available-for-sale securities 661 1,44 Sales of available-for-sale securities (843) (1,43 Sales of available-for-sale securities (843) (1,43 Sale of fife building 221 - Equipment and facilities, net (222) (8 Loans, notes, and mortgages held for investment 491 2,11 Other investments 1,185 11,46 Cash provided by investing activities <	Customer receivables		2,635	(1,180)		
Other changes in lons, notes, and morgages held for sale (92) (2.42) Trading liabilities 11,407 (7,12) Payables under repurchase agreements 51,679 19,46 Payables under securities loaned transactions 62,253 (45 Payables to Bank of America (19,716) 10,56 Customer payables 62,369 (1,88 Brokers and dealers payables (2,366) (1,68 Other, net 52,69 (7,93 Cash provided by operating activities 17,644 62.88 Proceeds from (nayments for): Maturities of ravialbale-for-sale securities 661 1.44 Sales of available-for-sale securities 61 1.44 Sales of available-for-sale securities 61 1.44 Sales of available-for-sale securities 61 1.44 Sales of available-for-sale securities 22 3.87 Proceeds from (payments for): 21 - Equipment and facilities, net (222) (& Loans, notes, and morgages held for investment 491 2,11 Other investi	Brokers and dealers receivables		(7,601)	6,106		
Trading liabilities 11,407 (7,12 Payables under repurchase agreements 51,679 19,46 Payables under rescurities loaned transactions 6,253 (45 Payables under securities loaned transactions 6,253 (45 Payables under securities loaned transactions 6,253 (45 Other, net (19,716) (10,66 Cash provided by operating activities 7,644 6,288 Cash now from investing activities 17,644 6,288 Cash now from investing activities 17,644 6,288 Proceeds from (payments for): 11,407 1,448 Sales of available-for-sale securities 661 1,444 Sales of available-for-sale securities 22 3,87 Purchases of vailable-for-sale securities - 225 Sale of office building 221 - Loans, notes, and mortigges held for investment (222) (68 Cash provided by investing activities 1,185 11,64 Cash now from financing activities 1,185 11,64 Cash now from finanecing activities<	Proceeds from loans, notes, and mortgages held for sale		761	3,928		
Payables under repurchase agreements 51,679 19,466 Payables under securities loaned transactions 6,253 (45 Payables to Bank of America (19,716) 10,566 Customer payables 6,598 33.38 Brokers and dealers payables (2,366) (1,688 Other, net 5,269 (7,933 Cash provided by operating activities 17,644 6,228 Proceeds from (payments for): 7 7 Maturities of available-for-sale securities 6,61 1,444 Sales of available-for-sale securities 22 3,87 Purchases of available-for-sale securities - 25 Sale of office building 221 - Equipment and facilities, net (222) (0.8 Loans, notes, and mortgages held for investment 491 2,11 Other investing activities 11,85 11,464 Cash provided by investing activities 11,85 11,46 Cash provided by investing activities 11,85 11,46 Cash provided by investing activities 11,85 11,	Other changes in loans, notes, and mortgages held for sale		(92)	(2,428)		
Payables under securities loaned transactions $6,253$ (45) Payables to Bank of America $(19,716)$ 10.56 Customer payables $6,598$ $3,38$ Brokers and dealers payables $(2,366)$ (1.68) Other, net $5,269$ (7.93) Cash provided by operating activities $17,644$ 6.28 Proceeds from (payments for): $17,644$ 6.28 Maturities of available-for-sale securities 661 $1,44$ Sales of available-for-sale securities 661 $1,44$ Sales of available-for-sale securities (843) $(1,43)$ Sales of available-for-sale securities (22) $3,87$ Purchases of available-for-sale securities (22) (843) Sale of office building 221 $-$ Equipment and facilities, net (222) (8) Cash provided by investing activities 855 $5,48$ Cash provided by investing activities $1,165$ $11,44$ Isuance and resale of long-term borrowings $2,650$ $7,650$ Settlement and repurchases of long-term borrowings $2,650$ $7,650$ Settlement and repurchases of long-term borrowings $2,650$ $7,650$ Derivative financing activities 103 33 Cash used for functing activities 205 $(22,61)$ Derivative financing activities 205 $(22,61)$ Derivative financing activities 205 $(22,61)$ Derivative financing activities $(23,61)$ $(24,108)$ Derivative financing activ	Trading liabilities		11,407	(7,129)		
Payables to Bank of America (19,716) 10,56 Customer payables 6,598 3,38 Brokers and dealers payables (2,366) (1,68 Other, net 5,269 (7,93 Cash provided by operaing activities 17,64 6,28 Proceeds from (payments for): 17,64 6,28 Maturities of available-for-sale securities 661 1,44 Sales of available-for-sale securities 661 1,44 Sales of available-for-sale securities (843) (1,43) Sales and maturities of held-to-maturity securities - 22 Sale of office building 221 - Equipment and facilities, net (222) (8 Loans, notes, and mortgages held for investment 491 2,11 Other investments 855 5,48 Cash flows from (payments for): - 25 Sule of office building 211 - Equipment and facilities, net (222) (8 Cash provided by investing activities 1,185 11,64 Sule of offine fu	Payables under repurchase agreements		51,679	19,462		
Customer payables 6,598 3,38 Brokers and dealers payables (2,366) (1,68 Other, net 5,269 (7,93 Cash provided by operating activities 17,644 6,28 Cash flows from investing activities: 7 6 Proceeds from (payments for): 661 1,44 Sales of available-for-sale securities 661 1,44 Sales of available-for-sale securities 22 3,87 Purchases of available-for-sale securities 22 3,87 Sales of office building 221 - Equipment and facilities, net (222) (8 Cash provided by investing activities 1,185 11,46 Cash provided by investing activities 1,185 11,46 Cash provided by investing activities 1,185 11,46 Cash flows from financing activities 1,185 11,46 Cash flows from infancing activities 1,185 11,46 Cash flows from infancing activities 1,65 6,54 Proceeds from (payments for): 165 6,54	Payables under securities loaned transactions		6,253	(456)		
Brokers and dealers payables $(2,366)$ (1.68) Other, net $5,269$ $(7,93)$ Cash provided by operating activities $17,644$ $6,28$ Cash flows from investing activities: $17,644$ $6,28$ Proceeds from (payments for):Maturities of available-for-sale securities 661 $1,44$ Sales of available-for-sale securities 22 $3,87$ Purchases of available-for-sale securities 843 $(1,43)$ Sales of available-for-sale securities $(22,2)$ (8) Sale of office building 221 $$ Equipment and facilities, net (222) (8) Loans, notes, and mortgages held for investment 491 $2,111$ Other investments 855 $5,48$ Cash provided by investing activities $1,185$ $11,64$ Cash flows from financing activities: $11,85$ $11,64$ Proceeds from (payments for): $(2,495)$ $(8,14)$ Short-term borrowings $(2,495)$ $(8,14)$ Issuarce and resale of long-term borrowings $(2,495)$ $(8,14)$ Issuarce and resale of long-term borrowings $(24,108)$ $(20,66)$ Deposits 165 (54) 103 3 Cash used for financing activities 103 3 Cash used for financing activities 103 3 Cash flow from financing activities $(26,663)$ $(26,66)$ Derivative financing activities $(20,663)$ $(24,108)$ $(20,66)$ Deposits 105 $(24,108)$ $(20,66)$ <td>Payables to Bank of America</td> <td></td> <td>(19,716)</td> <td>10,566</td>	Payables to Bank of America		(19,716)	10,566		
Brokers and dealers payables $(2,366)$ (1.68) Other, net $5,269$ $(7,93)$ Cash provided by operating activities $17,644$ $6,28$ Cash flows from investing activities: $17,644$ $6,28$ Proceeds from (payments for):Maturities of available-for-sale securities 661 $1,44$ Sales of available-for-sale securities 22 $3,87$ Purchases of available-for-sale securities 843 $(1,43)$ Sales of available-for-sale securities $(22,2)$ (8) Sale of office building 221 $$ Equipment and facilities, net (222) (8) Loans, notes, and mortgages held for investment 491 $2,111$ Other investments 855 $5,48$ Cash provided by investing activities $1,185$ $11,64$ Cash flows from financing activities: $11,85$ $11,64$ Proceeds from (payments for): $(2,495)$ $(8,14)$ Short-term borrowings $(2,495)$ $(8,14)$ Issuarce and resale of long-term borrowings $(2,495)$ $(8,14)$ Issuarce and resale of long-term borrowings $(24,108)$ $(20,66)$ Deposits 165 (54) 103 3 Cash used for financing activities 103 3 Cash used for financing activities 103 3 Cash flow from financing activities $(26,663)$ $(26,66)$ Derivative financing activities $(20,663)$ $(24,108)$ $(20,66)$ Deposits 105 $(24,108)$ $(20,66)$ <td>Customer payables</td> <td></td> <td>6,598</td> <td>3,385</td>	Customer payables		6,598	3,385		
Interest and relation parameters 5,269 (7,53) Cash provided by operating activities 17,644 6,28 Cash flows from investing activities: 7 6,61 1,44 Sales of available-for-sale securities 6,61 1,44 Sales of available-for-sale securities 2,23,87 9 Purchases of available-for-sale securities (843) (1,43) Sales of available-for-sale securities - 25 Sale of office building 2,21 - Equipment and facilities, net (222) (8 Loans, notes, and mortgages held for investment 491 2,11 Other investments 85 5,48 Cash provided by investing activities 1,185 11,64 Cash norongings 2,650 7,653 Stort-term borrowings 5,650 7,655 Settlement and reparchases of long-term borrowings 5,650 7,655 Settlement and reparchases of long-term borrowings 5,650 7,655 Settlement and reparchases of long-term borrowings 2,641 3 Orseins <			(2,366)	(1,684)		
Cash provided by operating activities17,6446,28Cash flows from investing activities:Proceeds from (payments for):44Maturities of available-for-sale securities6611,44Sales of available-for-sale securities223,87Purchases of available-for-sale securities(843)(1,43)Sales and maturities of held-to-maturity securities-25Sale of office building221-Equipment and facilities, net(222)(8Loans, notes, and mortgages held for investment4912,11Other investments8555,48Cash provided by investing activities1,18511,64Cash flows from financing activities1,18511,64Cash provided by investing activities5,6507,65Short-term borrowings(2,495)(8,14Issuance and resale of long-term borrowings(2,495)(8,14Issuance and resale of long-term borrowings165(54Derivative financing activities10333Cash used for financing activities10333Cash used for financing activities205(22Derivative financing activities205(22						
Cash flows from investing activities:Proceeds from (payments for):Maturities of available-for-sale securitiesSales of available-for-sale securitiesPurchases of available-for-sale securitiesSales and maturities of held-to-maturity securitiesSale of office buildingCash mortgages held for investmentQuipment and facilities, netLoans, notes, and mortgages held for investmentQuipment and facilities interCash provided by investing activitiesProceeds from financing activitiesShort-term borrowings(24,108)Cash used for financing activitiesShort-term borrowings(24,108)Cash used for financing activitiesProceeds from (payments for):Short-term borrowingsShort-term borrowings(24,108)(24,018)(24,018)(24,018)(24,018)(24,018)(24,018)(24,018)(24,018)(24,025)(24,025)(24,025)(24,025)(24,025)(24,025)(24,025)(24,025)(24,025)(24,025)(24,025)(24,025)(24,025)(24,025)(24,025)(24,025)(24,025)(24,025) <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
Proceeds from (payments for):Maturities of available-for-sale securities6611,44Sales of available-for-sale securities223,87Purchases of available-for-sale securities(843)(1,43Sales and maturities of held-to-maturity securities-25Sale of office building221-Equipment and facilities, net(222)(8Loans, notes, and mortgages held for investment4912,11Other investments8555,48Cash provided by investing activities1,18511,44Proceeds from (payments for):1,18511,44Short-term borrowings(2,495)(8,14Issuance and resule of long-term borrowings5,6507,65Settlement and repurchases of long-term borrowings(2,495)(8,14Deposits10333Cash used for financing activities10333Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents205(22,61)Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents205(22,61)Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period13,73117,22			17,044	0,200		
Maturities of available-for-sale securities 661 $1,44$ Sales of available-for-sale securities 22 $3,87$ Purchases of available-for-sale securities (843) $(1,43)$ Sales and maturities of held-to-maturity securities $ 25$ Sale of office building 221 $-$ Equipment and facilities, net (222) (8) Loans, notes, and mortgages held for investment 491 $2,111$ Other investments 855 $5,48$ Cash provided by investing activities $1,185$ $11,64$ Cash from (payments for): $1,185$ $11,64$ Short-term borrowings $2,650$ $7,65$ Settlement and regurchases of long-term borrowings $2,650$ $7,65$ Settlement and regurchases of long-term borrowings 103 3 Cash used for financing activities 103 3 Deposits 105 $(24,108)$ $(20,645)$ Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents 205 $(22,05)$ Decrease in cash and cash equivalents 205 $(22,05)$ Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period $13,731$ $17,22$	-					
Sales of available-for-sale securities223.87Purchases of available-for-sale securities (843) $(1,43)$ Sales and maturities of held-to-maturity securities $-$ 225Sale of office building221 $-$ Equipment and facilities, net (222) (8) Loans, notes, and mortgages held for investment 491 $2,11$ Other investments 855 $5,48$ Cash provided by investing activities $1,185$ $11,64$ Cash from (payments for): $(2,495)$ $(8,14)$ Short-term borrowings $(2,495)$ $(8,14)$ Issuance and resale of long-term borrowings $(2,408)$ $(20,66)$ Deposits 165 (54) (56) Derivative financing activities 103 3 Cash used for financing activities $(20,685)$ $(21,61)$ Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents 205 (22) Decrease in cash and cash equivalents 205 (22) Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period $13,731$ $17,22$			((1	1.442		
Purchases of available-for-sale securities (843) $(1,43)$ Sales and maturities of held-to-maturity securities $ 25$ Sale of office building 211 $-$ Equipment and facilities, net (222) (8) Loans, notes, and mortgages held for investment 491 $2,11$ Other investments 855 $5,48$ Cash provided by investing activities $1,185$ $11,64$ Cash flows from financing activities: $1,185$ $11,64$ Proceeds from (payments for): $(2,495)$ $(8,14)$ Short-term borrowings $(2,495)$ $(8,14)$ Issuance and resale of long-term borrowings $(24,108)$ $(20,61)$ Deposits 165 (54) Derivative financing activities 103 33 Cash used for financing activities 205 $(22,61)$ Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents 205 $(22,61)$ Decrease in cash and cash equivalents 205 $(22,61)$ Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period $13,731$ $17,22$						
Sales and mutrities of held-to-maturity securities $-$ 25Sale of office building221 $-$ Equipment and facilities, net(222)(8Loans, notes, and mortgages held for investment4912,11Other investments8555,48Cash provided by investing activities1,18511,64Cash flows from financing activities:1,18511,64Proceeds from (payments for):(2,495)(8,14Issuance and resale of long-term borrowings(2,4108)(20,61Deposits165(54Derivative financing activities1033Cash used for financing activities1033Cash used for financing activities(20,685)(21,61)Derivative financing activities205(22,625)Derivative financing activities205(22,625)Cash used for financing activities205(22,625)Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents205(22,625)Decrease in cash and cash equivalents(1,651)(3,91)Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period13,73117,22				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Sale of office building221-Equipment and facilities, net(222)(8Loans, notes, and mortgages held for investment4912,11Other investments 855 $5,48$ Cash provided by investing activities1,18511,64Cash flows from financing activities:1,18511,64Proceeds from (payments for):(2,495)(8,14Issuance and resale of long-term borrowings $5,650$ 7,65Settlement and repurchases of long-term borrowings(24,108)(20,61Deposits165(54Derivative financing activities1033Cash used for financing activities(20,685)(21,61Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents205(22Decrease in cash and cash equivalents(1,651)(3,91Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period13,73117,22			(843)	(1,430)		
Equipment and facilities, net (222) $(8$ Loans, notes, and mortgages held for investment 491 $2,11$ Other investments 855 $5,48$ Cash provided by investing activities $1,185$ $11,64$ Cash fows from financing activities: $1,185$ $11,64$ Proceeds from (payments for): $(2,495)$ $(8,14)$ Issuance and resale of long-term borrowings $(2,495)$ $(8,14)$ Issuance and resale of long-term borrowings $(2,408)$ $(20,61)$ Deposits 165 654 Derivative financing activities 103 33 Cash used for financing activities $(20,685)$ $(21,61)$ Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents 205 $(22,295)$ Decrease in cash and cash equivalents $(1,651)$ $(3,91)$ Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period $13,731$ $17,22$	-		_	250		
LitLitLitLoans, notes, and mortgages held for investment4912,11Other investments8555,48Cash provided by investing activities1,18511,64Cash flows from financing activities:11Proceeds from (payments for):568,14Short-term borrowings(2,495)(8,14Issuance and resale of long-term borrowings5,6507,65Settlement and repurchases of long-term borrowings(24,108)(20,61Deposits165(54Derivative financing activities1033Cash used for financing activities1033Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents205(22Decrease in cash and cash equivalents(1,651)(3,91Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period13,73117,22	-			_		
Other investments8555,48Cash provided by investing activities1,18511,64Cash flows from financing activities:111,8511,64Proceeds from (payments for):2,495)(8,14Short-term borrowings(2,495)(8,14Issuance and resale of long-term borrowings5,6507,65Settlement and repurchases of long-term borrowings(24,108)(20,61Deposits165(54Derivative financing activities1033Cash used for financing activities205(21,61)Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents205(22Decrease in cash and cash equivalents(1,651)(3,91)Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period13,73117,22				(89)		
Cash provided by investing activities1,18511,64Cash flows from financing activities:111,64Proceeds from (payments for):Short-term borrowings(2,495)(8,14Issuance and resale of long-term borrowings5,6507,65Settlement and repurchases of long-term borrowings(24,108)(20,61Deposits165(54Derivative financing transactions10333Cash used for financing activities(20,685)(21,61)Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents205(22Decrease in cash and cash equivalents(1,651)(3,91)Cash used for privative, beginning of period13,73117,22	Loans, notes, and mortgages held for investment		491	2,111		
Cash flows from financing activities: Proceeds from (payments for):(2,495)(8,14Short-term borrowings(2,495)(8,14Issuance and resale of long-term borrowings5,6507,65Settlement and repurchases of long-term borrowings(24,108)(20,61Deposits165(54Derivative financing transactions10333Cash used for financing activities(20,685)(21,61)Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents205(22Decrease in cash and cash equivalents(1,651)(3,91)Cash used equivalents, beginning of period13,73117,22	Other investments		855	5,480		
Proceeds from (payments for):Short-term borrowings(2,495)(8,14Issuance and resale of long-term borrowings5,6507,65Settlement and repurchases of long-term borrowings(24,108)(20,61Deposits165(54Derivative financing transactions1033Cash used for financing activities(20,685)(21,61)Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents205(22Decrease in cash and cash equivalents(1,651)(3,91)Cash used equivalents, beginning of period13,73117,22	Cash provided by investing activities		1,185	11,640		
Short-term borrowings(2,495)(8,14Issuance and resale of long-term borrowings5,6507,65Settlement and repurchases of long-term borrowings(24,108)(20,61Deposits165(54Derivative financing transactions1033Cash used for financing activities(20,685)(21,61Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents205(22Decrease in cash and cash equivalents(1,651)(3,91Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period13,73117,22	Cash flows from financing activities:					
Issuance and resale of long-term borrowings5,6507,65Settlement and repurchases of long-term borrowings(24,108)(20,61Deposits165(54Derivative financing transactions1033Cash used for financing activities(20,685)(21,61Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents205(22Decrease in cash and cash equivalents(1,651)(3,91Cash used equivalents, beginning of period13,73117,22	Proceeds from (payments for):					
Settlement and repurchases of long-term borrowings(24,108)(20,61Deposits165(54Derivative financing transactions1033Cash used for financing activities(20,685)(21,61Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents205(22Decrease in cash and cash equivalents(1,651)(3,91Cash used equivalents, beginning of period13,73117,22	Short-term borrowings		(2,495)	(8,144)		
Deposits165(54Derivative financing transactions1033Cash used for financing activities(20,685)(21,61Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents205(22Decrease in cash and cash equivalents(1,651)(3,91Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period13,73117,22	Issuance and resale of long-term borrowings		5,650	7,657		
Derivative financing transactions1033Cash used for financing activities(20,685)(21,61)Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents205(22Decrease in cash and cash equivalents(1,651)(3,91)Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period13,73117,22	Settlement and repurchases of long-term borrowings		(24,108)	(20,610)		
Cash used for financing activities(20,685)(21,61)Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents205(22Decrease in cash and cash equivalents(1,651)(3,91)Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period13,73117,22	Deposits		165	(549)		
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents205(22Decrease in cash and cash equivalents(1,651)(3,91Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period13,73117,22	Derivative financing transactions	_	103	30		
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents(1,651)(3,91Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period13,73117,22	Cash used for financing activities		(20,685)	(21,616)		
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period 13,731 17,22	Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents		205	(229)		
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period 13,731 17,22	Decrease in cash and cash equivalents		(1,651)	(3,919)		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			13,731	17,220		
	Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$	12,080	\$ 13,301		

Non-cash financing activities:

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, Merrill Lynch received a non-cash capital contribution of approximately \$1.1 billion from Bank of America associated with certain employee stock awards.

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) September 30, 2012

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Description of Business

Merrill Lynch & Co. Inc. ("ML & Co." and, together with its subsidiaries "Merrill Lynch"), provides investment, financing and other related services to individuals and institutions on a global basis through its broker, dealer, banking and other financial services subsidiaries. On January 1, 2009, ML & Co. was acquired by Bank of America Corporation ("Bank of America") in exchange for common and preferred stock with a value of \$29.1 billion. ML & Co. is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Bank of America.

Basis of Presentation

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of Merrill Lynch. The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are presented in accordance with U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("U.S. GAAP"). Intercompany transactions and balances within Merrill Lynch have been eliminated. Transactions and balances with Bank of America have not been eliminated. The interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are unaudited; however, all adjustments for a fair presentation of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements have been included.

These unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with the audited Consolidated Financial Statements included in Merrill Lynch's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 (the "2011 Annual Report"). The nature of Merrill Lynch's business is such that the results of any interim period are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year. Certain prior-period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

Consolidation Accounting

Merrill Lynch determines whether it is required to consolidate an entity by first evaluating whether the entity qualifies as a voting rights entity ("VRE") or as a variable interest entity ("VIE").

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of Merrill Lynch, whose subsidiaries are generally controlled through a majority voting interest or a controlling financial interest. On January 1, 2010, Merrill Lynch adopted accounting guidance on consolidation of VIEs, which has been deferred for certain investment funds managed on behalf of third parties if Merrill Lynch does not have an obligation to fund losses that could potentially be significant to these funds. Any funds meeting the deferral requirements will continue to be evaluated for consolidation in accordance with the prior guidance.

VREs - VREs are defined to include entities that have both equity at risk that is sufficient to fund future operations and have equity investors that have a controlling financial interest in the entity through their equity investments. In accordance with Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 810, *Consolidation* ("Consolidation Accounting"), Merrill Lynch generally consolidates those VREs where it has the majority of the voting rights. For investments in limited partnerships and certain limited liability corporations that Merrill Lynch does not control, Merrill Lynch applies ASC 323, *Investments - Equity Method and Joint Ventures* ("Equity Method Accounting"), which requires use of the equity method of accounting for investors that have more than a minor influence, which is typically defined as an investment of greater than 3% to 5% of the outstanding equity in the entity. For more traditional corporate structures, in accordance with Equity Method Accounting, Merrill Lynch applies the equity method of accounting where it has the ability to exercise significant influence over the operating and financing decisions of the investee. Significant influence can be evidenced by a significant ownership interest (which is generally defined as a voting interest of 20% to 50%), significant board of director representation, or other contracts and arrangements.



VIEs - Those entities that do not meet the VRE criteria are generally analyzed for consolidation as VIEs. A VIE is an entity that lacks equity investors or whose equity investors do not have a controlling financial interest in the entity through their equity investments. Merrill Lynch consolidates those VIEs for which it is the primary beneficiary. In accordance with Consolidation Accounting guidance, Merrill Lynch is considered the primary beneficiary when it has a controlling financial interest in a VIE. Merrill Lynch has a controlling financial interest when it has both the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance and an obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the VIE. Merrill Lynch has acquired or divested the power to direct the activities of the VIE through changes in governing documents or other circumstances. The reassessment also considers whether Merrill Lynch has acquired or disposed of a financial interest that could be significant to the VIE, or whether an interest in the VIE has become significant or is no longer significant. The consolidation status of the VIEs with which Merrill Lynch is involved may change as a result of such reassessments.

Securitization Activities

In the normal course of business, Merrill Lynch has securitized commercial and residential mortgage loans; municipal, government, and corporate bonds; and other types of financial assets. Merrill Lynch may retain interests in the securitized financial assets by holding notes or other debt instruments issued by the securitization vehicle. In accordance with ASC 860, *Transfers and Servicing* ("Financial Transfers and Servicing Accounting"), Merrill Lynch recognizes transfers of financial assets where it relinquishes control as sales to the extent of cash and any other proceeds received.

Revenue Recognition

Principal transactions revenue includes both realized and unrealized gains and losses on trading assets and trading liabilities, investment securities classified as trading investments and fair value changes associated with certain structured debt. These instruments are recorded at fair value. Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. Gains and losses on sales are recognized on a trade date basis.

Commissions revenues include commissions, mutual fund distribution fees and contingent deferred sales charge revenue, which are all accrued as earned. Commissions revenues also include mutual fund redemption fees, which are recognized at the time of redemption. Commissions revenues earned from certain customer equity transactions are recorded net of related brokerage, clearing and exchange fees.

Managed account and other fee-based revenues primarily consist of asset-priced portfolio service fees earned from the administration of separately managed accounts and other investment accounts for retail investors, annual account fees, and certain other account-related fees.

Investment banking revenues include fees for the underwriting and distribution of debt, equity and loan products and fees for advisory services and tailored risk management solutions, which are accrued when services for the transactions are substantially completed. Underwriting revenues are presented net of transaction-related expenses.

Earnings from equity method investments include Merrill Lynch's pro rata share of income and losses associated with investments accounted for under the equity method of accounting.

Other revenues include gains (losses) on investment securities, including sales of available-for-sale securities, gains (losses) on private equity investments and other principal investments and gains (losses) on loans and other miscellaneous items.

Contractual interest received and paid, and dividends received on trading assets and trading liabilities, excluding derivatives, are recognized on an accrual basis as a component of interest and dividend revenues and interest expense. Interest and dividends on investment securities are recognized on an accrual basis as a component of

interest and dividend revenues. Interest related to loans, notes, and mortgages, securities financing activities and certain short- and long-term borrowings are recorded on an accrual basis as interest revenue or interest expense, as applicable.

Use of Estimates

In presenting the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, management makes estimates including the following:

- Valuations of assets and liabilities requiring fair value estimates;
- The allowance for credit losses;
- Determination of other-than-temporary impairments for available-for-sale investment securities;
- The outcome of pending litigation;
- Determination of the liability for representations and warranties made in connection with the sales of residential mortgage and home equity loans;
- Determination of whether VIEs should be consolidated;
- The ability to realize deferred tax assets and the recognition and measurement of uncertain tax positions;
- The carrying amount of goodwill and intangible assets;
- The amortization period of intangible assets with definite lives;
- Incentive-based compensation accruals and valuation of share-based payment compensation arrangements; and
- Other matters that affect the reported amounts and disclosure of contingencies in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Estimates, by their nature, are based on judgment and available information. Therefore, actual results could differ from those estimates and could have a material impact on the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, and it is possible that such changes could occur in the near term. A discussion of certain areas in which estimates are a significant component of the amounts reported in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements follows:

Fair Value Measurement

Merrill Lynch accounts for a significant portion of its financial instruments at fair value or considers fair value in their measurement. Merrill Lynch accounts for certain financial assets and liabilities at fair value under various accounting literature, including ASC 320, *Investments - Debt and Equity Securities* ("Investment Accounting"), ASC 815, *Derivatives and Hedging* ("Derivatives Accounting"), and the fair value option election in accordance with ASC 825-10-25, *Financial Instruments - Recognition* (the "fair value option election"). Merrill Lynch also accounts for certain assets at fair value under applicable industry guidance, namely ASC 940, *Financial Services - Broker and Dealers* ("Broker-Dealer Guide") and ASC 946, *Financial Services - Investment Companies* ("Investment Company Guide").

ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures* ("Fair Value Accounting") defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, establishes a fair value hierarchy based on the quality of inputs used to measure fair value and enhances disclosure requirements for fair value measurements.

Fair values for over-the-counter ("OTC") derivative financial instruments, principally forwards, options, and swaps, represent the present value of amounts estimated to be received from or paid to a marketplace participant in settlement of these instruments (i.e., the amount Merrill Lynch would expect to receive in a derivative asset assignment or would expect to pay to have a derivative liability assumed). These derivatives are valued using pricing models based on the net present value of estimated future cash flows and directly observed prices from exchange-traded derivatives, other OTC trades, or external pricing services, while taking into account the counterparty's creditworthiness, or Merrill Lynch's own creditworthiness, as appropriate. When external pricing services are used, the methods and assumptions used are reviewed by Merrill Lynch. Determining the fair value for



OTC derivative contracts can require a significant level of estimation and management judgment.

New and/or complex instruments may have immature or limited markets. As a result, the pricing models used for valuation often incorporate significant estimates and assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the instrument, which may impact the results of operations reported in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. For instance, on long-dated and illiquid contracts extrapolation methods are applied to observed market data in order to estimate inputs and assumptions that are not directly observable. This enables Merrill Lynch to mark to fair value all positions consistently when only a subset of prices are directly observable. Values for OTC derivatives are verified using observed information about the costs of hedging the risk and other trades in the market. As the markets for these products develop, Merrill Lynch continually refines its pricing models to correlate more closely to the market price of these instruments. The recognition of significant inception gains and losses that incorporate unobservable inputs is reviewed by management to ensure such gains and losses are derived from observable inputs and/or incorporate reasonable assumptions about the unobservable component, such as implied bid-offer adjustments.

Certain financial instruments recorded at fair value are initially measured using mid-market prices which results in gross long and short positions valued at the same pricing level prior to the application of position netting. The resulting net positions are then adjusted to fair value representing the exit price as defined in Fair Value Accounting. The significant adjustments include liquidity and counterparty credit risk.

Liquidity

Merrill Lynch makes adjustments to bring a position from a mid-market to a bid or offer price, depending upon the net open position. Merrill Lynch values net long positions at bid prices and net short positions at offer prices. These adjustments are based upon either observable or implied bid-offer prices.

Counterparty Credit Risk

In determining the fair value of financial assets and financial liabilities, Merrill Lynch considers the credit risk of its counterparties, as well as its own creditworthiness. Merrill Lynch attempts to mitigate credit risk to third parties by entering into netting and collateral arrangements. Net counterparty exposure (counterparty positions netted by offsetting transactions and both cash and securities collateral) is then valued for counterparty creditworthiness and the resultant credit valuation adjustment ("CVA") is incorporated into the fair value of the respective instruments.

Fair Value Accounting also requires that Merrill Lynch consider its own creditworthiness when determining the fair value of certain instruments, including OTC derivative instruments (i.e., debit valuation adjustment or "DVA") and certain structured notes carried at fair value under the fair value option election. Merrill Lynch's DVA is measured in the same manner as third party counterparty credit risk. The impact of Merrill Lynch's DVA is incorporated into the fair value of OTC derivative contracts even when credit risk is not readily observable in the instrument. For additional information on calculating CVA and DVA see Note 6.

Legal and Representation and Warranty Reserves

Merrill Lynch is a party in various actions, some of which involve claims for substantial amounts. Amounts are accrued for the financial resolution of claims that have either been asserted or are deemed probable of assertion if, in the opinion of management, it is both probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. In many cases, it is not possible to determine whether a liability has been incurred or to estimate the ultimate or minimum amount of that liability until the case is close to resolution, in which case no accrual is made until that time. Accruals are subject to significant estimation by management, with input from any outside counsel handling the matter.

In addition, Merrill Lynch and certain of its subsidiaries made various representations and warranties in connection with the sale of residential mortgage loans and home equity loans. Breaches of these representations and warranties may result in the requirement to repurchase mortgage loans or to otherwise make whole or provide other remedies.



Refer to Note 14 for further information.

Income Taxes

Merrill Lynch provides for income taxes on all transactions that have been recognized in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in accordance with ASC 740, *Income Taxes* ("Income Tax Accounting"). Accordingly, deferred taxes are adjusted to reflect the tax rates at which future taxable amounts will likely be settled or realized. The effects of tax rate changes on deferred tax liabilities and deferred tax assets, as well as other changes in income tax laws, are recognized in net earnings in the period during which such changes are enacted. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amounts that are more-likely-than-not to be realized. Pursuant to Income Tax Accounting, Merrill Lynch may consider various sources of evidence in assessing the necessity of valuation allowances to reduce deferred tax assets to amounts more-likely-than-not to be realized, including the following: 1) past and projected earnings, including losses, of Merrill Lynch and Bank of America, as certain tax attributes such as U.S. net operating losses ("NOLs"), U.S. capital loss carryforwards and foreign tax credit carryforwards can be utilized by Bank of America in certain income tax returns, 2) tax carryforward periods, and 3) tax planning strategies and other factors of the legal entities, such as the intercompany tax-allocation policy. Included within Merrill Lynch's net deferred tax assets are carryforward amounts generated in the U.S. and the U.K. that are deductible in the future as NOLs. Merrill Lynch has concluded that these deferred tax assets are more-likely-than-not to be fully utilized prior to expiration, based on the projected level of future taxable income of Merrill Lynch and Bank of America, which is relevant due to the intercompany tax-allocation policy. For this purpose, future taxable income of Merrill Lynch and Bank of America, which is relevant due to the intercompany tax-allocation policy. For this purpose, future taxable income eyee pre-tax earnings and taxable inc

Merrill Lynch recognizes and measures its unrecognized tax benefits in accordance with Income Tax Accounting. Merrill Lynch estimates the likelihood, based on their technical merits, that tax positions will be sustained upon examination considering the facts and circumstances and information available at the end of each period. Merrill Lynch adjusts the level of unrecognized tax benefits when there is more information available, or when an event occurs requiring a change. In accordance with Bank of America's policy, any new or subsequent change in an unrecognized tax benefit related to a Bank of America state consolidated, combined or unitary return in which Merrill Lynch is a member will generally not be reflected in Merrill Lynch's Condensed Consolidated Statement of (Loss) Earnings and Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. However, upon Bank of America's resolution of the item, any material impact determined to be attributable to Merrill Lynch will be reflected in Merrill Lynch's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. Merrill Lynch accrues income-tax-related interest and penalties, if applicable, within income tax expense.

Merrill Lynch's results of operations are included in the U.S. federal income tax return and certain state income tax returns of Bank of America. The method of allocating income tax expense is determined under the intercompany tax allocation policy of Bank of America. This policy specifies that income tax expense will be computed for all Bank of America subsidiaries generally on a separate pro forma return basis, taking into account the tax position of the consolidated group and the pro forma Merrill Lynch group. Under this policy, tax benefits associated with NOLs (or other tax attributes) of Merrill Lynch are payable to Merrill Lynch generally upon utilization in Bank of America's tax returns.

On July 17, 2012, the U.K. 2012 Finance Bill was enacted, which reduced the U.K. corporate income tax rate by two percent to 23%. The first one percent reduction was effective on April 1, 2012 and the second reduction will be effective April 1, 2013. These reductions favorably affect income tax expense on future U.K. earnings, but also required Merrill Lynch to remeasure its U.K. net deferred tax assets using the lower tax rates. The income tax provision (benefit) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 included a charge of \$781 million for the remeasurement.

On July 19, 2011, the U.K. 2011 Finance Bill was enacted, which reduced the U.K. corporate income tax rate by two percent to 25%. The income tax benefit for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 included a charge of \$774 million for the remeasurement of Merrill Lynch's U.K. net deferred tax assets at the lower rate.

Securities Financing Transactions

Merrill Lynch enters into repurchase and resale agreements and securities borrowed and loaned transactions to accommodate customers and earn interest rate spreads (also referred to as "matched-book transactions"), obtain securities for settlement and finance inventory positions. Resale and repurchase agreements are generally accounted for as collateralized financing transactions and may be recorded at their contractual amounts plus accrued interest or at fair value under the fair value option election. In resale and repurchase agreements, typically the termination date of the agreements is before the maturity date of the underlying security. However, in certain situations, Merrill Lynch may enter into agreements where the termination date of the transactions is the same as the maturity date of the underlying security. These transactions are referred to as repo-to-maturity ("RTM") transactions. In accordance with applicable accounting guidance, Merrill Lynch accounts for RTM transactions as sales and purchases when the transferred securities are highly liquid. In instances where securities are considered sold or purchased, Merrill Lynch removes or recognizes the securities from the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet and, in the case of sales, recognizes a gain or loss in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of (Loss) Earnings. At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, Merrill Lynch had no outstanding RTM transactions that had been accounted for as sales and an immaterial amount of transactions that had been accounted for as purchases.

Resale and repurchase agreements recorded at fair value are generally valued based on pricing models that use inputs with observable levels of price transparency. Where the fair value option election has been made, changes in the fair value of resale and repurchase agreements are reflected in principal transactions revenues and the contractual interest coupon is recorded as interest revenue or interest expense, respectively. For further information refer to Note 4.

Resale and repurchase agreements recorded at their contractual amounts plus accrued interest approximate fair value, as the fair value of these items is not materially sensitive to shifts in market interest rates because of the short-term nature of these instruments and/or variable interest rates or to credit risk because the resale and repurchase agreements are substantially collateralized.

Merrill Lynch may use securities received as collateral for resale agreements to satisfy regulatory requirements such as Rule 15c3-3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Securities borrowed and loaned transactions may be recorded at the amount of cash collateral advanced or received plus accrued interest or at fair value under the fair value option election. Securities borrowed transactions require Merrill Lynch to provide the counterparty with collateral in the form of cash, letters of credit, or other securities. Merrill Lynch receives collateral in the form of cash or other securities for securities loaned transactions. For these transactions, the fees received or paid by Merrill Lynch are recorded as interest revenue or expense. The carrying value of securities borrowed and loaned transactions recorded at the amount of cash collateral advanced or received approximates fair value as these items are not materially sensitive to shifts in market interest rates because of their short-term nature and/or variable interest rates or to credit risk because securities borrowed and loaned transactions are substantially collateralized.

For securities financing transactions, Merrill Lynch's policy is to obtain possession of collateral with a market value equal to or in excess of the principal amount loaned under the agreements. To ensure that the market value of the underlying collateral remains sufficient, collateral is generally valued daily and Merrill Lynch may require counterparties to deposit additional collateral or may return collateral pledged when appropriate. Securities financing agreements give rise to negligible credit risk as a result of these collateral provisions, and no allowance for loan losses is considered necessary.

Substantially all securities financing activities are transacted under master agreements that give Merrill Lynch the right, in the event of default, to liquidate collateral held and to offset receivables and payables with the same counterparty. Merrill Lynch offsets certain securities financing transactions with the same counterparty on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets where it has such a master agreement, that agreement is legally

enforceable and the transactions have the same stated maturity date.

All Merrill Lynch-owned securities pledged to counterparties where the counterparty has the right, by contract or custom, to sell or repledge the securities are disclosed parenthetically in trading assets or in investment securities on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

In transactions where Merrill Lynch acts as the lender in a securities lending agreement and receives securities that can be pledged or sold as collateral, it recognizes an asset on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets carried at fair value, representing the securities received (securities received as collateral), and a liability for the same amount, representing the obligation to return those securities (obligation to return securities received as collateral). The amounts on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets result from such non-cash transactions.

Trading Assets and Liabilities

Merrill Lynch's trading activities consist primarily of securities brokerage and trading; derivatives dealing and brokerage; commodities trading and futures brokerage; and securities financing transactions. Trading assets and trading liabilities consist of cash instruments (e.g., securities and loans) and derivative instruments. Trading assets also include commodities inventory. See Note 6 for additional information on derivative instruments.

Trading assets and liabilities are generally recorded on a trade date basis at fair value. Included in trading liabilities are securities that Merrill Lynch has sold but did not own and will therefore be obligated to purchase at a future date ("short sales"). Commodities inventory is recorded at the lower of cost or fair value. Changes in fair value of trading assets and liabilities (i.e., unrealized gains and losses) are recognized as principal transactions revenues in the current period. Realized gains and losses and any related interest amounts are included in principal transactions revenues and expenses, depending on the nature of the instrument.

Derivatives

A derivative is an instrument whose value is derived from an underlying instrument or index, such as interest rates, equity security prices, currencies, commodity prices or credit spreads. Derivatives include futures, forwards, swaps, option contracts and other financial instruments with similar characteristics. Derivative contracts often involve future commitments to exchange interest payment streams or currencies based on a notional or contractual amount (e.g., interest rate swaps or currency forwards) or to purchase or sell other financial instruments at specified terms on a specified date (e.g., options to buy or sell securities or currencies). Refer to Note 6 for further information.

Investment Securities

Investment securities consist of marketable investment securities and non-qualifying investments. Refer to Note 8 for further information.

Marketable Investment Securities

ML & Co. and certain of its non-broker-dealer subsidiaries follow the guidance within Investment Accounting for investments in debt and publicly traded equity securities. For Merrill Lynch, the trading classification under Investment Accounting generally includes those securities that are bought and held principally for the purpose of selling them in the near term, securities that are economically hedged, or securities that may contain a bifurcatable embedded derivative as defined in Derivatives Accounting. Securities classified as trading are marked to fair value through earnings. All other qualifying securities are classified as available-for-sale ("AFS") and are held at fair value with unrealized gains and losses reported in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income ("OCI").

Realized gains and losses on investment securities are included in current period earnings. For purposes of computing realized gains and losses, the cost basis of each investment sold is based on the specific identification method.

Merrill Lynch regularly (at least quarterly) evaluates each held-to-maturity and AFS security whose fair value has declined below amortized cost to assess whether the decline in fair value is other-than-temporary. A decline in a debt security's fair value is considered to be other-than-temporary if it is probable that all amounts contractually due will not be collected or, for AFS securities, Merrill Lynch either plans to sell the security or it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost. For unrealized losses on AFS debt securities that are deemed other-than-temporary, the credit component of an other-than-temporary impairment is recognized in earnings and the non-credit component is recognized in OCI when Merrill Lynch does not intend to sell the security and it is more likely than not that Merrill Lynch will not be required to sell the security prior to recovery.

Non-Qualifying Investments

Non-qualifying investments are those investments that are not within the scope of Investment Accounting and primarily include private equity investments accounted for at fair value and other equity securities carried at cost or under the equity method of accounting.

Private equity investments that are held for capital appreciation and/or current income are accounted for under the Investment Company Guide and carried at fair value. Additionally, certain private equity investments that are not accounted for under the Investment Company Guide may be carried at fair value under the fair value option election. The fair value of private equity investments reflects expected exit values based upon market prices or other valuation methodologies, including market comparables of similar companies and discounted expected cash flows.

Merrill Lynch has non-controlling investments in the common shares of corporations and in partnerships that do not fall within the scope of Investment Accounting or the Investment Company Guide. Merrill Lynch accounts for these investments using either the cost or the equity method of accounting based on management's ability to influence the investees, or Merrill Lynch may elect the fair value option. See the Consolidation Accounting section of this Note for more information.

For investments accounted for using the equity method, income is recognized based on Merrill Lynch's share of the earnings or losses of the investee. Dividend distributions are generally recorded as reductions in the investment balance. Impairment testing is based on the guidance provided in Equity Method Accounting, and the investment is reduced when an impairment is deemed other-than-temporary.

For investments accounted for at cost, income is recognized when dividends are received, and gains (losses) are recognized when the investment is sold. Instruments are periodically tested for impairment based on the guidance provided in Investment Accounting, and the cost basis is reduced when an impairment is deemed other-than-temporary.

Loans, Notes and Mortgages, Net

Merrill Lynch's lending and related activities include loan originations, syndications and securitizations. Loan originations include corporate and institutional loans, residential and commercial mortgages, asset-backed loans, and other loans to individuals and businesses. Merrill Lynch also engages in secondary market loan trading (see the Trading Assets and Liabilities section of this Note) and margin lending, which is included in customer receivables. Loans included in loans, notes, and mortgages are classified for accounting purposes as loans held for investment and loans held for sale.

Loans held for investment are generally carried at amortized cost, less an allowance for loan losses, which represents Merrill Lynch's estimate of probable losses inherent in its lending activities. The fair value option election has been made for certain held-for-investment loans, notes and mortgages. Merrill Lynch performs periodic and systematic detailed reviews of its lending portfolios to identify credit risks and to assess overall collectability. These reviews, which are updated on a quarterly basis, consider a variety of factors including, but not limited to, historical loss experience, estimated defaults, delinquencies, economic conditions, credit scores and the fair value of any underlying collateral. Provisions for loan losses are included in interest and dividend revenue in the Condensed



Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Earnings.

Merrill Lynch's estimate of loan losses includes judgment about collectability based on available information at the balance sheet date, and the uncertainties inherent in those underlying assumptions. While management has based its estimates on the best information available, future adjustments to the allowance for loan losses may be necessary as a result of changes in the economic environment or variances between actual results and the original assumptions.

In general, loans that are past due 90 days or more as to principal or interest, or where reasonable doubt exists as to timely collection, including loans that are individually identified as being impaired, are classified as non-performing unless well-secured and in the process of collection. Loans, primarily commercial, whose contractual terms have been restructured in a manner which grants a concession to a borrower experiencing financial difficulties are considered troubled debt restructurings ("TDRs") and are classified as non-performing until the loans have performed for an adequate period of time under the restructured agreement. Interest accrued but not collected is reversed when a commercial loan is considered non-performing. Interest collections on commercial loans for which the ultimate collectability of principal is uncertain are applied as principal reductions; otherwise, such collections are credited to income when received. Commercial loans may be restored to performing status when all principal and interest is current and full repayment of the remaining contractual principal and interest is expected, or when the loan otherwise becomes well-secured and is in the process of collection.

Loans held for sale are carried at lower of cost or fair value. The fair value option election has been made for certain held-for-sale loans, notes and mortgages. Estimation is required in determining these fair values. The fair value of loans made in connection with commercial lending activity, consisting mainly of senior debt, is primarily estimated using the market value of publicly issued debt instruments when available or discounted cash flows.

Nonrefundable loan origination fees, loan commitment fees, and "draw down" fees received in conjunction with held for investment loans are generally deferred and recognized over the contractual life of the loan as an adjustment to the yield. If, at the outset, or any time during the term of the loan, it becomes probable that the repayment period will be extended, the amortization is recalculated using the expected remaining life of the loan. When the loan contract does not provide for a specific maturity date, management's best estimate of the repayment period is used. At repayment of the loan, any unrecognized deferred fee is immediately recognized in earnings. If the loan is accounted for as held for sale, the fees received are deferred and recognized as part of the gain or loss on sale in other revenues. If the loan is accounted for under the fair value option election, the fees are included in the determination of the fair value and included in other revenues.

New Accounting Pronouncements

Effective January 1, 2012, Merrill Lynch adopted amendments to Fair Value Accounting. The amendments clarify the application of the highest and best use, and valuation premise concepts, preclude the application of "blockage factors" in the valuation of all financial instruments and include criteria for applying the fair value measurement principles to portfolios of financial instruments. The amendments also prescribe additional disclosures for Level 3 fair value measurements and financial instruments not carried at fair value. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on Merrill Lynch's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

On January 1, 2012, new accounting guidance on the presentation of comprehensive income in financial statements became effective, which was early adopted by Merrill Lynch in the 2011 Annual Report by reporting the components of comprehensive income in two separate but consecutive statements.

Effective January 1, 2013, Merrill Lynch will be required to retrospectively adopt accounting guidance requiring additional disclosures on the effect of netting arrangements on an entity's financial position. The disclosures will primarily relate to derivatives and securities financing agreements that are either offset on the balance sheet under existing accounting guidance or subject to a legally enforceable master netting or similar agreement. This new guidance addresses only disclosures, and accordingly will have no impact on Merrill Lynch's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

(dollars in millions)

Note 2. Transactions with Bank of America

Merrill Lynch has entered into various transactions with Bank of America, including transactions in connection with certain sales and trading and financing activities, as well as the allocation of certain shared services. Details on amounts receivable from and payable to Bank of America as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are presented below.

Receivables from Bank of America are comprised of:

ash and securities segregated for regulatory purposes eceivables under resale agreements rading assets	Septen	September 30, 2012		December 31, 2011		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	11,016	\$	7,491		
Cash and securities segregated for regulatory purposes		5,243		6,107		
Receivables under resale agreements		30,776		26,855		
Trading assets		402		700		
Net intercompany funding receivable		240		3,567		
Other receivables		6,418		6,252		
Total	\$	54,095	\$	50,972		

Payables to Bank of America are comprised of:

(dollars in millions)

\$ 262		
202	\$	22,647
2,957		2,519
885		1,450
110		75
99		503
2,453		1,935
5,297		2,650
\$ 12,063	\$	31,779
\$	885 110 99 2,453 5,297	885 110 99 2,453 5,297

(1) Includes \$2,578 of subordinated borrowings from Bank of America as of December 31, 2011 (see Note 12).

Total net revenues and non-interest expenses related to transactions with Bank of America for the three months ended September 30, 2012 were \$324 million and \$471 million, respectively. Such net revenues and non-interest expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 were \$821 million and \$1,553 million, respectively. Total net revenues and non-interest expenses related to transactions with Bank of America for the three months ended September 30, 2011 were \$288 million and \$581 million, respectively. Such net revenues and non-interest expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 were \$288 million and \$581 million, respectively. Net revenues and non-interest expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 were \$822 million and \$1,926 million, respectively. Net revenues for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 included investment banking revenues of \$140 million and \$210 million respectively, from transactions involving Bank of America. Similar activity for 2012 was not material.

Total net revenues related to transactions with Bank of America for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 included intercompany service fee revenues of \$278 million and \$650 million, respectively. Total non-interest expenses related to transactions with Bank of America for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 included intercompany service fee expenses of \$356 million and \$1,288 million, respectively. Total net revenues related to transactions with Bank of America for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 included intercompany service fee revenues of \$153 million and \$555 million, respectively. Total non-interest expenses



related to transactions with Bank of America for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 included intercompany service fee expenses of \$561 million and \$1,793 million, respectively. Intercompany service fee revenue and service fee expense from Bank of America represents the allocations of certain centralized or shared business activities between Merrill Lynch and Bank of America. Such fees are generally determined in accordance with subsidiary transfer pricing agreements.

Bank of America and Merrill Lynch have entered into certain intercompany lending and borrowing arrangements to facilitate centralized liquidity management. Included in these arrangements is a \$50 billion extendible one-year revolving credit facility that allows Bank of America to borrow funds from Merrill Lynch at a spread to the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") that is reset periodically and is consistent with other intercompany agreements. The credit facility matures on January 1, 2013 and will automatically be extended by one year to the succeeding January 1st unless Merrill Lynch provides written notice not to extend at least 45 days prior to the maturity date. There were no amounts outstanding at both September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 under this credit facility. There is also a short-term revolving credit facility that allows Bank of America to borrow up to an additional \$25 billion. Interest on borrowings under the credit facility is based on prevailing short-term market rates. The line of credit matures on February 12, 2013. At September 30, 2012, there were no amounts outstanding under this credit facility. See Note 12 for further information on intercompany financing agreements with Bank of America. In addition, Bank of America has guaranteed the performance of Merrill Lynch on certain derivative transactions (see Note 6). Bank of America has also guaranteed certain debt securities, warrants and/or other certificates and obligations of certain subsidiaries of ML & Co. (see Note 12) and in certain instances the return of collateral posted by counterparties.

Note 3. Segment and Geographic Information

Segment Information

Pursuant to ASC 280, *Segment Reporting*, operating segments represent components of an enterprise for which separate financial information is available that is regularly evaluated by the chief operating decision maker in determining how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. The business activities of Merrill Lynch are included within certain of the operating segments of Bank of America. Detailed financial information related to the operations of Merrill Lynch, however, is not provided to Merrill Lynch's chief operating decision maker. As a result, Merrill Lynch does not contain any identifiable operating segments under Segment Reporting, and therefore the financial information of Merrill Lynch is presented as a single segment.

Geographic Information

Merrill Lynch conducts its business activities through offices in the following five regions:

- United States;
- Europe, Middle East, and Africa ("EMEA");
- Pacific Rim;
- Latin America; and
- Canada.

The principal methodologies used in preparing the geographic information below are as follows:

- Revenues are generally recorded based on the location of the employee generating the revenue; and
- Intercompany transfers are based primarily on service agreements.

The information that follows, in management's judgment, provides a reasonable representation of each region's contribution to the consolidated net revenues:



(dollars in millions)

	Mon	Months Ended		For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2012		For The Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012		the Three ths Ended ber 30, 2011	 e Nine Months September 30, 2011
Revenues, net of interest expense									
Europe, Middle East, and Africa	\$	906	\$	3,269	\$	568	\$ 3,217		
Pacific Rim		354		1,461		692	2,028		
Latin America		288		870		312	1,039		
Canada		58		208		74	227		
Total Non-U.S.		1,606		5,808		1,646	 6,511		
United States ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾		2,911		8,487		4,224	14,121		
Total revenues, net of interest expense	\$	4,517	\$	14,295	\$	5,870	\$ 20,632		

(1) U.S. results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 included net losses of \$0.8 billion and \$3.0 billion, respectively, due to the impact of the changes in Merrill Lynch's credit spreads on the carrying values of certain long-term borrowings, primarily structured notes. U.S. results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 included net gains of \$2.9 billion and \$2.7 billion, respectively, due to the impact of changes in Merrill Lynch's credit spreads on the carrying values of certain long-term borrowings, primarily structured notes.
(2) Corporate net revenues and adjustments are reflected in the U.S.

region.

Note 4. Fair Value Disclosures

Fair Value Accounting

Fair Value Hierarchy

In accordance with Fair Value Accounting, Merrill Lynch has categorized its financial instruments, based on the priority of the inputs to the valuation technique, into a three-level fair value hierarchy.

The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3).

Financial assets and liabilities recorded on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets are categorized based on the observability of inputs to the valuation techniques as follows:

- Level 1. Financial assets and liabilities whose values are based on unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in an active market that Merrill Lynch has the ability to access (examples include active exchange-traded equity securities, exchange-traded derivatives, U.S. Government securities, and certain other non-U.S. government obligations).
- *Level 2.* Financial assets and liabilities whose values are based on quoted prices in markets that are not active or model inputs that are observable either directly or indirectly for substantially the full term of the asset or liability. Level 2 inputs include the following:
 - a) Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets (examples include restricted stock and U.S. agency securities);
 - b) Quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in non-active markets (examples include corporate and municipal bonds, which can trade infrequently);
 - c) Pricing models whose inputs are observable for substantially the full term of the asset or liability (examples include most over-the-counter derivatives, including interest rate and currency swaps); and
 - d) Pricing models whose inputs are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data through correlation or other means for substantially the full term of the asset or liability (examples include certain residential and commercial mortgage-related assets, including loans, securities and derivatives).
- *Level 3.* Financial assets and liabilities whose values are based on prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement. These inputs reflect management's view about the assumptions a market participant would use in pricing the asset or liability (examples include certain private equity investments, certain residential and commercial mortgage-related assets and long-dated or complex derivatives).

As required by Fair Value Accounting, when the inputs used to measure fair value fall within different levels of the hierarchy, the level within which the fair value measurement is categorized is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. For example, a Level 3 fair value measurement may include inputs that are observable (Levels 1 and 2) and unobservable (Level 3). Therefore gains and losses for such assets and liabilities categorized within the Level 3 reconciliation below may include changes in fair value that are attributable to both observable inputs (Levels 1 and 2) and unobservable inputs (Level 3). Further, the following reconciliations do not take into consideration the offsetting effect of Level 1 and 2 financial instruments entered into by Merrill Lynch that economically hedge certain exposures to the Level 3 positions.

A review of fair value hierarchy classifications is conducted on a quarterly basis. Changes in the observability of valuation inputs may result in a reclassification for certain financial assets or liabilities. Reclassifications are reported as transfers in or transfers out of the Level as of the beginning of the quarter in which the reclassifications occur. Therefore, Level 3 gains and losses represent amounts recognized during the period in which the instrument was classified as Level 3. Refer to the recurring and non-recurring sections within this Note for further information on transfers between levels.

Valuation Processes and Techniques

Merrill Lynch has various processes and controls in place to ensure that its fair value measurements are reasonably estimated. A model validation policy governs the use and control of valuation models used to estimate fair value. This policy requires review and approval of models by personnel who are independent of the front office and periodic re-assessments to ensure that models are continuing to perform as designed. In addition, detailed reviews of trading gains and losses are analyzed on a daily basis by personnel who are independent of the front office, utilizes available market information including executed trades, market prices and market observable valuation model inputs to ensure that fair values are reasonably estimated. Merrill Lynch executes due diligence procedures over third party pricing service providers in order to support their use in the valuation process. Where market information is not available to support internal valuations, independent reviews of the valuations are performed and any material exposures are escalated through a management review process.

While Merrill Lynch believes its valuation methods are appropriate and consistent with other market participants, the use of different methodologies or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different estimate of fair value at the reporting date.

During the third quarter of 2012, there were no changes to Merrill Lynch's valuation techniques that had or are expected to have, a material impact on its condensed consolidated financial position or results of operations.

The following outlines the valuation methodologies for Merrill Lynch's material categories of assets and liabilities:

U.S. Government and agencies

U.S. Treasury securities U.S. Treasury securities are valued using quoted market prices and are generally classified as Level 1 in the fair value hierarchy.

<u>U.S. agency securities</u> U.S. agency securities are comprised of two main categories consisting of agency issued debt and mortgage pass-throughs. The fair value of agency issued debt securities is derived using market prices and recent trade activity gathered from independent dealer pricing services or brokers. Generally, the fair value of mortgage pass-throughs is based on market prices of comparable securities. Agency issued debt securities and mortgage pass-throughs are generally classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

Non-U.S. governments and agencies

<u>Non-U.S. government obligations</u> Non-U.S. government obligations are valued using quoted prices in active markets when available. To the extent quoted prices are not available, fair value is determined based on reference to recent trading activity and quoted prices of similar securities. These securities are generally classified in Level 1 or Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy, primarily based on the issuing country.

Municipal debt

<u>Municipal bonds</u> The fair value of municipal bonds is calculated using recent trade activity, market price quotations and new issuance levels. In the absence of this information, fair value is calculated using comparable bond credit spreads. Current interest rates, credit events, and individual bond characteristics such as coupon, call features, maturity, and revenue purpose are considered in the valuation process. The majority of these bonds are classified as



Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

<u>Auction Rate Securities ("ARS"</u>) Merrill Lynch holds investments in certain ARS, including student loan and municipal ARS. Student loan ARS are comprised of various pools of student loans. Municipal ARS are issued by states and municipalities for a wide variety of purposes, including but not limited to healthcare, industrial development, education and transportation infrastructure. The fair value of the student loan ARS is calculated based upon a number of assumptions including weighted average life, coupon, discount margin and liquidity discounts. The fair value of the municipal ARS is calculated based upon projected refinancing and spread assumptions. In both cases, recent trades and issuer tenders are considered in the valuations. Student loan ARS are classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

Corporate and other debt

<u>Corporate bonds</u> Corporate bonds are valued based on either the most recent observable trade and/or external quotes, depending on availability. The most recent observable trade price is given highest priority as the valuation benchmark based on an evaluation of transaction date, size, frequency, and bid-offer. This price may be adjusted by bond or credit default swap spread movement. When credit default swap spreads are referenced, cash-to-synthetic basis magnitude and movement as well as maturity matching are incorporated into the value. When neither external quotes nor a recent trade is available, the bonds are valued using a discounted cash flow approach based on risk parameters of comparable securities. In such cases, the potential pricing difference in spread and/or price terms with the traded comparable is considered. Corporate bonds are generally classified as Level 2 or Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

<u>Commercial loans and commitments</u> The fair values of commercial loans and loan commitments are based on market prices and most recent transactions when available. When not available, a discounted cash flow valuation approach is applied using market-based credit spreads of comparable debt instruments, recent new issuance activity or relevant credit derivatives with appropriate cash-to-synthetic basis adjustments. Commercial loans and commitments are generally classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy. Certain commercial loans, particularly those related to emerging market, leveraged and distressed companies have limited price transparency. These loans are generally classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

Mortgages, mortgage-backed and asset-backed

Residential Mortgage-Backed Securities ("RMBS"), Commercial Mortgage-Backed Securities ("CMBS"), and other Asset-Backed Securities ("ABS") RMBS, CMBS and other ABS are valued based on observable price or credit spreads for the particular security, or when price or credit spreads are not observable, the valuation is based on prices of comparable bonds or the present value of expected future cash flows. Valuation levels of RMBS and CMBS indices are used as an additional data point for benchmarking purposes or to price outright index positions.

When estimating the fair value based upon the present value of expected future cash flows, Merrill Lynch uses its best estimate of the key assumptions, including forecasted credit losses, prepayment rates, forward yield curves and discount rates commensurate with the risks involved, while also taking into account performance of the underlying collateral.

RMBS, CMBS and other ABS are classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy if external prices or credit spreads are unobservable or if comparable trades/assets involve significant subjectivity related to property type differences, cash flows, performance and other inputs; otherwise, they are classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

Collateralized loan obligations ("CLO") are valued based upon the present value of expected future cash flows, utilizing yields that are derived from those of comparable securities. CLOs are generally classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.



Equities

Exchange-Traded Equity Securities Exchange-traded equity securities are generally valued based on quoted prices from the exchange. These securities are classified as either Level 1 or Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy, primarily based on the exchange on which they are traded.

<u>Convertible debentures</u> Convertible debentures are valued based on observable trades and/or external quotes, depending on availability. When neither observable trades nor external quotes are available, the instruments are valued using a discounted cash flow approach based on risk parameters of comparable securities. In such cases, the potential pricing difference in spread and/or price terms with the traded comparable is considered. Convertible debentures are generally classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

Derivative contracts

Listed Derivative Contracts Listed derivatives that are actively traded are generally valued based on quoted prices from the exchange and are classified as Level 1 in the fair value hierarchy. Listed derivatives that are not actively traded are valued using the same approaches as those applied to OTC derivatives; they are generally classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

OTC Derivative Contracts OTC derivative contracts include forwards, swaps and options related to interest rate, foreign currency, credit, equity or commodity underlyings.

The fair value of OTC derivatives is derived using market prices and other market based pricing parameters such as interest rates, currency rates and volatilities that are observed directly in the market or gathered from independent sources such as dealer consensus pricing services or brokers. Where models are used, they are used consistently and reflect the contractual terms of and specific risks inherent in the contracts. Generally, the models do not require a high level of subjectivity since the valuation techniques used in the models do not require significant judgment and inputs to the models are readily observable in active markets. When appropriate, valuations are adjusted for various factors such as liquidity and credit considerations based on available market evidence. In addition, for most collateralized interest rate and currency derivatives the requirement to pay interest on the collateral may be considered in the valuation. The majority of OTC derivative contracts are classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

OTC derivative contracts that do not have readily observable market based pricing parameters are classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. Examples of derivative contracts classified within Level 3 include contractual obligations that have tenures that extend beyond periods in which inputs to the model would be observable, exotic derivatives with significant inputs into a valuation model that are less transparent in the market and certain credit default swaps ("CDS") referenced to mortgage-backed securities. For example, derivative instruments, such as certain CDS referenced to RMBS, CMBS, other ABS and collateralized debt obligations ("CDOs"), may be valued based on the underlying mortgage risk where these instruments are not actively quoted. Inputs to the valuation will include available information on similar underlying loans or securities in the cash market. The prepayments and loss assumptions on the underlying loans or securities are estimated using a combination of historical data, prices on recent market transactions, relevant observable market indices such as the Asset Backed Securities Index ("ABX") or Commercial Mortgage Backed Securities Index ("CMBX") and prepayment and default scenarios and analyses.

<u>CDOs</u> The fair value of CDOs is derived from a referenced basket of CDS, the CDO's capital structure, and the default correlation, which is an input to a proprietary CDO valuation model. The underlying CDO portfolios typically contain investment grade as well as non-investment grade obligors. After adjusting for differences in risk profile, the correlation parameter for an actual transaction is estimated by benchmarking against observable standardized index tranches and other comparable transactions. CDOs are classified as either Level 2 or Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.



Investment securities non-qualifying

Investments in Private Equity, Real Estate and Hedge Funds. Merrill Lynch has investments in numerous asset classes, including: direct private equity, private equity funds, hedge funds and real estate funds. Valuing these investments requires significant management judgment due to the nature of the assets and the lack of quoted market prices and liquidity in these assets. Initially, the transaction price of the investment is generally considered to be the best indicator of fair value. Thereafter, valuation of direct investments is based on an assessment of each individual investment using various methodologies, which include publicly traded comparables derived by multiplying a key performance metric (e.g., earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA")) of the portfolio company by the relevant valuation multiple observed for comparable companies, acquisition comparables, entry level multiples and discounted cash flows. These valuations are subject to appropriate discounts for lack of liquidity or marketability. Certain factors which may influence changes to fair value include but are not limited to, recapitalizations, subsequent rounds of financing, and offerings in the equity or debt capital markets. For fund investments, Merrill Lynch generally records the fair value of its proportionate interest in the fund's capital as reported by the fund's respective managers.

Investment securities non-qualifying include equity securities that have recently gone through initial public offerings or secondary sales of public positions. These investments are primarily classified as either Level 1 or Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy. Level 2 classifications generally include those publicly traded equity investments that have a legal or contractual transfer restriction. All other investments in private equity, real estate and hedge funds are classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy due to infrequent trading and/or unobservable market prices.

Resale and repurchase agreements

Merrill Lynch elected the fair value option for certain resale and repurchase agreements. For such agreements, the fair value is estimated using a discounted cash flow model which incorporates inputs such as interest rate yield curves and option volatility. Resale and repurchase agreements for which the fair value option has been elected are generally classified as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

Long-term and short-term borrowings

Merrill Lynch and its consolidated VIEs issue structured notes that have coupons or repayment terms linked to the performance of debt or equity securities, indices, currencies or commodities. The fair value of structured notes is estimated using valuation models for the combined derivative and debt portions of the notes when the fair value option has been elected. These models incorporate observable, and in some instances unobservable, inputs including security prices, interest rate yield curves, option volatility, currency, commodity or equity rates and correlations between these inputs. The impact of Merrill Lynch's own credit spreads is also included based on Merrill Lynch's observed secondary bond market spreads. Structured notes are classified as either Level 2 or Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

Recurring Fair Value

The following tables present Merrill Lynch's fair value hierarchy for those assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.



	Fair Value Measurements on a Recurring Basis as of September 30, 2012							
		as of	September	30, 2012 Netting				
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Adj ⁽¹⁾	Total			
Assets:								
Securities segregated for regulatory purposes or deposited with clearing organizations:								
Non-U.S. governments and agencies	\$ 431	\$ 1,729	\$	\$	\$ 2,160			
U.S. Government and agencies	3,347	251			3,598			
Total securities segregated for regulatory purposes or deposited with clearing organizations	3,778	1,980	_	—	5,758			
Receivables under resale agreements	_	99,587			99,587			
Receivables under securities borrowed transactions	_	1,258	—	_	1,258			
Trading assets, excluding derivative contracts:								
Equities	21,821	10,719	120	—	32,660			
Convertible debentures	_	4,457	33	_	4,490			
Non-U.S. governments and agencies	35,110	3,392	303	_	38,805			
Corporate debt	_	13,895	2,002	_	15,897			
Preferred stock	_	96	267	_	363			
Mortgages, mortgage-backed and asset-backed	_	5,231	4,738	_	9,969			
U.S. Government and agencies	21,920	23,942	—	—	45,862			
Municipals and money markets	1,137	8,627	1,510	—	11,274			
Physical commodities and other	_	450	_	_	450			
Total trading assets, excluding derivative contracts	79,988	70,809	8,973	_	159,770			
Derivative contracts ⁽²⁾	2,448	680,484	6,875	(663,186)	26,621			
Investment securities available-for-sale:								
U.S. Government and agencies	405	_	—	_	405			
Securities, mortgage-backed and asset backed								
Non-agency MBS	_	45	_		45			
Corporate ABS	_	364	8	_	372			
Total investment securities available-for-sale	405	409	8		822			
Investment securities non-qualifying	2,693	711	354		3,758			
Total investment securities	3,098	1,120	362		4,580			
Securities received as collateral	14,267	464			14,731			
Loans, notes and mortgages	_	1,001	1,679	_	2,680			
Other assets	_	_	1,263	_	1,263			
Liabilities:								
Payables under repurchase agreements	_	53,635	—	_	53,635			
Short-term borrowings	_	3,309	—	_	3,309			
Trading liabilities, excluding derivative contracts:								
Equities	17,041	2,305	_	_	19,346			
Convertible debentures	_	242	_	_	242			
Non-U.S. governments and agencies	20,294	1,060	_	_	21,354			
Corporate debt	·	9,019	20	_	9,039			
Preferred stock	_	154	_	_	154			
U.S. Government and agencies	17,401	596	_	_	17,997			
Municipals, money markets and other	403	74	51	_	528			
Total trading liabilities, excluding derivative contracts	55,139	13,450	71		68,660			

(dollars in millions)

Derivative contracts ⁽²⁾	2,062	681,830	4,429	(665,750)	22,571
Obligation to return securities received as collateral	14,267	464	—	_	14,731
Other payables — interest and other		80	9	—	89
Long-term borrowings	—	30,044	1,455	—	31,499

During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, \$1,740 million and \$350 million of assets and liabilities, respectively, were transferred from Level 1 to Level 2, and \$785 million and \$40 million of assets and liabilities, respectively, were transferred from Level 2 to Level 1. Of the asset transfer from Level 1 to Level 2, \$640 million was due to a restriction that became effective for a non-qualifying investment security during the first quarter of 2012, while \$535 million of the asset transfer from Level 1 was due to the lapse of this restriction during the second quarter of 2012. The remaining transfers were the result of additional information associated with certain equities, derivative contracts and investment securities non-qualifying.

			asurements on a of December 31,	8	
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Netting Adj ⁽¹⁾	Total
Lssets:					
Securities segregated for regulatory purposes or deposited with clearing organizations:					
Corporate debt	\$	\$ 295	\$	\$	\$ 295
Non-U.S. governments and agencies	_	1,757	_	—	1,757
U.S. Government and agencies	1,796	740	_	_	2,536
Total securities segregated for regulatory purposes or deposited with clearing organizations	1,796	2,792			4,588
Receivables under resale agreements		85,652			85,652
Receivables under securities borrowed transactions	_	259	_	_	259
Trading assets, excluding derivative contracts:					
Equities	14,962	5,860	179	_	21,001
Convertible debentures	_	4,519	99	_	4,618
Non-U.S. governments and agencies	28,026	1,871	342	_	30,239
Corporate debt	_	13,027	3,962	_	16,989
Preferred stock	_	89	227	_	316
Mortgages, mortgage-backed and asset-backed	_	5,055	3,199	_	8,254
U.S. Government and agencies	22,183	20,820	_	_	43,003
Municipals and money markets	1,067	9,755	2,047	_	12,869
Physical commodities and other	_	175	_	_	175
Total trading assets, excluding derivative contracts					
	66,238	61,171	10,055		137,464
Derivative contracts ⁽²⁾	1,810	722,108	10,110	(699,015)	35,013
Investment securities available-for-sale:					
U.S. Government and agencies	398				398
Securities, mortgage-backed and asset backed					
Non-agency MBS	—	249	—	—	249
Corporate ABS			47		47
Total investment securities available-for-sale	398	249	47		694
Investment securities non-qualifying	2,624	328	574		3,526
Total investment securities	3,022	577	621		4,220
Securities received as collateral	13,058	658	_	_	13,716

Loans, notes and mortgages	—	596	1,726	—	2,322
Other assets	—	—	1,349	—	1,349
Liabilities:					
Payables under repurchase agreements	—	34,235	—	—	34,235
Short-term borrowings	—	5,908	—	—	5,908
Trading liabilities, excluding derivative contracts:					
Equities	10,868	1,230	—	—	12,098
Convertible debentures	—	125	—	—	125
Non-U.S. governments and agencies	15,911	643	—	—	16,554
Corporate debt	—	6,927	52	—	6,979
Preferred stock	—	89	16	—	105
U.S. Government and agencies	15,603	1,373	—	—	16,976
Municipals, money markets and other	549	51	45	—	645
Total trading liabilities, excluding derivative contracts	42,931	10,438	113	_	53,482
Derivative contracts ⁽²⁾	1,419	724,713	5,615	(705,508)	26,239
Obligation to return securities received as collateral	13,058	658	—	—	13,716
Other payables — interest and other	—	163	10	—	173
Long-term borrowings	—	28,139	2,186		30,325

(1) Represents counterparty and cash collateral

netting. (2) Refer to Note 6 for product level detail.

Transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 assets and liabilities were not significant for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Level 3 derivative contracts (assets) relate to derivative positions on U.S. ABS CDOs and other mortgage products of \$2.4 billion, \$3.1 billion of other credit derivatives that incorporate unobservable model valuation inputs, and \$4.6 billion of equity, currency, interest rate and commodity derivatives that are long-dated and/or have unobservable model valuation inputs (e.g., unobservable correlation).

Level 3 non-qualifying investment securities primarily relate to certain private equity positions.

Level 3 loans, notes and mortgages primarily relate to residential mortgage and corporate loans.

Level 3 other assets represent net monoline exposure to a single counterparty. This exposure was reclassified from derivative contracts (assets) during the third quarter of 2011 because of the inherent default risk and given that these contracts no longer provide a hedge benefit (see Note 6).

Level 3 derivative contracts (liabilities) relate to derivative positions on U.S. ABS CDOs and other mortgage products of \$1.6 billion, \$0.7 billion of other credit derivatives that incorporate unobservable model valuation inputs, and \$3.3 billion of equity, currency, interest rate and commodity derivatives that are long-dated and/or have unobservable model valuation inputs (e.g., unobservable correlation).

Level 3 long-term borrowings primarily relate to equity-linked structured notes of \$1.7 billion, which have unobservable model valuation inputs (e.g., unobservable correlation).

Level 3 Financial Instruments

The following tables provide a summary of changes in Merrill Lynch's Level 3 financial assets and liabilities for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011.

						evel 3 Financial							
		Total Reali Gains or (Loss	zed and Unre es) included i		Total Realized and Unrealized	aree Months End	led Septemb	er 30, 2012					
	Beginning Balance	Principal Transactions	Other Revenue	Interest	Gains or (Losses) included in Income	Unrealized Gains or (Losses) to OCI	Sales	Purchases	Issuances	Settlements	Transfers In	Transfers Out	Ending Balance
Assets:													
Trading assets, excluding derivative contracts:													
Equities	\$ 185	\$ (5)	\$ —	s —	\$ (5)	s —	(2)	16	s —	(9)	\$ 1	\$ (66)	\$ 120
Convertible debentures	34	1	_	_	1	_	(3)	_		_	3	(2)	33
Non-U.S. governments and agencies	389	7	_	_	7	_	(112)	29	_	(4)	1	(7)	303
Corporate debt	2,106	45	_	_	45	_	(236)	350	_	(103)	100	(260)	2,002
Preferred stock	228	18	_	_	18	_	(10)	27	_	_	4	_	267
Mortgages, mortgage- backed and asset- backed	4,578	106	_	_	106	_	(228)	380	_	(97)	_	(1)	4,738
Municipals and money markets	1,730	10	_	_	10	_	(361)	150	_	(15)	_	(4)	1,510
Total trading assets, excluding derivative contracts	9,250	182		_	182		(952)	952		(228)	109	(340)	8,973
Derivative contracts, net	3,414	(766)			(766)		(41)	56		(24)	(212)	19	2,446
Investment securities available-for-sale :	3,414	(700)	_	_	(700)	_	(41)	30	_	(24)	(212)	19	2,440
Corporate ABS	8	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	8
Total investment securities available-for-sale	8		_	_		_		_	_		_	_	8
Investment securities non- qualifying	333	_	(1)	_	(1)	_	(6)	41	_	(13)	_	_	354
Total investment securities	341	_	(1)	_	(1)	_	(6)	41	_	(13)	_	_	362
Loans, notes and mortgages	1,776		66	8	74		(150)	_	_	(21)	_	_	1,679
Other assets	1,263	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	1,263
Liabilities:													
Trading liabilities, excluding derivative contracts:													
Corporate debt	9	2	_	_	2	_	22	(8)	_	_	1	(2)	20
Preferred stock	9	_	_	_	_	_	_	(9)	_	_	_	_	_
Municipals, money markets and other	44	2		_	2		9						51
Total trading liabilities, excluding derivative contracts	62	4		_	4		31	(17)	_		1	(2)	71
Other payables - interest and other	2	_	(1)	_	(1)	_	_		5	_	1	_	9
Long-term borrowings	1,469	(45)	(3)	_	(48)	_		(11)	16	(109)	308	(266)	1,455

Transfers out for equities primarily relate to increased market liquidity and price observability for certain equity positions. Transfers in and out for corporate debt primarily relate to changes in market liquidity for certain corporate loans. Transfers in for derivative contracts, net primarily relate to decreased price observability for certain long-dated equity derivative liabilities due to a lack of independent pricing. Transfers in and out related to long-term borrowings are primarily due to changes in the impact of unobservable inputs on the value of certain equity-linked structured notes.

(dollars in millions)

						Level 3 Financial Assets Nine Months Ended Sep							_
		Gains or (L	alized and Unreal osses) included in		Total Realized and Unrealized Gains	Unrealized							
	Beginning Balance	Principal Transactions	Other Revenue	Interest	or (Losses) included in Income	Gains or (Losses) to OCI	Sales	Purchases	Issuances	Settlements	Transfers In	Transfers Out	Ending Balance
Assets:													
Trading assets, excluding derivative contracts:													
Equities	\$ 179	\$ (10)	s —	s —	\$ (10)	s —	(54)	58	s —	(18)	\$ 33	\$ (68)	\$ 120
Convertible debentures	99	1	_	_	1	_	(56)	_	_	_	8	(19)	33
Non-U.S. governments and agencies	342	5	_	_	5	_	(357)	337	_	(5)	1	(20)	303
Corporate debt(1)	3,962	136	_		136	_	(1,991)	907	_	(542)	248	(718)	2,002
Preferred stock	227	29	_	_	29	_	(102)	109	_	(1)	5	_	267
Mortgages, mortgage- backed and asset-backed(1)	3,199	158	_	_	158	_	(621)	1,710	_	(330)	736	(114)	4,738
Municipals and money markets	2,047	19	_	_	19	_	(680)	357	_	(206)	_	(27)	1,510
Total trading assets, excluding derivative contracts	10,055	338	_	_	338	_	(3,861)	3,478	_	(1,102)	1,031	(966)	8,973
Derivative contracts, net	4,495	(1,308)	_	_	(1,308)	_	(420)	616	_	(370)	(191)	(376)	2,446
Investment securities available-for-sale :													
Corporate ABS	47	_	(2)	_	(2)		_	_		(37)	_	_	8
Total investment securities available-for-sale	47	_	(2)	_	(2)	_	_	_	_	(37)	_	_	8
Investment securities non- qualifying	574	_	(7)		(7)		(96)	50		(167)			354
Total investment securities	621		(9)		(9)		(96)	50	_	(204)		_	362
Loans, notes and mortgages	1,726	_	180	23	203	_	(166)	7	_	(91)	_	_	1,679
Other assets	1,349	_	(86)		(86)	_	_	_	_			_	1,263
Liabilities:													
Trading liabilities, excluding derivative contracts:													
Corporate debt	52	2	_	_	2	_	57	(52)	_	1	3	(39)	20
Preferred stock	16	(2)	_	_	(2)	_	9	(14)	_	_	_	(13)	_
Municipals, money markets and other	45	7	_		7		18	(6)	1			_	51
Total trading liabilities, excluding derivative contracts	113	7	_	_	7		84	(72)	1	1	3	(52)	71
Other payables - interest and other	10	_	3	_	3	_	_	(1)	5	_	1	(3)	9
Long-term borrowings	2,186	(104)	(38)	_	(142)	_	33	(109)	97	(738)	749	(905)	1,455

(1) During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, approximately \$900 million was reclassified from Corporate debt to Mortgages, mortgage-backed and asset-backed. In the table above, this reclassification is presented as a sale of Corporate debt and as a purchase of Mortgages, mortgage-backed and asset-backed trading assets.

Transfers in and out for corporate debt primarily relate to changes in market liquidity for certain corporate loans. Transfers in for mortgages, mortgagebacked and asset-backed is primarily the result of additional information related to certain CLOs. Transfers out for mortgages, mortgage-backed and asset-backed relates to increased market activity (i.e., executed trades) for certain loans backed by commercial real estate. Transfers in for derivative contracts, net primarily relate to decreased price observability for certain long-dated equity derivative liabilities due to lack of independent pricing. Transfers out for derivative contracts, net primarily relates to increased price observability (i.e., market comparables for referenced instruments) for certain total return swaps ("TRS") and foreign exchange swaps. Transfers in and out related to long-term borrowings are primarily due to changes in the impact of unobservable inputs on the value of certain equity-linked structured notes.

(dollars in millions)

						Level 3 Financial hree Months End							
	Beginning	Gains or (Los: Principal	Other	in Income	Total Realized and Unrealized Gains or (Losses) included in	Unrealized Gains or (Losses) to					Transfers	Transfers	Ending
	Balance	Transactions	Revenue	Interest	Income	OCI	Sales	Purchases	Issuances	Settlements	In	Out	Balance
Assets: Trading assets, excluding derivative contracts:													
Equities	163	(9)	_	_	(9)	_	(73)	73	_	(1)	11	_	164
Convertible debentures	152	_	_	_	_	_	(13)	9	_	_	18	(12)	154
Non-U.S. governments and agencies	391	(17)	_	_	(17)	_	(3)	3	_		1	_	375
Corporate debt	3,846	(199)	_	_	(199)	_	(433)	925	_	(238)	516	(103)	4,314
Preferred stock	307	1		_	1	_	(17)	30		(24)	_	_	297
Mortgages, mortgage- backed and asset-backed	4,848	(98)	_	_	(98)	_	(1,281)	83	_	(51)	_	(281)	3,220
Municipals and money markets	2,486	22	_	_	22	_	(158)	52	_	(189)	54	(1)	2,266
Total trading assets, excluding derivative contracts	12,193	(300)	_	_	(300)	_	(1,978)	1,175	_	(503)	600	(397)	10,790
Derivative contracts, net	5,101	988			988		(114)	109		(1,912)	285	(4)	4,453
Investment securities available-for-sale :	5,101	988	_	_	988	_	(114)	109	_	(1,912)	285	(4)	4,435
Mortgage-backed securities — agency CMOs	55	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	55
Mortgage-backed securities — non-agency MBSs	96	_	(5)	_	(5)	(13)	(1)	9	_	_	_	_	86
Corporate ABS	86	_	(6)	_	(6)	_	_	162	_	_	_	_	242
Total investment securities available-for-sale	237		(11)		(11)	(13)	(1)	171					383
Investment securities non- qualifying	1,571		106		106		(286)	6		(109)			1,288
Total investment securities	1,808		95		95	(13)	(287)	177		(109)			1,671
				8		(15)		2					
Loans, notes and mortgages	1,940	_	(61)	8	(53)	_	(154)		450	(20)	_	(326)	1,839
Other Assets		_	_	_	_	_	_	1,578	_	_	_	_	1,578
Liabilities: Trading liabilities, excluding derivative contracts:													
Corporate debt	28	1	_	_	1	_	12	(10)	_	_	24	_	53
Preferred stock	23	2	_	_	2	_	_	(7)	_	_	_	_	14
Municipals, money market and other	s 3	(1)	_	_	(1)	_	_	(1)	_	_	_	_	3
Total trading liabilities, excluding derivative contracts	54	2			2		12	(18)			24	_	70
Contracts Other payables - interest and other	108				1			(18)				(100)	70
		244					17	(120)	164	(172)	227		
Long-term borrowings	2,532	344	18	_	362		17	(120)	164	(173)	326	(240)	2,144

Net gains in principal transactions related to derivative contracts, net were primarily due to credit spreads widening on short CDS baskets.

Sales of mortgages, mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities primarily relates to the sale of CDO and CLO positions.

Purchases of corporate debt primarily relates to purchases of non-investment grade and distressed corporate loans and bonds.

The purchases for other assets and settlements for derivative contracts, net reflect the reclassification of approximately \$1.6 billion of net monoline exposure from derivative contracts (assets) to other assets because of the inherent default risk and given that these contracts no longer provide a hedge benefit.

Transfers in for corporate debt are primarily due to decreased observability (i.e., decreased market liquidity) for certain corporate loans and bonds. Transfers out for mortgages, mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities primarily relates to increased observability (i.e., trading activity) for certain CMBS positions. Transfers in for derivative contracts, net are primarily due to certain equity derivative positions with unobservable correlation. Transfers out for loans, notes and mortgages and other payables - interest and other primarily relates to increased

observability (i.e., liquid comparables) for certain corporate loans and unfunded loan commitments. Transfers in and out related to long-term borrowings are primarily due to changes in the impact of unobservable inputs on the value of certain equity-linked structured notes.

(dollars in millions)						Level 3 Financ	ial Assets and	Liabilities					
		Total Real	lized and Unre	alized	Total Realized	Nine Months E							
		Gains or (Los			and Unrealized								
	Beginning Balance	Principal Transactions	Other Revenue	Interest	Gains or (Losses) included in Income	Unrealized Gains to OCI	Sales	Purchases	Issuances	Settlements	Transfers In	Transfers Out	Ending Balance
Assets: Trading assets, excluding derivative contracts:													
Equities	\$ 170	\$ 26	s —	\$ _	\$ 26	s —	\$ (159)	\$ 181	\$ —	\$ (64)	\$ 11	\$ (1)	\$ 164
Convertible debentures	_	7	_	_	7	_	(97)	238	_	_	18	(12)	154
Non-U.S. governments and agencies	243	68	_	_	68	_	(18)	125	_	(3)	4	(44)	375
Corporate debt	4,605	128	_	_	128	_	(2,529)	2,043	_	(346)	763	(350)	4,314
Preferred stock	287	29	_	_	29	_	(123)	60	_	(76)	120	_	297
Mortgages, mortgage-backed	5 747	297			286		(2 (80)	1.50((00)	1	((21)	2 220
and asset-backed Municipals and	5,747	286	_	_	286	_	(3,689)	1,596	_	(90)	1	(631)	3,220
money markets Total trading assets, excluding derivative	2,327	53			53		(1,810)	1,936		(361)	126	(1.042)	2,266
contracts Derivative contracts,	13,379	597			597		(8,425)	6,179		(940)	1,043	(1,043)	10,790
net Investment securities available-for-sale :	6,368	1,015	_	_	1,015	_	(796)	742	_	(2,774)	584	(686)	4,453
Mortgage-backed securities — agency CMOs	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	56	_	(1)	_	_	55
Mortgage-backed securities — non-													
agency MBSs	213	_	(20)	_	(20)	(35)	(83)	11		_	_	_	86
Corporate ABS Total investment			(6)		(6)			248					242
securities available- for-sale	213		(26)		(26)	(35)	(83)	315		(1)			383
Investment securities non-qualifying	3,394		451		451		(1,138)	52		(298)	375	(1,548)	1,288
Total investment securities	3,607		425		425	(35)	(1,221)	367		(299)	375	(1,548)	1,671
Loans, notes and mortgages	1,891	_	168	25	193	-	(650)	146	665	(175)	135	(366)	1,839
Other Assets	_	_	_	_	—	—	_	1,578	—	—	_	—	1,578
Liabilities: Trading liabilities, excluding derivative contracts:													
Corporate debt	_	_	_	_	_	-	84	(55)	_	_	24	_	53
Preferred stock	_	2	_	_	2	-	23	(7)	_	_	_	_	14
Municipals, money markets and other		(1)			(1)		22	(20)					3
Total trading liabilities, excluding derivative contracts		1			1		129	(82)			24		70
Other payables - interest and other	126		26		26		4	(6)	9		_	(100)	7
Long-term borrowings	2,396	242	3	_	245	_	72	(232)	412	(499)	855	(615)	2,144

Net gains in principal transactions related to derivative contracts, net were primarily due to credit spreads widening on short CDS baskets in the third quarter of 2011.

Sales of corporate debt primarily relates to sales of corporate ARS and distressed loans during the first quarter of 2011. Sales of mortgages, mortgagebacked and asset-backed securities primarily relates to the sale of CDO positions in conjunction with the liquidation of a VIE and sales of CLO positions due to the unwind of Merrill Lynch's proprietary trading business. Purchases of corporate debt primarily relates to purchases of non-investment grade and distressed corporate loans and bonds. Purchases of mortgages, mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities primarily relates to purchases of CDO and CLO positions. Sales and purchases of municipal securities is primarily due to dealer activity in student loan ARS. Sales of investment securities non-qualifying primarily relates to the sale of a private equity investment during the first quarter of 2011.

The purchases for other assets and settlements for derivative contracts, net reflect the reclassification of approximately \$1.6 billion of net monoline exposure from derivative contracts (assets) to other assets because of the inherent default risk and given that these contracts no longer provide a hedge benefit.

Transfers in for corporate debt are primarily due to decreased observability (i.e., decreased market liquidity) for certain corporate loans and bonds. Transfers out for corporate debt primarily relates to increased price observability (e.g., trading comparables) for certain corporate bond positions. Transfers out for mortgages, mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities primarily relates to increased price observability for certain RMBS, CMBS and consumer ABS portfolios. Transfers in for derivative contracts, net primarily relates to changes in the valuation methodology for certain CDO positions, in addition to certain equity derivative positions with unobservable correlation. Transfers out for derivative contracts, net primarily relates to increased price observability for certain equity and credit derivative positions. Transfers in for investment securities non-qualifying are due to a change in the valuation methodology for a private equity fund. Transfers out related to investment securities non-qualifying are due to a private equity investment that underwent an initial public offering during the first quarter of 2011. Transfers out for loans, notes and mortgages and other payables interest and other primarily relates to increased observability

(i.e., liquid comparables) for certain corporate loans and unfunded loan commitments. Transfers in and out related to long-term borrowings are primarily due to changes in the impact of unobservable inputs on the value of certain equity-linked structured notes.

The following tables provide the portion of gains or losses included in income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 attributable to unrealized gains or losses relating to those Level 3 assets and liabilities held at September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively.



(dollars in millions)						Unreal	ized	Gains or	r (L	osses) for Level 3						
										ies Still Held						
		Three Mo	ber 30, 2012	2	Nine Months ended Sept						r 30, 2012	2				
		Principal Transactions	Other Revenue			Interest		Fotal		Principal Transactions	Other Revenue		Interest			Total
Assets:																
Trading assets, excluding derivative contracts:																
Equities	\$	(6)	\$		\$		\$	(6)	\$	(4)	\$	_	\$		\$	(4)
Convertible debentures		1						1		1		_				1
Non-U.S. governments and agencies		8		_		_		8		16		_		_		16
Corporate debt		27						27		(8)		_				(8)
Preferred stock		17						17		23		_				23
Mortgages, mortgage-backed and asset-backed		88		_				88		95		_				95
Municipals and money markets		1		_		_		1				_		_		_
Total trading assets, excluding derivative contracts		136		_		_		136		123		_		_		123
Derivative contracts, net		(764)		_		_		(764)		(1,253)		_		_		(1,253)
Investment securities non- qualifying		_		(1)		_		(1)		_		(28)		_		(28)
Loans, notes and mortgages		_		66		_		66		_		129		_		129
Other assets		_		_		_		_				(86)				(86)
Liabilities:																
Trading liabilities, excluding derivative contracts:																
Municipals, money markets and other		1		_		_		1		3		_				3
Total trading liabilities, excluding derivative contracts		1		_		_		1		3		_				3
Other payables — interest and other		_		(2)		_		(2)		_		_				_
Long-term borrowings		(46)		(3)				(49)		(105)		(37)				(142)
						33										

(dollars in millions)				Unnoali	and (Tains on	<u>Л</u> о	osses) for Level 3							
								es Still Held							
	 Three Mo	nths ended Sept	tembe	er 30, 201	1			Nine Months ended September 30, 2011							
	Principal Transactions	Other Revenue	I	nterest		Fotal		Principal Transactions		Other evenue		Interest		Total	
Assets:															
Trading assets, excluding derivative contracts:															
Equities	\$ (9)	\$	\$		\$	(9)	\$	(55)	\$		\$	_	\$	(55)	
Convertible debentures	_	_		_		_		3		_		_		3	
Non-U.S. governments and agencies	16	_		_		16		86		_		_		86	
Corporate debt	(206)	_		_		(206)		(52)		_		_		(52)	
Preferred stock	(11)	_		_		(11)		12		_		_		12	
Mortgages, mortgage-backed and asset-backed	(116)	_		_		(116)		85		_		_		85	
Municipals and money markets	1	_		_		1		17				_		17	
Total trading assets, excluding derivative contracts	 (325)			_		(325)		96		_		_		96	
Derivative contracts, net	 875					875		1,144				_		1,144	
Investment securities available- for-sale:															
Mortgage-backed securities - non-agency MBSs	_	(5)		_		(5)		_		(30)		_		(30)	
Corporate/Agency bonds	_	(6)				(6)				(6)		—		(6)	
Total investment securities available-for-sale	 _	(11)		_		(11)		_		(36)		_		(36)	
Investment securities non- qualifying	 _	(54)		_		(54)		_		38		_		38	
Total investment securities	 _	(65)				(65)		_		2		_		2	
Loans, notes and mortgages	 _	(61)		_		(61)		_		124		_		124	
Liabilities:															
Trading liabilities, excluding derivative contracts:															
Corporate debt	1	—				1						—		—	
Preferred Stock	2	—				2		2				—		2	
Municipals, money markets and other	 (1)			_		(1)		(1)		_		_		(1)	
Total trading liabilities, excluding derivative contracts	2		_	_		2	_	1		_		_		1	
Other payables — interest and other	 _	(1)		_		(1)		_		1				1	
Long-term borrowings	331	18		_		349		229		(9)				220	

Level 3 Significant Inputs

The following tables present information about significant unobservable inputs related to material components of Merrill Lynch's Level 3 financial assets and liabilities as of September 30, 2012.

Quantitative Information about Level 3 Fair Value Measurements

(dollars in millions)				Inputs	
Financial Instrument	Fa	ir Value	Valuation Techniques	Unobservable Inputs	Ranges of Inputs
Loans and Securities					
Instruments backed by residential real estate assets	\$	1,699		Yield	5% to 25%
Loans, notes and mortgages		1,015		Prepayment Speeds (CPR)	3% to 10%
Trading assets - Mortgages, mortgage-backed and asset- backed		684	Discounted Cash Flow	Default Rates (CDR) Loss Severities	1% to 3% 35% to 45%
Instruments backed by commercial real estate assets	\$	1,723		Yield	5% to 7%
Loans, notes and mortgages		214		Loss Severities	31% to 100%
Other assets		1,263	Discounted Cash Flow		
Trading assets - Mortgages, mortgage-backed and asset- backed		246			
Commercial loans, debt securities and other	\$	6,260		Yield	0% to 20%
Loans, notes and mortgages Trading assets - Mortgages, mortgage-backed and asset-		450	Discounted Cash Flow, Market Comparables	Enterprise Value/EBITDA multiple	3x to 7x
backed		3,808	Comparables	Prepayment Speed	5% to 25%
Trading assets - Corporate debt		2,002		Default Rates	1% to 5%
				Loss Severity	25% to 40%
Auction Rate Securities Trading assets - Municipals and money markets	\$	1,510	Discounted Cash Flow, Market Comparables	Projected tender price / re- financing level	50% to 100%
Long-term borrowings (structured notes)					
Long-term borrowings	\$	1,455	Industry Standard Derivative	Equity Correlation	30% to 97%
			Pricing ⁽¹⁾	Long- Dated Volatilities	20% to 70%

(1) Includes models such as Monte Carlo simulation and Black-Scholes.

CPR = Constant Prepayment Rate

CDR = Constant Default Rate

Quantitative Information about Level 3 Fair Value Measurements

(dollars in millions)			Inputs	
Financial Instrument	Fair Value	Valuation Techniques	Unobservable Inputs	Ranges of Inputs
Net Derivative Contracts				
Credit derivatives	2,655		Yield	2% to 25%
			Credit spreads	71bps to 600bps
			Upfront points	30 to 99
		Discounted Cash Flow, Stochastic	Spread to index	-1,874bps to 2,708bps
			Credit correlation	30% to 80%
			Prepayment speed (CPR)	5% to 25%
			Default rates (CDR)	1% to 5%
			Loss severity	25% to 40%
Equity derivatives	(723)	Industry Standard Derivative	Equity Correlation	30% to 97%
		Pricing ⁽¹⁾	Long-Dated Volatilities	20% to 70%
Commodity derivatives	(1)	Discounted Cash Flow	Long-term Natural Gas Basis	-\$0.270 to \$0.314
Interest rate derivatives	515		Correlation (IR/IR)	15% to 100%
			Correlation (FX/IR)	-65% to 50%
		Industry Standard Derivative	Long Dated Inflation Rates	1.8% to 2.9%
		Pricing ⁽¹⁾	Long Dated Inflation Volatilities	0.2% to 1.3%
			Long Dated Volatilities (FX)	5% to 36%
			Long Dated Swap Rates	3% to 10%
Total net derivative contracts	\$ 2,446			

(1) Includes models such as Monte Carlo simulation, Black-Scholes and other methods that model the joint dynamics of interest, inflation and foreign exchange rates.

IR = Interest Rate

FX = Foreign Exchange

CPR = Constant Prepayment Rate

CDR = Constant Default Rate

In the tables above, instruments backed by residential and commercial real estate assets include RMBS, CMBS, whole loans, mortgage CDOs and net monoline exposure. Commercial loans, debt securities and other include corporate CLOs and CDOs, commercial loans and bonds, and securities backed by non-real estate assets. Structured notes primarily include equity-linked notes that are accounted for under the fair value option.

In addition to the instruments disclosed in the tables above, Merrill Lynch holds \$354 million of Investment securities non-qualifying that are primarily comprised of certain direct private equity investments and private equity funds that are classified as Level 3. Valuations of direct private equity investments are prepared internally based on the most recent portfolio company financial information. Inputs generally include market and acquisition

comparables, entry level multiples, as well as other variables. Merrill Lynch selects a valuation methodology (e.g., market comparables) for each investment and, in certain instances, multiple inputs are weighted to derive the most representative value. Discounts are applied as appropriate to consider the lack of liquidity and marketability versus publicly traded companies. For private equity funds, fair value is generally determined using the net asset value as provided by the individual fund's general partner.

Merrill Lynch uses multiple market approaches in valuing certain of its Level 3 financial instruments. For example, market comparables and discounted cash flows are used together. For a given product, such as corporate debt securities, market comparables may be used to estimate some of the unobservable inputs and then these inputs are incorporated into a discounted cash flow model. Therefore, the balances disclosed encompass both of these techniques.

The level of aggregation and diversity within the products disclosed in the tables above result in certain ranges of inputs being wide and unevenly distributed across asset and liability categories. For credit derivatives, for example, the range of credit spreads represents positions with varying levels of risk. The lower end of the credit spread range typically represents shorter-dated instruments and those with better perceived credit risk. The higher end of the range comprises longer-dated instruments and those referencing non-performing or impaired reference issuers. Similarly, the spread to index can vary significantly based on the risk of the instrument. The spread will be positive for instruments that have a higher risk of default than the index (which is based on a weighted-average of its components) and negative for instruments that have a lower risk of default than the index.

For interest rate derivatives, the diversity in the portfolio is reflected in wide ranges of inputs because varying currencies and tenors result in the use of numerous foreign exchange and interest rate curves. Since foreign exchange and interest rate correlations are measured between curves and across the various tenors on the same curve, the range of potential values can include both significantly negative and positive values.

Sensitivity of Fair Value Measurements to Changes in Unobservable Inputs

Loans and Securities

For instruments backed by residential real estate assets, commercial real estate assets, and commercial loans, debt securities and other, a significant increase in market yields, default rates or loss severities would result in a significantly lower fair value for long positions. Short positions would be impacted in a directionally opposite way. The impact of changes in prepayment speeds would have differing impacts depending on the seniority of the instrument and, in the case of CLOs, whether prepayments can be reinvested.

For student loan and municipal auction rate securities, a significant increase in projected tender price/refinancing levels would result in a significantly higher fair value.

Structured Notes and Derivatives

For credit derivatives, a significant increase in market yield, including spreads to indices, upfront points (i.e., a single upfront payment made by a protection buyer at inception) or credit spreads, default rates or loss severities would result in a significantly lower fair value for protection sellers and higher fair value for protection buyers. The impact of changes in prepayment speeds would have differing impacts depending on the seniority of the instrument and, in the case of CLOs, whether prepayments can be reinvested.

Structured credit derivatives, which include tranched portfolio CDS and derivatives with derivative product company ("DPC") and monoline counterparties, are impacted by credit correlation, including default and wrong way correlation. Default correlation is a parameter that describes the degree of dependence between credit default rates within a credit portfolio that underlies a credit derivative instrument. The sensitivity of this input on the fair value varies depending on the level of subordination of the tranche. For senior tranches that are net purchases of protection, a significantly higher fair value. Net short protection positions would be impacted in a directionally opposite way. Wrong-way correlation is a parameter that



describes the probability that as exposure to a counterparty increases, the credit quality of the counterparty decreases. A significantly higher degree of wrong-way correlation between a DPC counterparty and underlying derivative exposure would result in a significantly lower fair value.

For equity derivatives, equity-linked long-term debt (structured notes) and interest rate derivatives, a significant change in long-dated rates and volatilities and correlation inputs (e.g., the degree of correlation between an equity security to an index, between two different interest rates, or between interest rates and foreign exchange rates) would result in a significant impact to the fair value. However, the magnitude and direction of the impact depends on whether Merrill Lynch is long or short the exposure.

Non-recurring Fair Value

Certain assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis and are not included in the tables above. These assets and liabilities primarily include loans and loan commitments held for sale that are reported at lower of cost or fair value and loans held for investment that were initially measured at cost and have been written down to fair value as a result of an impairment. The following tables show the fair value hierarchy for those assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, and related gains (losses) for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011.

(dollars in millions)

		Non-l	Recur	ring Ba	sis		Gains/(Losses)	(Gains/(Losses)	G	Gains/(Losses)	Ga	ins/(Losses)
-	:	as of Se	ptem	ber 30, 2	2012	Tł	ree Months Ended	Nin	e Months Ended	Thre	e Months Ended	Nine	Months Ended
-	Le	vel 2	L	evel 3	Total	s	eptember 30, 2012	Sep	otember 30, 2012	Sept	tember 30, 2011	Septe	mber 30, 2011
Assets:													
Investment securities non-qualifying	\$	—	\$	4	\$ 4	\$	—	\$	—	\$	—	\$	(5)
Loans, notes and mortgages		11		247	258		(9)		(49)		(43)		1
Other assets		2		97	99		(1)		(1)		—		(7)
Liabilities:													
Other payables — interest and other	\$		\$	—	\$ —	\$	—	\$	—	\$	—	\$	(1)

(dollars in millions)

		Non-Recurring Basis								
		as of	December 31, 2011							
	Le	evel 2	Level 3		Total					
Assets:										
Investment securities non-qualifying	\$	— \$	5	\$	5					
Loans, notes and mortgages		298	245		543					
Other assets		_	19		19					

Loans, notes and mortgages includes held for sale loans that are carried at the lower of cost or fair value and for which the fair value was below the cost basis at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. It also includes certain impaired held for investment loans where an allowance for loan losses has been calculated based upon the fair value of the loans or collateral. Level 3 assets as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 primarily relate to commercial real estate loans that are classified as held for sale where there continues to be significant illiquidity in the loan trading and securitization markets.

Other payables - interest and other includes amounts recorded for loan commitments at lower of cost or fair value where the funded loan will be held for sale.



Fair Value Option Election

The fair value option election allows companies to irrevocably elect fair value as the initial and subsequent measurement attribute for certain financial assets and liabilities. Changes in fair value for assets and liabilities for which the election is made will be recognized in earnings as they occur. The fair value option election is permitted on an instrument by instrument basis at initial recognition of an asset or liability or upon an event that gives rise to a new basis of accounting for that instrument. As discussed above, certain of Merrill Lynch's financial instruments are required to be accounted for at fair value under Investment Accounting and Derivatives Accounting, as well as industry level guidance. For certain financial instruments that are not accounted for at fair value under other applicable accounting guidance, the fair value option election has been made.

The following tables provide information about the line items in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Earnings where changes in fair values of assets and liabilities, for which the fair value option election has been made, are included for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011.

(dollars in millions)

	Changes in Fair Value For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2012, for Items Measured at Fair Value Pursuant to the Fair Value Option Election					Changes in Fair Value For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012, for Items Measured at Fair Value Pursuant to the Fair Value Option Election						
		Gains (Losses) Principal ransactions		Gains (Losses) Other Revenues		Total Changes in Fair Value		Gains (Losses) Principal Transactions		Gains (Losses) Other Revenues		Total Changes in Fair Value
Assets:												
Receivables under resale agreements	\$	(44)	\$	_	\$	(44)	\$	(73)	\$	_	\$	(73)
Investment securities		—		(2)		(2)		—		—		—
Loans		27		74		101		56		151		207
Liabilities:												
Payables under repurchase agreements		40		_		40		15		—		15
Short-term borrowings		(1)		_		(1)		18		—		18
Other payables - interest and other		_		44		44		_		75		75
Long-term borrowings		(1,476)		(6)		(1,482)		(3,317)		(27)		(3,344)

(dollars in millions)

						Changes in Fair Value For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011, for Items Measured at Fair Value Pursuant to the Fair Value Option Election					
	 Gains (Losses) Principal Transactions		Gains (Losses) Other Revenues		Total Changes in Fair Value		Gains (Losses) Principal Transactions		Gains (Losses) Other Revenues		Total Changes in Fair Value
Assets:											
Receivables under resale agreements	\$ 169	\$	—	\$	169	\$	197	\$	—	\$	197
Investment securities	_		(26)		(26)		_		4		4
Loans	(23)		(114)		(137)		(23)		25		2
Liabilities:											
Payables under repurchase agreements	(16)		_		(16)		(13)		_		(13)
Short-term borrowings	214		_		214		307		_		307
Other payables — interest and other	_		(65)		(65)		_		(49)		(49)
Long-term borrowings	4,568		134		4,702		4,062		134		4,196

The following describes the rationale for electing to account for certain financial assets and liabilities at fair value, as well as the impact of instrumentspecific credit risk on the fair value.

Resale and repurchase agreements

Merrill Lynch elected the fair value option for certain resale and repurchase agreements and, to a lesser extent,

securities borrowing agreements. The fair value option election was made based on the tenor of the agreements, which reflect the magnitude of the interest rate risk. The majority of resale and repurchase agreements collateralized by U.S. Government securities was excluded from the fair value option election as these contracts are generally short-dated and therefore the interest rate risk is not considered significant. Amounts loaned under resale agreements require collateral with a market value equal to or in excess of the principal amount loaned, resulting in minimal credit risk for such transactions.

Loans and loan commitments

Merrill Lynch elected the fair value option for certain loans that are risk managed on a fair value basis. Upon the acquisition of Merrill Lynch by Bank of America, Merrill Lynch also elected the fair value option for certain mortgage, commercial, and leveraged loans and loan commitments. The changes in the fair value of loans and loan commitments, for which the fair value option was elected, that were attributable to changes in borrower-specific credit risk were not material for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011.

As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the aggregate fair value of loans for which the fair value option election has been made that were 90 days or more past due was \$14 million and \$28 million, respectively, and the aggregate fair value of loans that were in non-accrual status was \$24 million and \$117 million at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the unpaid principal amount due exceeded the aggregate fair value of such loans that are 90 days or more past due and/or in non-accrual status by \$147 million and \$172 million, respectively.

Short-term and long-term borrowings

Merrill Lynch elected the fair value option for certain short-term and long-term borrowings that are risk managed on a fair value basis (e.g., structured notes) and/or for which hedge accounting under Derivatives Accounting had been difficult to obtain. The majority of the fair value changes on long-term borrowings are from structured notes with coupon or repayment terms that are linked to the performance of debt and equity securities, indices, currencies or commodities. Excluding gains (losses) related to changes in Merrill Lynch's credit spreads, the majority of the gains (losses) for the respective periods are offset by gains (losses) on derivatives and securities that economically hedge these borrowings and that are accounted for at fair value. The changes in the fair value of liabilities for which the fair value option election was made that were attributable to changes in Merrill Lynch's credit spreads were net losses of approximately \$(0.8) billion and \$(3.0) billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, and net gains of approximately \$2.9 billion and \$2.7 billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. Changes in Merrill Lynch specific credit risk are derived by isolating fair value changes due to changes in Merrill Lynch's credit spreads as observed in the secondary cash market.

The fair value option was also elected for certain non-recourse short-term and long-term borrowings and secured borrowings issued by consolidated VIEs. The fair value of these borrowings is not materially affected by changes in Merrill Lynch's creditworthiness.

The following tables present the difference between fair values and the aggregate contractual principal amounts of receivables under resale agreements, receivables under securities borrowed transactions, loans and long-term borrowings for which the fair value option election has been made as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.



(dollars	in	millions)
----------	----	-----------

	 r Value at nber 30, 2012	Principal Amount Due Upon Maturity	Difference		
Assets:					
Receivables under resale agreements	\$ 99,587	\$ 99,200	\$ 387		
Receivables under securities borrowed transactions	1,258	1,281	(23)		
Loans ⁽¹⁾	3,193	3,848	(655)		
Liabilities:					
Long-term borrowings (2)	31,499	33,770	(2,271)		

(1) Includes trading loans with a fair value of \$513 million.

(2) The difference between the fair value and principal amount due upon maturity at September 30, 2012 relates to the impact of changes in Merrill Lynch's credit spreads, as well as the fair value of the embedded derivative, where applicable.

(dollars in millions)			
	 r Value at iber 31, 2011	Principal Amount Due Upon Maturity	Difference
Assets:			
Receivables under resale agreements	\$ 85,652	\$ 85,197	\$ 455
Receivables under securities borrowed transactions	259	287	(28)
Loans ⁽¹⁾	2,742	4,023	(1,281)
Liabilities:			
Long-term borrowings ⁽²⁾	30,325	36,537	(6,212)

(1) Includes loans held for sale with a fair value of \$420 million, accounted for under the fair value option, which were reclassified to trading assets because they are risk managed on that basis.

(2) The difference between the fair value and principal amount due upon maturity at December 31, 2011 relates to the impact of changes in Merrill Lynch's credit spreads, as well as the fair value of the embedded derivative, where applicable.

Note 5. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The fair values of financial instruments have been derived, in part, by management's assumptions, the estimated amount and timing of future cash flows and estimated discount rates. Different assumptions could significantly affect these estimated fair values. Accordingly, the net realizable values could be materially different from the estimates presented below. In addition, the estimates are only indicative of the value of individual financial instruments and should not be considered an indication of the fair value of Merrill Lynch.

The classifications of financial instruments within the fair value hierarchy have been derived using methodologies described in Note 4 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

The following disclosures relate to financial instruments for which the ending balances at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are not carried at fair value in their entirety on Merrill Lynch's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Short-term Financial Instruments

The carrying value of short-term financial instruments, including cash and cash equivalents, cash and securities segregated for regulatory purposes or deposited with clearing organizations, certain securities financing transactions, customer and broker-dealer receivables and payables, and commercial paper and other short-term borrowings, approximates the fair value of these instruments. These financial instruments generally expose Merrill Lynch to limited credit risk and have no stated maturities or have short-term maturities and carry interest rates that approximate market interest rates.

For purposes of the fair value hierarchy, cash is classified as Level 1. Cash equivalents (including time deposits placed and other short-term investments) and securities segregated for regulatory purposes or deposited with clearing organizations are classified as Level 1 and Level 2. Securities financing transactions are classified as Level 2. Customer receivables and payables are primarily classified as Level 2. Broker-dealer receivables and payables, and commercial paper and other short-term borrowings are classified as Level 2.

Loans, Notes and Mortgages

Fair values were generally determined by discounting both principal and interest cash flows expected to be collected using a discount rate for similar instruments with adjustments that Merrill Lynch believes a market participant would consider in determining fair value. Merrill Lynch estimates the cash flows expected to be collected using internal credit risk, interest rate and prepayment risk models that incorporate its best estimate of current key assumptions, such as default rates, loss severity and prepayment speeds for the life of the loan. Merrill Lynch elected the fair value option for certain loans and loan commitments. See Note 4 for additional information.

Deposits

The fair value for certain deposits with stated maturities was determined by discounting contractual cash flows using current market rates for instruments with similar maturities. For deposits with no stated maturities, the carrying amount was considered to approximate fair value and does not take into account the significant value of the cost advantage and stability of Merrill Lynch's long-term relationships with depositors.

Long-term Borrowings

Merrill Lynch uses quoted market prices, when available, to estimate the fair value of its long-term borrowings. When quoted market prices are not available, fair value is estimated based on current market interest rates and credit spreads for Merrill Lynch debt with similar terms and maturities. Merrill Lynch elected the fair value option for certain long-term borrowings, including structured notes. See Note 4 for additional information.

The following table presents the carrying value and fair value, by fair value hierarchy, of Merrill Lynch's loans, notes and mortgages, deposits and long-term borrowings at September 30, 2012. See Note 4 for further information regarding the fair value hierarchy:

(dollars in millions)

				lue Measuremer ptember 30, 201	
	Car	rying Value	 Level 2	Level 3	Total
Financial assets					
Loans, notes and mortgages (1)	\$	19,564	\$ 563	\$ 18,991	\$ 19,554
Financial liabilities					
Deposits		12,529	12,529		12,529
Long-term borrowings ⁽²⁾		97,352	97,475	1,455	98,930
(1) Loans are presented net of the allowance for loan losses.					

 Includes junior subordinated notes (related to trust preferred securities).

The following table presents the carrying value and fair value of loans, notes and mortgages, deposits and long-term borrowings at December 31, 2011:

(dollars in millions)

		December 31	, 2011
	Car	rying Value	Fair Value
Financial assets			
Loans, notes and mortgages ⁽¹⁾	\$	20,574 \$	20,048
Financial liabilities			
Deposits		12,364	12,364
Long-term borrowings (2)		110,718	102,339
(1) Loans are presented net of the allowance for loan losses.			
(2) Includes junior subordinated notes (related to trust preferred securities).			

Commercial Unfunded Lending Commitments

Fair values were generally determined using a discounted cash flow valuation approach, which is applied using market-based CDS or internallydeveloped benchmark credit curves. The fair value option was elected for certain loan commitments. See Note 4 for additional information.

The carrying values and fair values of Merrill Lynch's commercial unfunded lending commitments were \$95 million and \$143 million, respectively, at September 30, 2012 and \$208 million and \$264 million, respectively, at December 31, 2011. Commercial unfunded lending commitments, which are included in Other payables - Interest and other on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet, are primarily classified as Level 2 or Level 3.

Merrill Lynch does not estimate the fair values of consumer unfunded lending commitments because, in many instances, Merrill Lynch can reduce or cancel these commitments by providing notice to the borrower. See Note 14 for additional information on commitments.

Note 6. Derivatives

A derivative is an instrument whose value is derived from an underlying instrument or index, such as interest rates, equity security prices, currencies, commodity prices or credit spreads. Derivatives include futures, forwards, swaps, option contracts, and other financial instruments with similar characteristics. Derivative contracts often involve future commitments to exchange interest payment streams or currencies based on a notional or contractual amount (e.g., interest rate swaps or currency forwards) or to purchase or sell other financial instruments at specified terms



on a specified date (e.g., options to buy or sell securities or currencies).

Derivatives Accounting establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts ("embedded derivatives") and for hedging activities. Derivatives Accounting requires that an entity recognize all derivatives as either assets or liabilities and measure those instruments at fair value. The fair value of all derivatives and associated cash collateral is recorded on a net-by-counterparty basis on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets where Merrill Lynch believes a legal right of offset exists under an enforceable netting agreement. All derivatives, including bifurcated embedded derivatives within structured notes, are reported on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as trading assets and liabilities.

The accounting for changes in fair value of a derivative instrument depends on its intended use and if it is designated and qualifies as an accounting hedging instrument under Derivatives Accounting.

Trading derivatives

Merrill Lynch enters into derivatives to facilitate client transactions, for trading and financing purposes, and to manage risk exposures arising from trading assets and liabilities. Changes in fair value for these derivatives are reported in current period earnings as principal transactions revenues.

Derivatives that contain a significant financing element

Merrill Lynch may enter into certain transactions that are documented as derivatives where a significant cash investment is made by one party. Certain derivative instruments that contain a significant financing element at inception and where Merrill Lynch is deemed to be the borrower are included in financing activities in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. The cash flows from all other derivative transactions that do not contain a significant financing element at inception are included in operating activities.

Non-trading derivatives

Merrill Lynch also enters into derivatives in order to manage risk exposures arising from assets and liabilities not carried at fair value as follows:

- 1. Merrill Lynch's debt was issued in a variety of maturities and currencies to achieve the lowest cost financing possible. Merrill Lynch enters into derivative transactions to hedge these liabilities. Derivatives used most frequently include swap agreements that:
 - Convert fixed-rate interest payments into variable-rate interest payments;
 - Change the underlying interest rate basis or reset frequency; and
 - Change the settlement currency of a debt instrument.

Changes in the fair value of these interest rate and foreign currency derivatives are reported in interest expense or other revenues.

- 2. Merrill Lynch uses foreign-exchange forward contracts, foreign-exchange options, and currency swaps to hedge its net investments in foreign operations, as well as other foreign currency exposures (e.g., non-U.S. dollar denominated debt and expenses). These instruments are used to mitigate the impact of changes in exchange rates. Changes in the fair value of these instruments are reported in OCI and other revenues when net investment hedge accounting is applied; otherwise changes in fair value are reported in other revenues.
- 3. Merrill Lynch enters into futures, swaps, options and forward contracts to manage the price risk of certain commodity inventory and forecasted commodity purchases and sales. Changes in fair value of these derivatives are reported in principal transactions revenues, unless cash flow hedge accounting is applied.



4. Merrill Lynch enters into CDS to manage the credit risk on certain loans that are not part of trading activities. Changes in the fair value of these derivatives are reported in other revenues.

Certain derivatives, primarily entered into with an affiliate, qualify as accounting hedges under Derivatives Accounting. These derivatives are designated as one of the following:

- 1. A hedge of the fair value of a recognized asset or liability ("fair value hedge"). Changes in the fair value of derivatives that are designated and qualify as fair value hedges of interest rate risk, foreign exchange risk and commodity price risk, along with the gain or loss on the hedged asset or liability that is attributable to the hedged risk, are recorded in current period earnings as interest expense, other revenues, or principal transactions.
- 2. A hedge of the variability of cash flows to be received or paid related to a recognized asset, liability or forecasted transaction ("cash flow hedge"). Changes in the fair value of derivatives that are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges are recorded in OCI until earnings are affected by the variability of cash flows of the hedged asset or liability or when the forecasted purchase or sale occurs. All cash flow hedges were de-designated in 2011. See Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2011 Annual Report for further information. The amount remaining in OCI that is expected to be reclassified into earnings in the next 12 months is not material.
- 3. A hedge of a net investment in a foreign operation ("net investment hedge"). Changes in the fair value of derivatives that are designated and qualify as hedges of a net investment in a foreign operation are recorded in the foreign currency translation adjustment account within OCI. Changes in the fair value of the hedging instruments that are associated with the difference between the spot rate and the contracted forward rate are recorded in current period earnings in other revenues.

Merrill Lynch formally assesses, both at the inception of the hedge and on an ongoing basis, whether the hedging derivatives are highly effective in offsetting changes in fair value or cash flows of hedged items. Merrill Lynch uses regression analysis at the hedge's inception and for each reporting period thereafter to assess whether the derivative used in its hedging transaction is expected to be and has been highly effective in offsetting changes in the fair value or cash flows of the hedged item. When it is determined that a derivative is not highly effective as a hedge, Merrill Lynch discontinues hedge accounting.

Hedge accounting activity for 2012 and 2011 included the following:

Fair value hedges

(dollars in millions)

		2012		2011					
	Derivative (1)	Hedged Item (1)(2)	Hedge Ineffectiveness ⁽¹⁾	Derivative (1)	Hedged Item ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	Hedge Ineffectiveness (1)			
For the three months ended September 30: Interest rate risk on USD denominated long-term borrowings	\$ (49)	\$ (125)	\$ (174)	\$ 1,555	\$ (1,744) \$	\$ (189)			
Interest rate risk on foreign currency denominated long-term borrowings	(207)	153	(54)	(345)	287	(58)			
Commodity price risk on commodity inventory	(24)	24	—	16	(16)	_			
For the nine months ended September 30: Interest rate risk on USD denominated long-term borrowings	11	(496)	(485)	1,700	(2,100)	(400)			
Interest rate risk on foreign currency denominated long-term borrowings	(531)	383	(148)	335	(499)	(164)			
Commodity price risk on commodity inventory	(10)	10	—	—	—	—			
	2	2012	-		2011				
	Trading Assets	Trading Liabilities	-	Trading Assets	Trading Liabilities				
As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:									
Carrying value of hedging derivatives:									
Long-term borrowings	\$ 6,177	\$ 887		\$ 6,940	\$ 841				
Commodity inventory	28	2		70	5				
Notional amount of hedging derivatives:									
Long-term borrowings	37,019	9,813		44,180	11,092				
Commodity inventory	115	6		152	6				

(1) Amounts are recorded in interest expense and other revenues for long-term borrowings and principal transactions for commodity inventory.

(2) Excludes the impact of the accretion of purchase accounting adjustments made to certain long-term borrowings in connection with the acquisition of Merrill Lynch by Bank of America.

Net investment hedges of foreign operations

(dollars in millions)

		2012			2011	
	Gains (losses) Recognized in Accumulated OCI	Gains (losses) in Income Reclassified from Accumulated OCI ⁽¹⁾	Hedge Ineffectiveness and Amounts Excluded from Effectiveness Testing ⁽¹⁾	Gains (losses) Recognized in Accumulated OCI	Gains (losses) in Income Reclassified from Accumulated OCI ⁽¹⁾	Hedge Ineffectiveness and Amounts Excluded from Effectiveness Testing ⁽¹⁾
For the three months ended September 30:						
Foreign exchange risk	\$ (567)	\$ (12)	\$ 12	\$ 1,215	\$ (18)	\$ (110)
For the nine months ended September 30:						
Foreign exchange risk	(457)	(49)	(86)	254	(21)	(267)
		2012			2011	
As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:						
Carrying value of hedging derivatives:						
Trading assets		\$ 175			\$ 690	
Trading liabilities		794			492	
Carrying value of non-derivative hedges:						
Long-term borrowings		_			61	
Notional amount of hedging derivatives:						
in an asset position		3,328			20,068	
in a liability position		19,560			7,338	

(1) Amounts are recorded in other revenues.

Net gains (losses) on economic hedges

	20	012(1)	2011 ⁽¹⁾
For the three months ended September 30:			
Interest rate risk	\$	6 \$	182
Foreign currency risk		48	(2,350)
Credit risk		(22)	65
For the nine months ended September 30:			
Interest rate risk		17	178
Foreign currency risk		(456)	796
Credit risk		(53)	48

(1) Amounts are recorded in other revenues and interest

expense.

The amounts in the "Net gains (losses) on economic hedges" table above represent net gains (losses) on derivatives that are not used for trading purposes and are not used in accounting hedging relationships. Interest rate risk primarily relates to derivatives used to economically hedge long-term borrowings. Foreign currency risk primarily relates to economic hedges of foreign currency denominated transactions that generate earnings upon remeasurement in accordance with ASC 830-20, *Foreign Currency Transactions* ("Foreign Currency Transactions"). As both the remeasurement of the foreign currency risk on the transaction and the changes in fair value of the derivative are recorded in earnings, hedge accounting is not applied. Credit risk relates to credit default swaps used to economically manage the credit risk on certain loans not included in trading activities.

Derivative balances by primary risk

Derivative instruments contain numerous market risks. In particular, most derivatives have interest rate risk, as they contain an element of financing risk that is affected by changes in interest rates. Additionally, derivatives expose Merrill Lynch to counterparty credit risk, although this is generally mitigated by collateral margining and netting arrangements. For disclosure purposes below, the primary risk of a derivative is largely determined by the business that is engaging in the derivative activity. For instance, a derivative that is initiated by an equities derivative business will generally have equity price risk as its primary underlying market risk and is classified as such for the purposes of this disclosure, despite the fact that there may be other market risks that affect the value of the instrument.

The following tables identify the primary risk for derivative instruments, which includes trading, non-trading and bifurcated embedded derivatives, at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. The primary risk is provided on a gross basis, prior to the application of the impact of counterparty and cash collateral netting.

(dollars in millions)

	As of September 30, 2012											
	 Contract/ Notional		ading Assets- ative Contracts		Contract/ Notional		ling Liabilities- vative Contracts					
Interest rate contracts												
Swaps	\$ 8,374,191	\$	536,237	\$	8,113,852	\$	532,035					
Futures and forwards	2,378,341		2,325		2,380,931		2,053					
Written options	_		—		1,279,262		64,754					
Purchased options	1,272,147		68,817		—		—					
Foreign exchange contracts												
Swaps	787,495		32,344		807,193		38,830					
Spot, futures and forwards	93,990		2,259		88,490		2,359					
Written options	_		—		274,860		5,289					
Purchased options	213,044		4,932		_		_					
Equity contracts												
Swaps	27,768		1,266		24,397		1,399					
Futures and forwards	27,468		1,464		24,307		1,423					
Written options	_		—		327,939		15,777					
Purchased options	314,432		14,405		_		_					
Commodity contracts												
Swaps	32,484		3,188		33,159		4,581					
Futures and forwards	299,124		4,881		282,453		2,696					
Written options	_		—		191,816		3,001					
Purchased options	194,053		2,450		_		_					
Credit derivatives												
Purchased protection:												
Credit default swaps	120,185		11,510		101,695		2,065					
Total return swaps	5,812		619		3,508		1,175					
Other credit derivatives	1,705		3		242		_					
Written protection:												
	103,658		2,766		115,520		10,428					
Credit default swaps												
Total return swaps	1,556		340		14,361		452					
Other credit derivatives	 _		1		1,279		4					
Gross derivative assets/liabilities	\$ 14,247,453	\$	689,807	\$	14,065,264	\$	688,321					
Less: Legally enforceable master netting		-	(633,047)			-	(633,047)					
Less: Cash collateral received/paid			(30,139)				(32,703)					
Total derivative assets and liabilities		\$	26,621			\$	22,571					

(dollars in millions)

			As of Decen	ber 31	, 2011	
	 Contract/ Notional		ading Assets- vative Contracts		Contract/ Notional	ing Liabilities- ative Contracts
Interest rate contracts						
Swaps	\$ 8,196,809	\$	564,696	\$	7,978,404	\$ 560,638
Futures and forwards	2,117,971		1,510		2,003,741	1,339
Written options	—		_		1,419,278	66,733
Purchased options	1,336,149		69,812		—	—
Foreign exchange contracts						
Swaps	766,899		27,312		798,173	35,299
Spot, futures and forwards	104,356		3,887		98,411	3,791
Written options	—		_		249,575	7,437
Purchased options	236,465		7,220		—	—
Equity contracts						
Swaps	23,233		1,028		22,887	1,141
Futures and forwards	30,791		1,747		20,988	1,450
Written options	—		_		345,947	14,596
Purchased options	341,731		14,816		_	—
Commodity contracts						
Swaps	35,681		4,823		36,391	5,799
Futures and forwards	233,567		5,254		236,919	3,183
Written options	—		_		140,600	9,443
Purchased options	139,312		9,426		_	—
Credit derivatives						
Purchased protection:						
Credit default swaps	174,857		20,124		67,664	1,416
Total return swaps	2,771		407		3,493	291
Other credit derivatives	274		3		25	—
Written protection:						
Credit default swaps	66,841		1,737		179,907	19,061
Total return swaps	4,350		226		1,239	129
Other credit derivatives	_		_		25	1
Gross derivative assets/liabilities	\$ 13,812,057	\$	734,028	\$	13,603,667	\$ 731,747
Less: Legally enforceable master netting		=	(672,524)			(672,524)
Less: Cash collateral received/paid			(26,491)			(32,984)
Total derivative assets and liabilities		\$	35,013			\$ 26,239

Trading revenues

Merrill Lynch enters into trading derivatives and non-derivative cash instruments to facilitate client transactions, for trading and financing purposes, and to manage risk exposures arising from trading assets and liabilities. The resulting risk from derivatives and non-derivative cash instruments is managed on a portfolio basis as part of Merrill Lynch's sales and trading activities and the related revenue is recorded on different income statement line items, including principal transactions, commissions, other revenues and net interest (expense) income.

Sales and trading revenue includes changes in fair value and realized gains and losses on the sales of trading and other assets, which are included in principal transactions and other revenues, net interest (expense) income, and commissions. Initial trading related revenue is generated by the difference in the client price for an instrument and the price at which the trading desk can execute the trade in the dealer market. That revenue is included within principal transactions on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Earnings. For equity securities, commissions related to purchases and sales are recorded in commissions on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Earnings. Changes in the fair value of these equity securities are included in principal

transactions. These amounts are reflected in equity risk in the tables below. Revenue for debt securities, with the exception of interest, is typically included in principal transactions. Unlike commissions for equity securities, the initial revenue related to broker/dealer services for debt securities is included in the pricing of the instrument rather than charged through separate fee agreements. Therefore, this revenue is recorded in principal transactions as part of the initial mark to fair value. In transactions where Merrill Lynch acts as an agent, fees are earned and recorded in commissions. In the tables below, most government debt securities are reflected in interest rate risk. All other government debt securities (including, for example, municipal bonds and emerging markets government debt) and corporate debt securities are included in credit risk.

For derivatives, revenue is typically included in principal transactions. Similar to debt securities, the initial revenue related to dealer services is included in the initial pricing of the instrument rather than charged through separate fee agreements. Therefore, this revenue is recorded in principal transactions as part of the initial mark to fair value. In transactions where Merrill Lynch acts as agent, which includes exchange traded futures and options, fees are earned and recorded in commissions. Derivatives are included in the tables below based on their predominant risk (e.g., credit default swaps are included in credit risk).

Certain instruments, primarily available-for-sale securities and loans, are not considered trading assets or liabilities. Gains/losses on sales and changes in fair value of these instruments, where applicable (e.g., the fair value option has been elected), are recorded in other revenues. These instruments are typically reflected in credit risk.

Interest revenue for debt securities and loans is included in net interest (expense) income.

The following tables identify the amounts in the income statement line items attributable to trading and non-trading activities, including both derivatives and non-derivative cash instruments categorized by primary risk for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011.

Non-trading related amounts include activities in connection with principal investment, wealth management, and certain lending activities; economic hedging activity discussed in the *Non-trading derivatives* section above; and the impact of changes in Merrill Lynch's own creditworthiness on borrowings accounted for at fair value.

For The Three Months Ended September 30, 2012

(dollars in millions)

		rincipal nsactions		Commissions	Othe	er Revenues ⁽¹⁾		t Interest nse) Income	Total	
	112				Othe	Other Revenues()		,		
Interest rate risk	\$	246	\$	18	\$	3	\$	171	\$	438
Foreign exchange risk		(5)				1				(4)
Equity risk		173		594		14		96		877
Commodity risk		132		—		(1)		(29)		102
Credit risk		515				77		477		1,069
Total trading related		1,061		612		94		715		2,482
Non-trading related		(868)		597		149		(753)		(875)
Total	\$	193	\$	1,209	\$	243	\$	(38)	\$	1,607

(1) Includes other income and other-than-temporary impairment losses on available-for-sale debt securities.

For The Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012

(dollars in millions)

	Principal ransactions	Commissions	Othe	r Revenues ⁽¹⁾	et Interest ense) Income	Total	
Interest rate risk	\$ 624	\$	55	\$	7	\$ 543	\$ 1,229
Foreign exchange risk	55		—		1	_	56
Equity risk	1,949		1,926		56	(873)	3,058
Commodity risk	487		_		_	(87)	400
Credit risk	1,914		_		143	1,554	3,611
Total trading related	 5,029		1,981		207	 1,137	 8,354
Non-trading related	(3,040)		1,823		1,058	(2,253)	(2,412)
Total	\$ 1,989	\$	3,804	\$	1,265	\$ (1,116)	\$ 5,942

(1) Includes other income and other-than-temporary impairment losses on available-for-sale debt securities.

For The Three Months Ended September 30, 2011

(dollars in millions)

	Principal ansactions	Commissions	Othe	r Revenues ⁽¹⁾	t Interest nse) Income	Total
Interest rate risk	\$ 396	\$ 21	\$	_	\$ 205	\$ 622
Foreign exchange risk	24			_	1	25
Equity risk	132	792		36	104	1,064
Commodity risk	216			1	(29)	188
Credit risk	(829)	16		33	634	(146)
Total trading related	 (61)	 829		70	 915	 1,753
Non-trading related	2,842	612		(1,127)	(803)	1,524
Total	\$ 2,781	\$ 1,441	\$	(1,057)	\$ 112	\$ 3,277

(1) Includes other income and other-than-temporary impairment losses on available-for-sale debt securities.

For The Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011

(dollars in millions)

	Principal Transactions	Commissions	Othe	r Revenues ⁽¹⁾	et Interest ense) Income	Total	
Interest rate risk	\$ 746	\$	64	\$	19	\$ 585	\$ 1,414
Foreign exchange risk	72		_		_	6	78
Equity risk	2,020		2,458		94	(715)	3,857
Commodity risk	523		_		_	(86)	437
Credit risk	62		44		390	2,028	2,524
Total trading related	 3,423		2,566		503	 1,818	 8,310
Non-trading related	2,702		1,912		1,230	(2,543)	3,301
Total	\$ 6,125	\$	4,478	\$	1,733	\$ (725)	\$ 11,611

(1) Includes other income and other-than-temporary impairment losses on available-for-sale debt securities.

Credit Derivatives

Credit derivatives derive value based on an underlying third party referenced obligation or a portfolio of referenced obligations. Merrill Lynch is both a seller and a buyer of credit protection. A seller of credit protection is required to make payments to a buyer upon the occurrence of a predefined credit event. Such credit events generally include bankruptcy of the referenced credit entity and failure to pay under their credit obligations, as well as acceleration of indebtedness and payment repudiation or moratorium. For credit derivatives based on a portfolio of referenced credit indices, Merrill Lynch as a seller of credit protection may not be required to make payment until a specified amount of loss has occurred and/or may only be required to make payment up to a specified amount.

Credit derivatives where Merrill Lynch is the seller of credit protection are summarized below:

	Maximum Payout/		ess than							Carrying
	Notional	1	1 year	1	– 3 years	3 – 5 years		0	ver 5 years	Value ⁽¹⁾
At September 30, 2012:										
Derivative contracts:										
Credit derivatives:										
Investment grade ⁽²⁾	\$ 151,359	\$	27,011	\$	50,121	\$	60,538	\$	13,689	\$ 2,356
Non-investment grade ⁽²⁾	85,015		11,456		20,514		29,518		23,527	8,528
Total credit derivatives	 236,374		38,467		70,635		90,056		37,216	10,884
Credit related notes:										
Investment grade ⁽²⁾	2,978		4		6		214		2,754	2,978
Non-investment grade ⁽²⁾	1,600		158		90		143		1,209	1,600
Total credit related notes	 4,578		162		96		357		3,963	4,578
Total derivative contracts	\$ 240,952	\$	38,629	\$	70,731	\$	90,413	\$	41,179	\$ 15,462
At December 31, 2011:										
Derivative contracts:										
Credit derivatives:										
Investment grade ⁽²⁾	\$ 130,770	\$	22,021	\$	47,593	\$	46,918	\$	14,238	\$ 4,189
Non-investment grade ⁽²⁾	121,592		13,263		26,428		38,301		43,600	15,002
Total credit derivatives	 252,362		35,284		74,021		85,219		57,838	19,191
Credit related notes:										
Investment grade ⁽²⁾	2,956		_		7		203		2,746	2,956
Non-investment grade ⁽²⁾	1,511		127		77		82		1,225	1,511
Total credit related notes	 4,467		127		84		285		3,971	 4,467
Total derivative contracts	\$ 256,829	\$	35,411	\$	74,105	\$	85,504	\$	61,809	\$ 23,658

(1) Derivative contracts are shown on a gross basis prior to counterparty or cash collateral

netting.

(2) Refers to the creditworthiness of the underlying reference

obligations.

For most credit derivatives, the notional value represents the maximum amount payable by Merrill Lynch as a seller of credit protection. However, Merrill Lynch does not exclusively monitor its exposure to credit derivatives based on notional value. Instead, a risk framework is used to define risk tolerances and establish limits to help to ensure that certain credit risk-related losses occur within acceptable, predefined limits. Merrill Lynch discloses internal categorizations (i.e., investment grade, non-investment grade) consistent with how risk is managed to evaluate the payment status of its freestanding credit derivative instruments.

Merrill Lynch economically hedges its exposure to credit derivatives by entering into a variety of offsetting derivative contracts and security positions. For example, in certain instances, Merrill Lynch purchases credit protection with identical underlying referenced names to offset its exposure. At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the notional value and carrying value of credit protection purchased and credit protection sold by Merrill Lynch with identical underlying referenced names was:

(dollars in millions)

	Maximum Payout/ Notional	Less than 1 year	1	– 3 years	3	– 5 years	O	ver 5 years	Carrying Value ⁽¹⁾
At September 30, 2012:									
Credit derivatives purchased	\$ 135,788	\$ 26,208	\$	44,829	\$	44,914	\$	19,837	\$ 4,431
Credit derivatives sold	144,488	28,110		43,678		47,088		25,612	6,186
At December 31, 2011:									
Credit derivatives purchased	219,358	31,335		63,284		77,485		47,254	15,563
Credit derivatives sold	219,669	33,852		61,797		77,527		46,493	15,502

(1) Derivative contracts are shown on a gross basis prior to counterparty or cash collateral netting.

Credit related notes

Credit related notes in the table above include investments in securities issued by CDO, CLO and credit linked note vehicles. These instruments are classified as trading securities. Most of the entities that issue these instruments have either the ability to enter into, or have entered into, credit derivatives.

The carrying value of these instruments equals Merrill Lynch's maximum exposure to loss. Merrill Lynch is not obligated to make any payments to the entities under the terms of the securities owned. Merrill Lynch discloses internal categorizations (i.e., investment grade, non-investment grade) consistent with how risk is managed for these instruments.

Credit risk management of derivatives

Merrill Lynch defines counterparty credit risk as the potential for loss that can occur as a result of an individual, counterparty, or issuer being unable or unwilling to honor its contractual obligations. Merrill Lynch mitigates its credit risk to counterparties through a variety of techniques, including, where appropriate, the right to require initial collateral or margin, the right to terminate transactions or to obtain collateral should unfavorable events occur, the right to call for collateral when certain exposure thresholds are exceeded, the right to call for third party guarantees, and the purchase of credit default protection.

Merrill Lynch enters into International Swaps and Derivatives Association, Inc. ("ISDA") master agreements or their equivalent ("master netting agreements") with almost all derivative counterparties. Master netting agreements provide protection in bankruptcy in certain circumstances and, where legally enforceable, enable receivables and payables with the same counterparty to be offset for accounting and risk management purposes. Netting agreements are generally negotiated bilaterally and can require complex terms. While Merrill Lynch makes reasonable efforts to execute such agreements, it is possible that a counterparty may be unwilling to sign such an agreement and, as a result, would subject Merrill Lynch to additional credit risk.

Where Merrill Lynch has entered into legally enforceable netting agreements with counterparties, it reports derivative assets and liabilities, and any related cash collateral, net in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets in accordance with ASC 210-20, *Balance Sheet-Offsetting*. At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, cash collateral received of \$30.1 billion and \$26.5 billion, respectively, and cash collateral paid of \$32.7 billion and \$33.0 billion, respectively, was netted against derivative assets and liabilities. The enforceability of master netting agreements under bankruptcy laws in certain countries or in certain industries is not free from doubt, and receivables and payables with counterparties in these countries or industries are accordingly reported on a gross basis.

Monoline derivative credit exposure at September 30, 2012 had a notional value of \$12.4 billion compared with \$15.8 billion at December 31, 2011. The fair value of monoline derivative credit exposure was \$1.1 billion at September 30, 2012 compared with \$1.7 billion at December 31, 2011. At September 30, 2012, the CVA related to monoline derivative trading instruments exposure was \$165 million compared with \$382 million at December 31, 2011, which reduced Merrill Lynch's net exposure to \$0.9 billion at September 30, 2012. Monoline related activity for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 resulted in gains of \$55 million and \$168 million, respectively, which consisted of credit valuation adjustments and exposure changes.

Bank of America has guaranteed the performance of Merrill Lynch on certain derivative transactions. The aggregate amount of such derivative liabilities was approximately \$1.3 billion at September 30, 2012.

Credit-risk related contingent features

Most of Merrill Lynch's derivative contracts contain credit risk related contingent features, primarily in the form of ISDA master netting agreements and credit support documentation that enhance the creditworthiness of these instruments compared to other obligations of the respective counterparty with whom Merrill Lynch has transacted. These contingent features may be for the benefit of Merrill Lynch as well as its counterparties with respect to changes in Merrill Lynch's creditworthiness and the exposure under the derivative transactions. At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, Merrill Lynch held cash and securities collateral of \$40.7 billion and \$40.9 billion and posted cash and securities collateral of \$39.9 billion and \$45.2 billion in the normal course of business under derivative agreements.

In connection with certain OTC derivative contracts and other trading agreements, Merrill Lynch can be required to provide additional collateral or to terminate transactions with certain counterparties in the event of a downgrade of the senior debt ratings of ML & Co. or certain subsidiaries. The amount of additional collateral required depends on the contract and is usually a fixed incremental amount and/or market value of the exposure.

At September 30, 2012, the amount of collateral, calculated based on the terms of the contracts that Merrill Lynch could be required to post to counterparties but had not yet posted to counterparties was approximately \$1.7 billion.

Some counterparties are currently able to unilaterally terminate certain contracts, or Merrill Lynch may be required to take other action such as find a suitable replacement or obtain a guarantee. At September 30, 2012, the current liability for these derivative contracts was \$1.0 billion, against which Merrill Lynch had posted \$0.9 billion of collateral.

At September 30, 2012, if the rating agencies had downgraded their long-term senior debt ratings for ML & Co. or certain subsidiaries by one incremental notch, the amount of additional collateral contractually required by such derivative contracts and other trading agreements would have been approximately \$0.5 billion. If the rating agencies had downgraded their long-term senior debt ratings for ML & Co. or certain subsidiaries by a second incremental notch, approximately \$4.0 billion in additional collateral would have been required.

Also, if the rating agencies had downgraded their long-term senior debt ratings for ML & Co. or certain subsidiaries by one incremental notch, the derivative liability that would be subject to unilateral termination by counterparties as of September 30, 2012 was \$2.7 billion, against which \$2.0 billion of collateral had been posted. Further, if the rating agencies had downgraded their long-term debt ratings for ML & Co. or certain subsidiaries by a second incremental notch, the derivative liability that would be subject to unilateral termination by counterparties as of September 30, 2012 was an incremental \$1.3 billion, against which \$0.7 billion of collateral had been posted.

Valuation Adjustments on Derivatives

Merrill Lynch records credit risk valuation adjustments on derivatives in order to properly reflect the credit quality of the counterparties on the value of the derivatives. Merrill Lynch calculates valuation adjustments on derivatives based on a modeled expected exposure that incorporates current market risk factors. The exposure also takes into consideration credit mitigants such as legally enforceable master netting arrangements and collateral. CDS spread data is used to estimate the default probabilities and severities that are applied to the exposures. Where no observable credit default data is available for counterparties, Merrill Lynch uses proxies and other market data to estimate default probabilities and severity.

Valuation adjustments on derivatives are affected by changes in market spreads, non-credit related market factors such as interest rate and currency changes that affect the expected exposure, and other factors such as changes in collateral arrangements and partial payments. Credit spread changes and non-credit factors can move independently; for example, for an interest rate swap, changes in interest rates may increase the expected exposure, which would increase CVA. Independently, counterparty credit spreads may tighten, which would result in an offsetting decrease to CVA.

Merrill Lynch may enter into economic hedges to offset market driven exposures. Merrill Lynch often hedges the counterparty spread risk in CVA with credit default swaps and often hedges the other market risks in both CVA and DVA primarily with currency and interest rate swaps. Since the components of the valuation adjustments on derivatives move independently and Merrill Lynch may not hedge all of the market driven exposures, the effect of a hedge may increase the gross valuation adjustments on derivatives or may result in a gross positive valuation adjustment on derivatives becoming a negative adjustment (or the reverse).

During the three months ended September 30, 2012, Merrill Lynch refined its methodology for calculating valuation adjustments on derivatives on a prospective basis. Merrill Lynch no longer considers the probability of default for both the counterparty and Merrill Lynch when calculating the counterparty CVA and DVA and now only considers the probability of the counterparty defaulting for CVA and Merrill Lynch defaulting for DVA. This change in estimate increased CVA by \$51 million and DVA by \$85 million for both the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, with a net gain of \$34 million. The effect of this change in estimate is reflected in the table below.

The Valuation Adjustments on Derivatives table presents CVA gains (losses) and DVA gains (losses) for Merrill Lynch on a gross and net of hedges basis, which are recorded in principal transactions revenues.

Valuation Adjustments on Derivatives

		Three	Nine Months Ended September 30										
		2012			2011			2012			2011		
(dollars in millions)		Gross	Net		Gross	Net		Gross	Net		Gross	Net	
Derivative assets (CVA) (1)	\$	304 \$	100	\$	(963) \$	(312)	\$	454 \$	275	\$	(1,454) \$	(1,051)	
Derivative liabilities (DVA) (2)		(288)	(252)		759	765		(893)	(1,020)		692	648	

(1) At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the cumulative counterparty credit risk valuation adjustment reduced the derivative assets balance by \$1.3 billion and \$1.5 billion.

(2) At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, Merrill Lynch's cumulative DVA reduced the derivative liabilities balance by \$0.5 billion and \$1.1 billion.

Note 7. Securities Financing Transactions

Merrill Lynch enters into secured borrowing and lending transactions in order to meet customers' needs and earn residual interest rate spreads, obtain securities for settlement and finance trading inventory positions.

Under these transactions, Merrill Lynch either receives or provides collateral, including U.S. Government and agency securities, asset-backed, corporate debt, equity, and non-U.S. government and agency securities. Merrill



Lynch receives collateral in connection with resale agreements, securities borrowed transactions, customer margin loans and other loans. Under most agreements, Merrill Lynch is permitted to sell or repledge the securities received (e.g., use the securities to secure repurchase agreements, enter into securities lending transactions, or deliver to counterparties to cover short positions). At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the fair value of securities received as collateral where Merrill Lynch is permitted to sell or repledge the securities was \$506 billion and \$418 billion, respectively, and the fair value of the portion that had been sold or repledged was \$432 billion and \$349 billion, respectively. Merrill Lynch may use securities received as collateral for resale agreements to satisfy regulatory requirements such as Rule 15c3-3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Additionally, Merrill Lynch receives securities as collateral in connection with certain securities transactions in which Merrill Lynch is the lender. In instances where Merrill Lynch is permitted to sell or repledge securities received, Merrill Lynch reports the fair value of such securities received as collateral and the related obligation to return securities received as collateral in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Merrill Lynch pledges assets to collateralize repurchase agreements and other secured financings. Pledged securities that can be sold or repledged by the secured party are parenthetically disclosed in trading assets on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. The carrying value and classification of securities owned by Merrill Lynch that have been pledged to counterparties where those counterparties do not have the right to sell or repledge at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are as follows:

	Septem	ıber 30, 2012	Decen	ıber 31, 2011
Trading asset category				
Equities and convertible debentures	\$	9,738	\$	6,469
Corporate debt and preferred stock		8,556		7,961
U.S. Government and agencies		29,664		22,689
Non-U.S. governments and agencies		1,538		627
Mortgages, mortgage-backed, and asset-backed securities		2,931		1,959
Municipals and money markets		1,233		561
Total	\$	53,660	\$	40,266

In certain cases, Merrill Lynch has transferred assets to consolidated VIEs where those restricted assets serve as collateral for the interests issued by the VIEs. These assets, which are not included in the table above, are disclosed on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as Assets of Consolidated VIEs. These transactions are also described in Note 9.

Generally, when Merrill Lynch transfers financial instruments that are not recorded as sales (i.e., secured borrowing transactions), the liability is recorded as either payables under repurchase agreements or payables under securities loaned transactions; however, in instances where Merrill Lynch transfers financial assets to a consolidated VIE, the liabilities of the consolidated VIE will be reflected in long or short-term borrowings (see Note 9). In either case, at the time of transfer, the related liability is equal to the cash received in the transaction. In most cases the lenders in secured borrowing transactions have full recourse to Merrill Lynch (i.e., recourse beyond the assets pledged).

Note 8. Investment Securities

Investment securities on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets include:

- Investments within the scope of Investment Accounting that are held by ML & Co. and certain of its non-broker-dealer subsidiaries consist of debt securities, which are classified as available-for-sale, and are used for investment, liquidity management, and/or collateral management purposes.
- Non-qualifying investments are those that are not within the scope of Investment Accounting and consist principally of equity investments, including investments in partnerships and joint ventures. Included in non-qualifying investments are investments accounted for under the equity method of accounting, which consist of

(dollars in millions)

investments in (i) partnerships and certain limited liability corporations where Merrill Lynch has more than a minor influence (generally defined as three to five percent interest) and (ii) corporate entities where Merrill Lynch has the ability to exercise significant influence over the investee (generally defined as ownership and voting interest of 20% to 50%). Also included in non-qualifying investments are private equity investments that Merrill Lynch holds for capital appreciation and/or current income and which are accounted for at fair value in accordance with the Investment Company Guide, as well as private equity investments accounted for at fair value under the fair value option election.

Investment securities reported on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 are presented below.

	Septemb	er 30, 2012	Decen	nber 31, 2011
Investment securities				
Available-for-sale	\$	822	\$	694
Non-qualifying				
Equity investments		3,110		3,810
Other investments		2,457		2,180
Total	\$	6,389	\$	6,684

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") losses related to non-agency mortgage-backed available-for-sale securities were \$0 million and \$6 million, respectively. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, OTTI losses related to non-agency mortgage-backed available-for-sale securities were \$12 million and \$59 million, respectively. Net impairment losses recognized in earnings represent the credit component of OTTI losses on available-for-sale debt securities and total OTTI losses for available-for-sale debt securities that Merrill Lynch does not intend to hold to recovery. Those amounts were \$0 million and \$6 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. Refer to Note 1 for Merrill Lynch's accounting policy regarding OTTI of investment securities.

Information regarding investment securities subject to Investment Accounting follows.

(dollars in millions)

	Septembe	r 30, 2	012
	 ortized Cost		Fair Value
Available-for-Sale			
Securities, mortgage-backed and asset-backed:			
Corporate ABS	\$ 371	\$	372
Non-agency mortgage backed securities	45		45
Subtotal	416		417
U.S. Government and agencies	405		405
Total available-for-sale securities	\$ 821	\$	822

(dollars in millions)

	December	r 31, 2	011
	ortized Cost		Fair Value
Available-for-Sale			
Securities, mortgage-backed and asset-backed:			
Corporate ABS	\$ 47	\$	47
Non-agency mortgage backed securities	249		249
Subtotal	 296		296
U.S. Government and agencies	398		398
Total available-for-sale securities	\$ 694	\$	694

There were no material gross unrealized gains or losses associated with available-for-sale securities as of September 30, 2012 or December 31, 2011. Additionally, there were no individual securities that had been in a continuous unrealized loss position for a year or more as of September 30, 2012 or December 31, 2011.

The amortized cost and fair value of available-for-sale debt securities by expected maturity for mortgage-backed securities and contractual maturity for other debt securities at September 30, 2012 are as follows:

	Available-f	or-Sale
	rtized ost	Fair Value
Due in one year or less	\$ 413	\$ 41
Due after one year through five years	302	30
Due after five years through ten years	106	10
Total ⁽¹⁾	\$ 821	\$ 82

(1) Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay their obligations with or without prepayment penalties.

The proceeds and gross realized gains (losses) from the sale of available-for-sale securities during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 are as follows:

(dollars in millions)

Gross realized gains	onths Ended ber 30, 2012	onths Ended ber 30, 2012	Ionths Ended ber 30, 2011	lonths Ended aber 30, 2011
Proceeds	\$ 19	\$ 22	\$ 423	\$ 3,876
Gross realized gains	5	5	2	46
Gross realized losses	—	—	—	(4)

Equity Method Investments

At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, Merrill Lynch held certain investments that were accounted for under the equity method of accounting, none of which were individually material. Other revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 included a gain of \$377 million associated with the sale of Merrill Lynch's remaining investment in BlackRock, Inc.

Note 9.	Securitizations and Other Variable Interest Entities ("VIEs")

Merrill Lynch utilizes VIEs in the ordinary course of business to support its own and its customers' financing and

investing needs. Merrill Lynch securitizes loans and debt securities using VIEs as a source of funding and as a means of transferring the economic risk of the loans or debt securities to third parties. The assets are transferred into a trust or other securitization vehicle such that the assets are legally isolated from the creditors of Merrill Lynch and are not available to satisfy its obligations. These assets can only be used to settle obligations of the trust or other securitization vehicle. Merrill Lynch also administers, structures or invests in other VIEs including municipal bond trusts, CDOs and other entities as described in more detail below.

The entity that has a controlling financial interest in a VIE is referred to as the primary beneficiary and consolidates the VIE. Merrill Lynch is deemed to have a controlling financial interest and is the primary beneficiary of a VIE if it has both the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance and an obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the VIE.

The tables below present the assets and liabilities of consolidated and unconsolidated VIEs if Merrill Lynch has continuing involvement with transferred assets or if Merrill Lynch otherwise has a variable interest in the VIE. For consolidated VIEs, these amounts are net of intercompany balances. The tables also present Merrill Lynch's maximum loss exposure resulting from its involvement with consolidated VIEs and unconsolidated VIEs in which Merrill Lynch holds a variable interest as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. Merrill Lynch's maximum loss exposure is based on the unlikely event that all of the assets in the VIEs become worthless and incorporates not only potential losses associated with assets recorded on Merrill Lynch's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet but also potential losses associated with off-balance sheet commitments such as unfunded liquidity commitments and other contractual arrangements. Merrill Lynch's maximum loss exposure does not include losses previously recognized.

Merrill Lynch invests in ABS issued by third party VIEs with which it has no other form of involvement. These securities are described in more detail in Note 8. In addition, Merrill Lynch uses VIEs such as trust preferred securities trusts in connection with its funding activities (see Note 12).

Except as described below, Merrill Lynch has not provided financial support to consolidated or unconsolidated VIEs that it was not contractually required to provide, nor does it intend to do so.

Loan VIEs

Merrill Lynch securitizes mortgage loans that it originates or purchases from third parties. In certain circumstances, Merrill Lynch has continuing involvement with the securitized loans as servicer of the loans. Merrill Lynch may also retain beneficial interests in the securitization vehicles including senior and subordinated securities, and the equity tranche. Except as described below, Merrill Lynch does not provide guarantees to the securitization vehicles and investors do not have recourse to Merrill Lynch other than through standard representations and warranties.

The following table summarizes certain information related to Loan VIEs in which Merrill Lynch is either the transferor, servicer or sponsor and holds a variable interest as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.



(dollars in millions)

						Non-A	gency					
		Pr	ime			Sub	prime			Commerc	ial Mortg	age
		September 30, December 31, 2012 2011		1 1 1				,	Sept	tember 30, 2012	Dec	ember 31, 2011
Unconsolidated VIEs :												
Maximum loss exposure ⁽¹⁾	\$	12	\$	16	\$	48	\$	52	\$	121	\$	130
Senior securities held												
Trading assets	\$	3	\$	1	\$	1	\$	2	\$	9	\$	19
Subordinated securities held												
Trading assets		_		_		2		8		9		1
Residual interests held		3		8		_		_		40		42
Total retained securities ⁽²⁾	\$	6	\$	9	\$	3	\$	10	\$	58	\$	62
Principal balance outstanding ⁽³⁾	\$	254	\$	191	\$	5,981	\$	6,192	\$	17,907	\$	24,545

(1) Maximum loss exposure excludes liabilities for representations and

(2) Substantially all of the securities were in Level 2 in the fair value

hierarchy.

(3) Principal balance outstanding includes those loans that Merrill Lynch transferred and with which it has continuing involvement.

In accordance with consolidation guidance, Merrill Lynch consolidates Loan VIEs in which it has a controlling financial interest. For loan securitizations, Merrill Lynch is considered to have a controlling financial interest (i.e., is the primary beneficiary) when it is the servicer of the loans and also holds a financial interest that could potentially be significant to the entity. If Merrill Lynch is not the servicer of an entity or does not hold a financial interest that could be significant to the entity, Merrill Lynch does not have a controlling financial interest and does not consolidate the entity. Merrill Lynch did not have a controlling financial interest in Loan VIEs at September 30, 2012.

Merrill Lynch sells mortgage loans to VIEs with various representations and warranties related to, among other things, the ownership of the loan, validity of the lien securing the loan, absence of delinquent taxes or liens against the property securing the loan, the process used in selecting the loans for inclusion in a transaction, the loan's compliance with any applicable loan criteria established by the buyer, and the loan's compliance with applicable local, state and federal laws. Under these representations and warranties, Merrill Lynch may be required to repurchase mortgage loans with the identified defects or indemnify or provide other recourse to the investor or insurer. In such cases, Merrill Lynch bears any subsequent credit loss on the mortgage loans. Merrill Lynch's representations and warranties are generally not subject to stated limits and extend over the life of the loans. See Note 14.

Municipal Bond Securitizations

Merrill Lynch sponsors municipal bond trusts that hold highly-rated, long-term, fixed-rate municipal bonds, some of which are callable prior to maturity. A majority of the bonds are rated AAA or AA and some benefit from insurance provided by third parties. The trusts obtain financing by issuing floatingrate trust certificates that reprice on a frequent basis to third party investors. Merrill Lynch may serve as remarketing agent and/or liquidity provider for the trusts. The floating-rate investors have the right to tender the certificates at specified dates, often with as little as seven days' notice. Should Merrill Lynch be unable to remarket the tendered certificates, it is generally obligated to purchase them at par under standby liquidity facilities unless the bond's credit rating has declined

warranties.

below investment grade or there has been an event of default or bankruptcy of the issuer and insurer.

Merrill Lynch also provides default protection or credit enhancement to investors in certain municipal bond trusts whereby Merrill Lynch guarantees the payment of interest and principal on floating-rate certificates issued by these trusts. If an investor holds the residual interest, that investor typically has the unilateral ability to liquidate the trust at any time, while Merrill Lynch typically has the ability to trigger the liquidation of that trust only if the market value of the bonds held in the trust declines below a specified threshold. The weighted average remaining life of bonds held in the trusts at September 30, 2012 was 9.3 years.

The following table summarizes certain information related to municipal bond trusts in which Merrill Lynch holds a variable interest as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

(dollars in millions)

			Septeml	oer 30, 2012					Decem	ber 31, 2011		
	Co	nsolidated	Unco	nsolidated	idated Total			onsolidated	Unc	onsolidated	Total	
Maximum Loss Exposure	\$	2,839	\$	802	\$	3,641	\$	3,713	\$	920	\$	4,633
On-balance sheet assets												
Trading assets	\$	2,839	\$	38	\$	2,877	\$	3,713	\$	178	\$	3,891
Total	\$	2,839	\$	38	\$	2,877	\$	3,713	\$	178	\$	3,891
On-balance sheet liabilities												
Short-term borrowings	\$	2,732	\$	_	\$	2,732	\$	4,939	\$	_	\$	4,939
Payables to Bank of America		1,184		_		1,184				_		_
Total	\$	3,916	\$	_	\$	3,916	\$	4,939	\$	_	\$	4,939
Total assets of VIEs	\$	2,839	\$	973	\$	3,812	\$	3,713	\$	1,154	\$	4,867

Merrill Lynch consolidates municipal bond trusts when it has a controlling financial interest. As transferor of assets into a trust, Merrill Lynch has the power to determine which assets would be held in the trust and to structure the liquidity facilities, default protection and credit enhancement, if applicable. In some instances, Merrill Lynch retains a residual interest in such trusts and has loss exposure that could potentially be significant to the trust through the residual interest, liquidity facilities and other arrangements. Merrill Lynch is also the remarketing agent, through which it has the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact economic performance. Accordingly, Merrill Lynch is the primary beneficiary of and consolidates these trusts. In other instances, one or more third party investor(s) hold(s) the residual interest and, through that interest, has the unilateral right to liquidate the trust. Merrill Lynch does not consolidate these trusts.

In the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2012, Merrill Lynch was the transferor of assets into unconsolidated municipal bond trusts and received cash proceeds from new securitizations of \$69 million and \$268 million, respectively, as compared with \$182 million and \$509 million, respectively, in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011. At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the principal balance outstanding for unconsolidated municipal bond securitization trusts for which Merrill Lynch was the transferor was \$973 million and \$1.2 billion, respectively.

Merrill Lynch's liquidity commitments to unconsolidated municipal bond trusts totaled \$764 million and \$742 million at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

<u>CDOs</u>

CDO vehicles hold diversified pools of fixed income securities, typically corporate debt or asset-backed securities, which they fund by issuing multiple tranches of debt and equity securities. Synthetic CDOs enter into a portfolio of credit default swaps to synthetically create exposure to fixed income securities. CLOs are a subset of CDOs that

hold pools of loans, typically corporate loans or commercial mortgages. CDOs are typically managed by third party portfolio managers. Merrill Lynch transfers assets to these CDOs, holds securities issued by the CDOs, and may be a derivative counterparty to the CDOs, including credit default swap counterparty for synthetic CDOs. Merrill Lynch has also entered into total return swaps with certain CDOs whereby Merrill Lynch will absorb the economic returns generated by specified assets held by the CDO. Merrill Lynch receives fees for structuring CDOs and providing liquidity support for super senior tranches of securities issued by certain CDOs.

The following table summarizes certain information related to CDO vehicles in which Merrill Lynch holds a variable interest as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

(dollars in millions)

			Septen	nber 30, 2012							
	Consolidated		Unconsolidated Total			Total	Co	nsolidated	Unc	consolidated	Total
Maximum Loss Exposure	\$	2,212	\$	1,489	\$	3,701	\$	1,695	\$	2,185	\$ 3,880
On-balance sheet assets											
Trading assets	\$	2,212	\$	262	\$	2,474	\$	1,844	\$	397	\$ 2,241
Derivative contracts		_		380		380		_		678	678
Other assets		_		56		56		_		72	72
Total	\$	2,212	\$	698	\$	2,910	\$	1,844	\$	1,147	\$ 2,991
On-balance sheet liabilities											
Derivative contracts	\$	_	\$	7	\$	7	\$	_	\$	11	\$ 11
Long-term borrowings		2,839		_		2,839		2,712		_	2,712
Total	\$	2,839	\$	7	\$	2,846	\$	2,712	\$	11	\$ 2,723
Total assets of VIEs	\$	2,212	\$	27,630	\$	29,842	\$	1,844	\$	32,847	\$ 34,691

Merrill Lynch's maximum loss exposure is significantly less than the total assets of unconsolidated CDO vehicles in the table above because Merrill Lynch typically has exposure to only a portion of the total assets.

At September 30, 2012, Merrill Lynch had \$1.5 billion of aggregate liquidity exposure to CDOs. This amount includes \$116 million of commitments to CDOs to provide funding for super senior exposures and \$1.4 billion notional amount of derivative contracts with unconsolidated VIEs, principally CDO vehicles, which hold non-super senior CDO debt securities or other debt securities on Merrill Lynch's behalf. Refer to Note 14 for additional information. Merrill Lynch's liquidity exposure to CDOs at September 30, 2012 is included in the table above to the extent that Merrill Lynch sponsored the CDO vehicle or the liquidity exposure is more than insignificant compared to total assets of the CDO vehicle. Liquidity exposure included in the table is reported net of previously recorded losses.

Customer Vehicles

Customer vehicles include credit-linked and equity-linked note vehicles and repackaging vehicles, which are typically created on behalf of customers who wish to obtain exposure to a specific company or financial instrument. Credit-linked and equity-linked note vehicles issue notes which pay a return that is linked to the specific credit or equity risk. The vehicles purchase high-grade assets as collateral and enter into CDS or equity derivatives to synthetically create the credit or equity risk required to pay the specified return on the notes issued by the vehicles. Repackaging vehicles issue notes that are designed to incorporate risk characteristics desired by customers of Merrill Lynch. The vehicles hold debt instruments such as corporate bonds, convertible bonds or ABS with the desired credit risk profile. Merrill Lynch enters into derivatives with the vehicles to change the interest rate or currency profile of the debt instruments. If a vehicle holds convertible bonds and Merrill Lynch retains the conversion option, Merrill Lynch is deemed to have a controlling financial interest and consolidates the vehicle.



The following table summarizes certain information related to customer vehicles in which Merrill Lynch holds a variable interest as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

			Septem	ber 30, 2012				Decem	ber 31, 2011		
	Consolidated		Unc	onsolidated	Total	Co	onsolidated	Unc	onsolidated	Total	
Maximum Loss Exposure	\$	1,633	\$	1,952	\$ 3,585	\$	2,333	\$	2,106	\$	4,439
On-balance sheet assets											
Trading assets	\$	3,599	\$	283	\$ 3,882	\$	3,243	\$	211	\$	3,454
Derivative contracts		_		651	651		_		896		896
Other assets		758		_	758		1,446				1,446
Total	\$	4,357	\$	934	\$ 5,291	\$	4,689	\$	1,107	\$	5,796
On-balance sheet liabilities											
Derivative contracts	\$	15	\$	52	\$ 67	\$	4	\$	42	\$	46
Short-term borrowings		142		_	142		_		_		_
Long-term borrowings		3,732		_	3,732		3,873				3,873
Other liabilities		1		431	432		1		448		449
Total	\$	3,890	\$	483	\$ 4,373	\$	3,878	\$	490	\$	4,368
Total assets of VIEs	\$	4,357	\$	4,562	\$ 8,919	\$	4,689	\$	5,265	\$	9,954

(dollars in millions)

Merrill Lynch consolidates customer vehicles in which it has a controlling financial interest. Merrill Lynch typically has control over the initial design of the vehicle and may also have the ability to replace the collateral assets. Merrill Lynch consolidates these vehicles if it also absorbs potentially significant gains or losses through derivative contracts or investments. Merrill Lynch does not consolidate a vehicle if a single investor controlled the initial design of the vehicle or if Merrill Lynch does not have a variable interest that could potentially be significant to the vehicle.

Merrill Lynch is typically the counterparty for the credit and equity derivatives entered into by the customer vehicles, and it may invest in securities issued by the vehicles. Merrill Lynch may also enter into interest rate and foreign currency derivatives with the vehicles. Merrill Lynch had approximately \$745 million and \$824 million of other liquidity commitments, including written put options and collateral value guarantees, with unconsolidated credit-linked and equity-linked note vehicles at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Merrill Lynch's maximum loss exposure from customer vehicles includes the notional amount of the credit or equity derivatives to which it is counterparty, net of losses previously recorded, and Merrill Lynch's investment, if any, in securities issued by the vehicles. It has not been reduced to reflect the benefit of offsetting swaps with the customers or collateral arrangements.

Real Estate and other VIEs

Real Estate and other VIEs primarily includes a real estate investment fund that is a VIE, investments in VIEs that hold investment property, certain hedge fund investment entities, and residential agency resecuritizations.

The following table summarizes certain information related to Real Estate and other VIEs in which Merrill Lynch holds a variable interest as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

(dollars in millions)

			Septen	nber 30, 2012					Deceml	per 31, 2011	
	Consolidated		Unc	Unconsolidated To		Total	Co	nsolidated	Unc	onsolidated	Total
Maximum Loss Exposure	\$	639	\$	3,042	\$	3,681	\$	1,821	\$	4,631	\$ 6,452
On-balance sheet assets											
Trading assets	\$	1,401	\$	1,812	\$	3,213	\$	_	\$	2,980	\$ 2,980
Derivative contracts		_		432		432		_		440	440
Investment securities		41		43		84		162		62	224
Loans, notes, and mortgages		21		468		489		94		804	898
Other assets		27		288		315		1,575		344	1,919
Total	\$	1,490	\$	3,043	\$	4,533	\$	1,831	\$	4,630	\$ 6,461
On-balance sheet liabilities											
Long-term borrowings	\$	852	\$	_	\$	852	\$	10	\$		\$ 10
Other liabilities		171		2		173		185		265	450
Total	\$	1,023	\$	2	\$	1,025	\$	195	\$	265	\$ 460
Total assets of VIEs	\$	1,490	\$	21,493	\$	22,983	\$	1,831	\$	26,731	\$ 28,562

Merrill Lynch consolidates Real Estate and other VIEs in which it has a controlling financial interest. Merrill Lynch has established real estate investment funds designed to provide returns to clients through limited partnership holdings. Merrill Lynch invests in real estate lending vehicles and establishes vehicles to hold real estate investments. In certain instances these entities do not have sufficient equity to finance operations and are therefore considered VIEs. Merrill Lynch consolidates these vehicles when it has decision-making power over the property held by the vehicle and absorbs potentially significant gains or losses through its equity or loan investment.

Merrill Lynch transfers existing securities, typically MBS, into resecuritization vehicles at the request of customers seeking securities with specific characteristics. Generally, there are no significant ongoing activities performed in a resecuritization trust and no single investor has the unilateral ability to liquidate the trust.

Merrill Lynch resecuritized \$12.2 billion and \$36.5 billion of securities during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, as compared with \$0.6 billion and \$8.1 billion, respectively, in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011. Merrill Lynch consolidates a resecuritization trust if it has sole discretion over the design of the trust, including the identification of securities to be transferred in and the structure of securities to be issued, and also retains a variable interest that could potentially be significant to the trust. If one or a limited number of third-party investors share responsibility for the design of the trust and purchase a significant portion of securities, including subordinated securities issued by non agency trusts, Merrill Lynch does not consolidate the trust.

Other Transactions

Merrill Lynch transferred pools of securities to certain independent third parties and provided financing for up to 75% of the purchase price under assetbacked financing arrangements. At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, Merrill Lynch's maximum loss exposure under these financing arrangements was \$3.4 billion and \$4.7 billion, respectively, substantially all of which was recorded as loans, notes and mortgages on Merrill Lynch's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. All principal and interest payments have been received when due in accordance with their contractual terms. These arrangements are not included in the tables above because the purchasers are not VIEs.

Note 10. Loans, Notes and Mortgages

Loans, notes and mortgages include:

- Consumer loans, which are substantially secured, including residential mortgages, home equity loans, and other loans to individuals for household, family, or other personal expenditures;
- Commercial loans, including corporate and institutional loans (including corporate and financial sponsor, non-investment grade lending commitments), commercial mortgages, asset-backed loans, small- and middle-market business loans, and other loans to businesses; and
- Other loans, which include securities-backed loans and loans classified as held-for-sale.

The table below presents information on Merrill Lynch's loans outstanding at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

Age Analysis of Outstanding Loans

(dollars in millions)						September 30, 2012	2					
	59 Days st Due	-89 Days ast Due	90 Days or more Past Due	I	Fotal Past Due	al Current or Less Than 0 Days Past Due		Nonperforming Loans ⁽¹⁾	L	oans Measured at Fair Value		Total Outstanding
Consumer loans												
Residential mortgage	\$ 9	\$ 4	\$ _	\$	13	\$ 423	\$	22	\$		\$	458
Home equity	_	_	_		_	97		3				100
Total consumer	9	4	_		13	520		25				558
Commercial												
U.S. commercial		_	_		_	3,146		43		_		3,189
Commercial real estate		_	_		_	543		28		_		571
Non-U.S. commercial		_			_	2,923		42				2,965
Total commercial loans	_	—	—		_	6,612		113		_		6,725
Commercial loans measured at fair value	_	_	_		_	_		_		1,219		1,219
Total commercial		_			_	6,612		113		1,219		7,944
Other ⁽²⁾	—	_	_		_	9,670		_		1,461		11,131
Total loans	\$ 9	\$ 4	\$ _	\$	13	\$ 16,802	\$	138	\$	2,680	\$	19,633
Allowance for loan losses												(69)
Total loans, net											S	19,564



Age Analysis of Outstanding Loans

(dollars in millions)								December 31, 2011	1					
		59 Days		89 Days	00 Days or more	Fotal Past		tal Current or Less Than		Nonperforming	I	oans Measured at		Total
	Pa	st Due	Pa	ast Due	Past Due	Due	3	30 Days Past Due		Loans (1)		Fair Value	C	Outstanding
Consumer loans														
Residential mortgage	\$	20	\$	4	\$ _	\$ 24	\$	420	\$	25	\$	_	\$	469
Home equity				_	_	_		117		4		_		121
Total consumer		20		4	_	24		537		29		_		590
Commercial														
U.S. commercial		_		1	2	3		3,753		85		_		3,841
Commercial real estate		_		_	_	_		667		108		_		775
Non-U.S. commercial				_	_	_		3,040		65		_		3,105
Total commercial loans		_		1	2	3		7,460		258		_		7,721
Commercial loans measured at fair value		_		_	_	_		_		_		909		909
Total commercial		_		1	2	3		7,460		258		909		8,630
Other (3)				_	_	_		10,013		_		1,413		11,426
Total loans	\$	20	\$	5	\$ 2	\$ 27	\$	18,010	\$	287	\$	2,322	\$	20,646
Allowance for loan losses														(72)
Total loans, net													\$	20,574

(1) Excludes loans measured at fair

value.

(2) Includes securities-backed loans and loans held-for-sale of \$9.1 billion and \$2.0 billion, respectively, as of September 30, 2012.

(3) Includes securities-backed loans and loans held-for-sale of \$8.9 billion and \$2.5 billion, respectively, as of December 31, 2011.

Merrill Lynch monitors the credit quality of its loans based on primary credit quality indicators. Merrill Lynch's commercial loans are evaluated using the internal classifications of pass rated or reservable criticized as the primary credit quality indicators. The term reservable criticized refers to those commercial loans that are internally classified or listed by Merrill Lynch as Special Mention, Substandard or Doubtful, which are asset categories defined by regulatory authorities. These assets have an elevated level of risk and may have a high probability of default or total loss. Pass rated refers to all loans not considered reservable criticized. In addition to these primary credit quality indicators, Merrill Lynch uses other credit quality indicators for certain types of loans. The table below presents credit quality indicators for Merrill Lynch's commercial loan portfolio, excluding loans accounted for under the fair value option, at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

(dollars in millions)	September 30, 2012									
	C	U.S. Commercial		imercial l Estate		Non-U.S. ommercial				
Risk Ratings										
Pass rated	\$	3,025	\$	446	\$	2,833				
Reservable criticized		164		125		132				
Total Commercial Credit	\$	3,189	\$	571	\$	2,965				

December 31, 2011									
Co	U.S. mmercial				on-U.S. mmercial				
\$	3,594	\$	511	\$	2,967				
	247		264		138				
\$	3,841	\$	775	\$	3,105				
	\$	U.S. Commercial \$ 3,594 247	U.S. Com Commercial Real \$ 3,594 \$ 247	U.S. Commercial Real Estate \$ 3,594 \$ 511 247 264	U.S. CommercialCommercial Real EstateN Com\$ 3,594\$ 511\$ 247264				

Activity in the allowance for loan losses, which is primarily associated with commercial loans, is presented below:

(dollars in millions)

	End	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012		
Allowance for loan losses, at beginning of period	\$	72	\$	170
Provision for loan losses		(1)		24
Charge-offs		(12)		(108)
Recoveries		9		3
Net charge-offs		(3)		(105)
Other		1		1
Allowance for loan losses, at end of period	\$	69	\$	90

Consumer loans, substantially all of which are collateralized, consisted of approximately 23,000 individual loans at September 30, 2012. Commercial loans consisted of approximately 900 separate loans.

Merrill Lynch's outstanding loans include \$2.0 billion and \$2.5 billion of loans held for sale at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. Loans held for sale are loans that Merrill Lynch expects to sell prior to maturity. At September 30, 2012, such loans consisted of \$0.9 billion of consumer loans, primarily residential mortgages, and \$1.1 billion of commercial loans. At December 31, 2011, such loans consisted of \$1.0 billion of consumer loans, primarily residential mortgages, and \$1.5 billion of commercial loans.

In some cases, Merrill Lynch enters into single name and index credit default swaps to mitigate credit exposure related to funded and unfunded commercial loans. The notional value of these swaps totaled \$2.6 billion and \$3.4 billion at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

The following tables provide information regarding Merrill Lynch's net credit default protection associated with its funded and unfunded commercial loans as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

Net Credit Default Protection by Maturity Profile

	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Less than or equal to one year	22%	16%
Greater than one year and less than or equal to five years	77	82
Greater than five years	1	2
Total net credit default protection	100%	100%

Net Credit Default Protection by Credit Exposure Debt Rating

(dollars in millions)				
	September	r 30, 2012	December	31, 2011
Ratings ⁽¹⁾	Net Notional	Percent	Net Notional	Percent
AA	\$ (583)	22.7%	\$ (661)	19.4%
A	(1,195)	46.4	(1,542)	45.1
BBB	(550)	21.4	(637)	18.6
BB	(85)	3.3	(190)	5.6
В	(40)	1.6	(190)	5.6
CCC and below	(119)	4.6	(195)	5.7
Total net credit default protection	\$ (2,572)	100%	\$ (3,415)	100.0%

(1) Merrill Lynch considers ratings of BBB- or higher to meet the definition of investment grade.

Note 11. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill

Goodwill is the cost of an acquired company in excess of the fair value of identifiable net assets at the acquisition date. Goodwill is tested annually (or more frequently under certain conditions) for impairment at the reporting unit level in accordance with ASC 350, *Intangibles - Goodwill and Other* ("Goodwill and Intangible Assets Accounting.") If the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds its carrying value, its goodwill is not deemed to be impaired. If the fair value is less than the carrying value, a further analysis is required to determine the amount of impairment, if any. Based on the annual impairment analysis completed during the third quarter of 2012, Merrill Lynch determined that there was no impairment of goodwill as of the June 30, 2012 test date.

The carrying amount of goodwill was \$6.4 billion at both September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. Such amounts include the impact of an adjustment made during the quarter ended March 31, 2012 for \$675 million of additional goodwill associated with Merrill Lynch's merger with Bank of America Securities Holdings Corporation as of November 1, 2010. Prior period financial statements have been adjusted to reflect this change, along with a corresponding adjustment to paid-in capital.

Intangible Assets

Intangible assets with definite lives at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 consisted primarily of value assigned to customer relationships. Intangible assets with definite lives are tested for impairment in accordance with ASC 360, *Property, Plant and Equipment* whenever certain conditions exist which would indicate the carrying amounts of such assets may not be recoverable. Intangible assets with definite lives are amortized over their respective estimated useful lives. Intangible assets with indefinite lives consist of value assigned to the Merrill Lynch brand and are tested for impairment in accordance with Goodwill and Intangible Assets Accounting. Intangible assets with indefinite lives are not amortized. Based on the annual impairment analysis completed during the third quarter of 2012, Merrill Lynch determined that there was no impairment of the Merrill Lynch brand as of the June 30, 2012 test date.

The gross carrying amount of intangible assets with definite lives was \$3.1 billion at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. Accumulated amortization of intangible assets with definite lives was \$1.2 billion and \$0.9 billion at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The carrying amount of intangible assets with indefinite lives was \$1.5 billion as of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.



Amortization expense for the three month periods ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 was \$78 million, and for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011 was \$232 million.

Note 12. Borrowings and Deposits

Prior to Merrill Lynch's acquisition by Bank of America, ML & Co. was the primary issuer of Merrill Lynch's unsecured debt instruments. Debt instruments were also issued by certain subsidiaries. Bank of America has not assumed or guaranteed the long-term debt that was issued or guaranteed by ML & Co. or its subsidiaries prior to the acquisition of Merrill Lynch by Bank of America.

Beginning late in the third quarter of 2009, in connection with the update or renewal of certain Merrill Lynch international securities offering programs, Bank of America agreed to guarantee debt securities, warrants and/or certificates issued by certain subsidiaries of ML & Co. on a going forward basis. All existing ML & Co. guarantees of securities issued by those same Merrill Lynch subsidiaries under various international securities offering programs will remain in full force and effect as long as those securities are outstanding, and Bank of America has not assumed any of those prior ML & Co. guarantees or otherwise guaranteed such securities. There were approximately \$6.6 billion of securities guaranteed by Bank of America at September 30, 2012.

Following the completion of Bank of America's acquisition of Merrill Lynch, ML & Co. became a subsidiary of Bank of America and established intercompany lending and borrowing arrangements to facilitate centralized liquidity management. Included in these intercompany agreements is a \$75 billion one-year revolving unsecured line of credit that allows ML & Co. to borrow funds from Bank of America at a spread to LIBOR that is reset periodically and is consistent with other intercompany agreements. This credit line was renewed effective January 1, 2012 with a maturity date of January 1, 2013. The credit line will automatically be extended by one year to the succeeding January 1st unless Bank of America provides written notice not to extend at least 45 days prior to the maturity date. The agreement does not contain any financial or other covenants. There were no outstanding borrowings under the line of credit at September 30, 2012.

In addition to the \$75 billion unsecured line of credit, there is also a revolving unsecured line of credit that allows ML & Co. to borrow up to \$25 billion from Bank of America. Interest on borrowings under the line of credit is based on prevailing short-term market rates. The line of credit does not contain any financial or other covenants. The line of credit matures on February 12, 2013. At September 30, 2012, approximately \$4.1 billion was outstanding under the line of credit.

Merrill Lynch Pierce Fenner & Smith Incorporated ("MLPF&S") also has the following borrowing agreements with Bank of America:

- A \$4 billion one-year revolving unsecured line of credit Interest on the line of credit is based on prevailing short-term market rates. The credit line will mature on November 1, 2013 and may automatically be extended by one year to the succeeding November 1st unless Bank of America provides written notice not to extend at least 45 days prior to the maturity date. At September 30, 2012, there were no outstanding borrowings under the line of credit.
- A \$15 billion 364-day revolving unsecured line of credit Interest on the line of credit is based on prevailing short-term market rates. The line of credit matures on February 19, 2013. At September 30, 2012, approximately \$0.9 billion was outstanding under the line of credit.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2012, \$2.6 billion that was outstanding under the following MLPF&S borrowing agreements with Bank of America was repaid and the agreements were terminated. The terminated agreements were replaced by intercompany funding arrangements between MLPF&S and ML & Co.

• A subordinated loan agreement for approximately \$1.5 billion - Interest under this agreement was calculated based on a spread to LIBOR.



• A \$7 billion revolving subordinated line of credit - Interest under this agreement was calculated based on a spread to LIBOR.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2012, Merrill Lynch entered into a series of transactions involving repurchases of its senior and subordinated debt. Through a tender offer and certain open market transactions, Merrill Lynch repurchased senior and subordinated debt with a carrying value of \$551 million for \$474 million in cash, and recorded a gain of \$77 million. In addition, during the three months ended March 31, 2012, Merrill Lynch completed a tender offer to repurchase and retire certain subordinated notes for approximately \$1.2 billion in cash, which resulted in a gain of \$328 million.

The value of Merrill Lynch's debt instruments as recorded on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets does not necessarily represent the amount that will be repaid at maturity. This is due to the following:

- As a result of the acquisition by Bank of America, all debt instruments were adjusted to fair value on January 1, 2009;
- Certain debt issuances are accounted for at fair value and incorporate changes in Merrill Lynch's creditworthiness (see Note 4);
- Certain structured notes whose coupon or repayment terms are linked to the performance of debt and equity securities, indices, currencies or commodities reflect the fair value of those risks (see Note 4); and
- Certain debt issuances are adjusted for the impact of fair value hedge accounting (see Note 6).

The tables below exclude Merrill Lynch's intercompany borrowings from Bank of America, see Note 2 for further information. Total borrowings at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, which are comprised of short-term borrowings, long-term borrowings and junior subordinated notes (related to trust preferred securities), consisted of the following:

(dollars in millions)

er 30,	De	cember 31, 2011
48,026	\$	59,394
26,849		28,523
10,719		12,661
3,804		3,789
1,213		868
10,297		11,534
100,908	\$	116,769
100,70		

Borrowings and deposits at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, are presented below:

(dollars in millions)

Sep	September 30, 2012		
\$	682	\$	1,112
	2,874		4,939
\$	3,556	\$	6,051
\$	52,729	\$	60,482
	33,396		39,852
	7,423		6,595
\$	93,548	\$	106,929
\$	12,529	\$	12,364
	\$ <u>\$</u>	2012 \$ 682 2,874 \$ 3,556 \$ 52,729 33,396 7,423 \$ 93,548	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

(1) See Note 9 for additional information on debt issued by consolidated

VIEs.(2) Excludes junior subordinated notes (related to trust preferred

securities). (3) Fixed-rate obligations are generally swapped to variable

rates.

(4) Variable interest rates are generally based on rates such as LIBOR, the U.S. Treasury Bill rate, or the Federal Funds

rate.

(5) Includes structured notes.

See Note 5 for additional information on the fair value of long-term borrowings.

The weighted-average interest rates for borrowings at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 (excluding structured products) were as follows:

	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Short-term borrowings	0.2%	0.4%
Long-term borrowings	3.9	4.0
Junior subordinated notes (related to trust preferred securities)	6.5	6.5

Merrill Lynch also obtains standby letters of credit from issuing banks to satisfy various counterparty collateral requirements, in lieu of depositing cash or securities collateral. Such standby letters of credit aggregated \$1.8 billion and \$2.1 billion at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Long-Term Borrowings

At September 30, 2012, long-term borrowings mature as follows:

(dollars in millions)

	Amount	Percentage of Total		
Less than 1 year	\$ 23,354	25%		
1-2 years	22,938	25		
2-3 years	6,064	6		
3-4 years	4,346	5		
4-5 years	8,654	9		
Greater than 5 years	28,192	30		
Total	\$ 93,548	100 %		

Certain long-term borrowing agreements contain provisions whereby the borrowings are redeemable at the option of the holder ("put" options) at specified dates prior to maturity. These borrowings are reflected in the above table as maturing at their put dates, rather than their contractual maturities. However, Merrill Lynch believes that a portion of such borrowings will remain outstanding beyond their earliest redemption date.

The maturity of certain structured notes whose coupon or repayment terms are linked to the performance of debt and equity securities, indices, currencies or commodities may be accelerated based on the value of a referenced index or security, in which case Merrill Lynch may be required to immediately settle the obligation for cash or other securities. These notes are included in the portion of long-term debt maturing in less than a year.

Senior and subordinated debt obligations do not contain provisions that could, upon an adverse change in ML & Co.'s credit rating, financial ratios, earnings or cash flows, trigger a requirement for an early payment, additional collateral support, changes in terms, acceleration of maturity, or the creation of an additional financial obligation.

See Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in the 2011 Annual Report for additional information on borrowings.

Note 13. Stockholder's Equity and Earnings Per Share

Common Stock

As of September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, there are 1,000 shares of ML & Co. common stock outstanding, all of which are held by Bank of America.

Earnings Per Share

Earnings per share data is not provided, as Merrill Lynch is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Bank of America.

Note 14. Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees

Litigation and Regulatory Matters

The following supplements the disclosure in Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Merrill Lynch's 2011 Annual Report and in Note 14 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of Merrill Lynch's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly periods ended June 30, 2012 and March 31, 2012 (collectively, the "prior commitments and contingencies disclosure").

In the ordinary course of business, Merrill Lynch and its subsidiaries are routinely defendants in or parties to many pending and threatened legal actions and proceedings, including actions brought on behalf of various classes of claimants. These actions and proceedings are generally based on alleged violations of securities, employment, contract and other laws. In some of these actions and proceedings, claims for substantial monetary damages are asserted against Merrill Lynch and its subsidiaries.

In the ordinary course of business, Merrill Lynch and its subsidiaries are also subject to regulatory examinations, information gathering requests, inquiries, investigations, and threatened legal actions and proceedings. Certain subsidiaries of Merrill Lynch are registered broker/dealers or investment advisors and are subject to regulation by the SEC, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority ("FINRA"), the New York Stock Exchange, the Financial Services Authority ("FSA") and other domestic, international and state securities regulators. In connection with formal and informal inquiries by those agencies, such subsidiaries receive numerous requests, subpoenas and orders for documents, testimony and information in connection with various aspects of their regulated activities.

In view of the inherent difficulty of predicting the outcome of such litigation and regulatory matters, particularly where the claimants seek very large or indeterminate damages or where the matters present novel legal theories or involve a large number of parties, Merrill Lynch generally cannot predict what the eventual outcome of the pending matters will be, what the timing of the ultimate resolution of these matters will be, or what the eventual loss, fines or penalties related to each pending matter may be.

In accordance with applicable accounting guidance, Merrill Lynch establishes an accrued liability for litigation and regulatory matters when those matters present loss contingencies that are both probable and estimable. In such cases, there may be an exposure to loss in excess of any amounts accrued. When a loss contingency is not both probable and estimable, Merrill Lynch does not establish an accrued liability. As a litigation or regulatory matter develops, Merrill Lynch, in conjunction with any outside counsel handling the matter, evaluates on an ongoing basis whether such matter presents a loss contingency that is probable and estimable. If, at the time of evaluation, the loss contingency related to a litigation or regulatory matter is not both probable and estimable. Once the loss contingency related to a litigation or regulatory matter is deemed to be both probable and estimable, Merrill Lynch will establish an accrued liability with respect to such loss contingency and record a corresponding amount of litigation-related expense. Merrill Lynch continues to monitor the matter for further developments that could affect the amount of the accrued liability that has been previously established. Excluding expenses of internal or external legal service providers, litigation-related expenses of approximately \$170 million and \$190 million were recognized for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, as compared with approximately \$390 million and \$670 million for the same periods in 2011.

For a limited number of the matters disclosed in this Note, and in the prior commitments and contingencies disclosure, for which a loss is probable or reasonably possible in future periods, whether in excess of a related accrued liability or where there is no accrued liability, Merrill Lynch is able to estimate a range of possible loss. In determining whether it is possible to provide an estimate of loss or range of possible loss, Merrill Lynch reviews and evaluates its material litigation and regulatory matters on an ongoing basis, in conjunction with any outside counsel handling the matter, in light of potentially relevant factual and legal developments. These may include information learned through the discovery process, rulings on dispositive motions, settlement discussions, and other rulings by courts, arbitrators or others. In cases in which Merrill Lynch possesses sufficient appropriate information to develop an estimate of loss or range of possible loss, that estimate is aggregated and disclosed below. There may be other disclosed matters for which a loss is probable or reasonably possible but such an estimate may not be possible. For those matters where an estimate is possible, management currently estimates the aggregate range of possible loss is \$0 to \$900 million in excess of the accrued liability (if any) related to those matters. This estimated range of possible loss is based upon currently available information and is subject to significant judgment and a variety of assumptions, and known and unknown uncertainties. The matters underlying the estimated range will change from time to time, and actual results may vary significantly from the current estimate. Those matters for which an estimate is not possible are not included within this estimated range. Therefore, this estimated range of possible loss represents what Merrill Lynch believes to be an estimate of possible loss only for certain matters meeting these criteria. It does not represent Merrill Lynch's maximum loss exposure. Information is provided below, or in the prior commitments and contingencies disclosure, regarding the nature of all of these contingencies and, where specified, the amount of the claim associated with these loss contingencies. Based on current knowledge, management does not believe that loss contingencies arising from pending matters, including the matters described herein and in the prior commitments and contingencies disclosure, will have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position or liquidity of Merrill Lynch. However, in light of the inherent uncertainties involved in these matters, some of which are beyond Merrill Lynch's control, and the very large or indeterminate damages sought in some of these matters, an adverse outcome in one or more of these matters could be material to Merrill Lynch's results of operations or cash flows for any particular reporting period.

"Good Reason" Litigation

On September 18, 2012, the court signed an order giving preliminary approval to the settlement and providing for notice to potential class members.

In re Bank of America Securities, Derivative and Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA) Litigation

Securities Actions

On September 27, 2012, the parties in *In re Bank of America Securities, Derivative and Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA) Litigation* agreed in principle to settle the securities claims (also referred to as the Merrill Lynch Class Action Settlement). Bank of America Corporation has agreed to pay \$2.4 billion, and institute and/or continue certain Bank of America Corporation corporate governance enhancements until January 1, 2015, including those relating to majority voting in director elections, annual disclosure of noncompliance with stock ownership guidelines, policies for a board committee regarding future acquisitions, the independence of the board's compensation committee and its compensation consultants, and conducting an annual "say-on-pay" vote by shareholders. In exchange, Securities Plaintiffs will release their claims against all defendants. The agreement is subject to execution of a written settlement agreement and court approval.

Mortgage-Backed Securities ("MBS") Litigation

Merrill Lynch entities and/or their affiliates have been named as defendants in a number of cases relating to their various roles as issuer, originator, seller, depositor, sponsor, underwriter and/or controlling entity in MBS offerings, pursuant to which the MBS investors were entitled to a portion of the cash flow from the underlying pools of mortgages. These cases generally include actions by individual MBS purchasers. Although the allegations vary by lawsuit, these cases generally allege that the registration statements, prospectuses and prospectus supplements for securities issued by securitization trusts contained material misrepresentations and omissions, in violation of Sections 11, 12 and/or 15 of the Securities Act of 1933, Sections 10(b) and/or 20 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and/or state securities laws and other state statutory and common laws.

These cases generally involve allegations of false and misleading statements regarding (i) the process by which the properties that served as collateral for the mortgage loans underlying the MBS were appraised; (ii) the percentage of equity that mortgage borrowers had in their homes; (iii) the borrowers' ability to repay their mortgage loans; (iv) the underwriting practices by which those mortgage loans were originated; (v) the ratings given to the different tranches of MBS by rating agencies; and/or (vi) the validity of each issuing trusts' title to the mortgage loans comprising the pool for the securitization (collectively, "MBS Claims"). Plaintiffs in these cases generally seek unspecified compensatory damages, unspecified costs and legal fees and, in some instances, seek rescission. A number of other entities (including the National Credit Union Administration) have threatened legal actions against Merrill Lynch and its affiliates concerning MBS offerings.

Federal Housing Finance Agency Litigation

On August 14, 2012, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit granted the UBS defendants' application for an interlocutory appeal in *FHFA v*. UBS Americas, Inc., et al.

Bayerische Landesbank, New York Branch (Merrill Lynch) Litigation

On September 27, 2012, the case was settled for an amount not material to Merrill Lynch's condensed consolidated results of operations.

Dexia (Merrill Lynch) Litigation

On September 27, 2012, the case was settled for an amount not material to Merrill Lynch's condensed consolidated results of operations.



Commitments

At September 30, 2012, Merrill Lynch's commitments had the following expirations:

(dollars in millions)

			Commitme	nt exp	iration	
	Total	Less than 1 Year	1-3 Years		3-5 Years	Over 5 Years
Lending commitments	\$ 4,707	\$ 915	\$ 617	\$	2,921	\$ 254
Purchasing and other commitments	4,063	1,995	1,108		696	264
Operating leases	2,617	786	828		540	463
Commitments to enter into resale and securities borrowing agreements	98,340	98,340	_		_	
Commitments to enter into repurchase and securities lending agreements	56,412	56,412	_		_	
Total	\$ 166,139	\$ 158,448	\$ 2,553	\$	4,157	\$ 981

Lending Commitments

Merrill Lynch enters into commitments to extend credit, predominantly at variable interest rates, in connection with corporate finance, corporate and institutional transactions and asset-based lending transactions. Clients may also be extended loans or lines of credit collateralized by first and second mortgages on real estate, certain liquid assets of small businesses, or securities. These commitments usually have a fixed expiration date and are contingent on certain contractual conditions that may require payment of a fee by the counterparty. Once commitments are drawn upon, Merrill Lynch may require the counterparty to post collateral depending upon creditworthiness and general market conditions. See Note 10 for additional information.

Commitments to extend credit are outstanding as of the date the commitment letter is issued and are comprised of closed and contingent commitments. Closed commitments represent the unfunded portion of existing commitments available for draw down. Contingent commitments are contingent on the borrower fulfilling certain conditions or upon a particular event, such as an acquisition. A portion of these contingent commitments may be syndicated among other lenders or the counterparty may replace the commitment with capital markets funding.

The contractual amounts of these commitments represent the amounts at risk should the contract be fully drawn upon, the client defaults, and the value of the existing collateral becomes worthless. The total amount of outstanding commitments may not represent future cash requirements, as commitments may expire without being drawn.

For lending commitments where the loan will be classified as held for sale upon funding, liabilities associated with unfunded commitments are calculated at the lower of cost or fair value, capturing declines in the fair value of the respective credit risk. For loan commitments where the loan will be classified as held for investment upon funding, liabilities are calculated considering both market and historical loss rates. Loan commitments either held by entities that apply the Broker-Dealer Guide or for which the fair value option was elected are accounted for at fair value.

Purchasing and Other Commitments

At September 30, 2012, Merrill Lynch had commitments to purchase loans of \$1.7 billion, which, upon settlement of the commitment, will be included in trading assets, loans held for investment or loans held for sale. Such commitments totaled \$2.5 billion at December 31, 2011. Merrill Lynch has also entered into agreements with providers of market data, communications, systems consulting, and other office-related services. At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, minimum fee commitments over the remaining life of these agreements totaled \$1.3 billion and \$1.5 billion, respectively. Other purchasing commitments amounted to \$1.0 billion at both September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. In addition, Merrill Lynch had commitments to purchase partnership interests, primarily related to private equity and principal investing activities, at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 of \$0.1 billion and \$0.3 billion, respectively.



In the normal course of business, Merrill Lynch enters into commitments for underwriting transactions. Settlement of these transactions as of September 30, 2012 would not have a material effect on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet of Merrill Lynch.

In connection with trading activities, Merrill Lynch enters into commitments to enter into resale and securities borrowing and also repurchase and securities lending agreements.

Operating Leases

Merrill Lynch has entered into various non-cancelable long-term lease agreements for premises that expire through 2028. Merrill Lynch has also entered into various non-cancelable short-term lease agreements, which are primarily commitments of less than one year under equipment leases.

Guarantees

Merrill Lynch issues various guarantees to counterparties in connection with certain transactions. Merrill Lynch's guarantee arrangements and their expiration at September 30, 2012 are summarized as follows (see Note 6 for information related to derivative financial instruments within the scope of Guarantees Accounting):

(dollars in millions)

]	Expiration			
	aximum Payout	Less than 1 year		1-3 years	3-5 years	Over 5 years	Carrying Value
Standby liquidity facilities	\$ 783	\$ 764	\$	_	\$ 3	\$ 16	\$
Residual value guarantees	320	206		114	_	_	—
Standby letters of credit and other guarantees	412	323		62	27	_	_

Standby Liquidity Facilities

Standby liquidity facilities are primarily comprised of liquidity facilities provided to certain unconsolidated municipal bond securitization VIEs. In these arrangements, Merrill Lynch is required to fund these standby liquidity facilities if certain contingent events take place (e.g., a failed remarketing) and in certain cases if the fair value of the assets held by the VIE declines below the stated amount of the liquidity obligation. The potential exposure under the facilities is mitigated by economic hedges and/or other contractual arrangements entered into by Merrill Lynch. Based upon historical activity, it is considered remote that future payments would need to be made under these guarantees.

Refer to Note 9 for further information.

Residual Value Guarantees

At September 30, 2012, residual value guarantees of \$320 million consist of amounts associated with certain power plant facilities. Payments under these guarantees would only be required if the fair value of such assets declined below their guaranteed value. As of September 30, 2012, no payments have been made under these guarantees and the carrying value of the associated liabilities was not material, as Merrill Lynch believes that the estimated fair value of such assets was in excess of their guaranteed value.

Standby Letters of Credit

At September 30, 2012, Merrill Lynch provided guarantees to certain counterparties in the form of standby letters of credit in the amount of \$0.4 billion. Payment risk is evaluated based upon historical payment activity.



Representations and Warranties

<u>Background</u>

In prior years, Merrill Lynch and certain of its subsidiaries, including First Franklin Financial Corporation ("First Franklin"), sold pools of first-lien residential mortgage loans and home equity loans as private-label securitizations (in a limited number of these securitizations, monolines insured all or some of the securities) or in the form of whole loans. Most of the loans sold in the form of whole loans were subsequently pooled into private-label securitizations sponsored by the third-party buyer of the whole loans. In addition, Merrill Lynch and First Franklin securitized first-lien residential mortgage loans generally in the form of mortgage-backed securities guaranteed by the government sponsored enterprises (the "GSEs"). In connection with these transactions, Merrill Lynch made various representations and warranties. These representations and warranties, as set forth in the agreements, related to, among other things, the ownership of the loan for inclusion in a transaction, the loan's compliance with any applicable loan criteria, including underwriting standards, and the loan's compliance with applicable federal, state and local laws. Breaches of these representations and warranties may result in the requirement to repurchase mortgage loans or to otherwise make whole or provide other remedies to the GSEs, whole-loan investors, securitization trusts or monoline insurers (collectively, "repurchases"). In all such cases, Merrill Lynch would be exposed to any credit loss on the repurchased mortgage loans after accounting for any mortgage insurance or mortgage guarantee payments that it may receive.

Subject to the requirements and limitations of the applicable sales and securitization agreements, these representations and warranties can be enforced by the GSEs, the whole-loan investors, the securitization trustees, or others as governed by the applicable agreement or, in a limited number of first-lien and home equity securitizations where monoline insurers have insured all or some of the securities issued, by the monoline insurer. In the case of loans sold to parties other than the GSEs, the contractual liability to repurchase typically arises only if there is a breach of the representations and warranties that materially and adversely affects the interest of the investor or investors in the loan or of the monoline insurer (as applicable). Contracts with the GSEs do not contain equivalent language. Merrill Lynch believes that the longer a loan performs prior to default, the less likely it is that an alleged underwriting breach of representations and warranties would have a material impact on the loan's performance. Historically, most demands for repurchase have occurred within the first several years after origination, generally after a loan has defaulted.

Merrill Lynch's credit loss would be reduced by any recourse it may have to organizations (e.g., correspondents) that, in turn, had sold such loans to Merrill Lynch based upon its agreements with these organizations. When a loan is originated by a correspondent or other third party, Merrill Lynch typically has the right to seek a recovery of related repurchase losses from that originator. Many of the correspondent originators of loans in 2004 through 2008 are no longer in business, or are in a weakened condition, and Merrill Lynch's ability to recover on valid claims is therefore impacted, or eliminated accordingly.

The fair value of the obligations to be absorbed under the representations and warranties provided is recorded as an accrued liability when the loans are sold. This liability for probable losses is updated by accruing a representations and warranties provision in Non-interest expenses on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Earnings. This is done throughout the life of the loans, as necessary, when additional relevant information becomes available.

The estimate of the liability for representations and warranties exposures, and the corresponding estimated range of possible loss, is based upon currently available information, significant judgment, and a number of factors that are subject to change. Changes to any one of those factors could significantly impact the estimate of the liability and could have a material adverse impact on Merrill Lynch's results of operations for any particular period. Given that these factors vary by counterparty, Merrill Lynch analyzes representations and warranties obligations based on the specific counterparty, or type of counterparty, with whom the sale was made.



Settlement Actions

Merrill Lynch has vigorously contested any request for repurchase when it concludes that a valid basis for repurchase does not exist and will continue to do so in the future. Merrill Lynch may reach settlements in the future if opportunities arise on terms it believes to be advantageous.

An investor opposed to Bank of America's settlement (the "BNY Mellon Settlement") with the Bank of New York Mellon, as trustee (the "Trustee"), removed the proceeding to federal district court, and the federal district court denied the Trustee's motion to remand the proceeding to state court. On February 27, 2012, the U.S. Court of Appeals issued an opinion reversing the district court denial of the Trustee's motion to remand the proceeding to state court denied the objectors' motion to convert the proceeding to a plenary proceeding. Several status hearings on discovery and other case administration matters have taken place. On August 10, 2012, the court issued an order setting a schedule for discovery and other proceedings, and set May 2, 2013 as the date for the final court hearing on the settlement to begin. Bank of America and Merrill Lynch are not parties to the proceeding. For additional information, see Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Merrill Lynch's 2011 Annual Report.

Unresolved Repurchase Claims

Unresolved representations and warranties repurchase claims represent the notional amount of repurchase claims made by counterparties, typically the outstanding principal balance or the unpaid principal balance at the time of default. In the case of first-lien mortgages, this amount is significantly greater than the expected loss amount due to the benefit of collateral and, in some cases, mortgage insurance or mortgage guarantee payments. Claims received from a counterparty remain outstanding until the underlying loan is repurchased, the claim is rescinded by the counterparty, or the claim is otherwise resolved.

The notional amount of unresolved claims from private-label securitization trustees, whole-loan investors and others increased to \$4.3 billion at September 30, 2012 compared with \$1.1 billion at December 31, 2011. The increase in the notional amount of unresolved claims is primarily due to increases in submissions of claims by private-label securitization trustees, claim quality and the lack of an established process to resolve disputes related to these claims. Merrill Lynch anticipated an increase in aggregate non-GSE claims at the time of the BNY Mellon Settlement in June 2011, and such increase in aggregate non-GSE claims was taken into consideration in developing the increase in Merrill Lynch's representations and warranties liability at that time. Although recent claims activity has been lower than anticipated, Merrill Lynch expects unresolved repurchase claims related to private-label securitizations to continue to increase as claims continue to be submitted by private-label securitization trustees, and there is not an established process for the ultimate resolution of claims on which there is a disagreement.

The table below presents unresolved representations and warranties claims by counterparty at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. The unresolved repurchase claims include only claims where Merrill Lynch believes that the counterparty has a basis to submit claims. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, Merrill Lynch received \$0.8 billion and \$3.3 billion of new repurchase claims primarily from private-label securitization trustees.

Unresolved Repurchase Claims by Counterparty

(dollars in millions)

	Septer	nber 30, 2012	Decem	ber 31, 2011
GSEs	\$	74 \$	5	65
Monoline		147		136
Whole-loan investors, private-label securitization trustees and other		4,344		1,101
Total	\$	4,565 \$	5	1,302
Iotai	3	4,505	, 	78

Of the \$4,565 million of total unresolved repurchase claims as of September 30, 2012, Merrill Lynch believes that for \$4,500 million, a valid defect has not been identified which would constitute an actionable breach of representations and warranties. The remaining \$65 million of claims are in the process of review. When a claim has been denied and there has not been communication with the counterparty for six months, Merrill Lynch views these claims as inactive; however, they remain in the unresolved repurchase claims balance until resolution.

In addition to the claims above, during the first quarter of 2012, Merrill Lynch received \$1.4 billion in repurchase demands from a master servicer where Merrill Lynch believes the claimant has not satisfied the contractual thresholds to direct the securitization trustee to take action and/or that these demands are otherwise procedurally or substantively invalid. Merrill Lynch does not believe the \$1.4 billion in demands received are valid repurchase claims, and therefore it is not possible to predict the resolution with respect to such demands.

Cash Settlements

As presented in the table below, during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, Merrill Lynch paid \$19 million and \$48 million to resolve \$22 million and \$53 million of repurchase claims through repurchase or reimbursement to investors or securitization trusts for losses they incurred, resulting in a loss on the related loans at the time of repurchase or reimbursement of \$16 million and \$39 million. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, Merrill Lynch paid \$16 million and \$41 million to resolve \$26 million and \$51 million of repurchase claims through repurchase or reimbursement to investors or securitization trusts for losses they incurred, resulting in a loss on the related loans at the time of repurchase for losses they incurred, resulting in a loss on the related loans at the time of repurchase or reimbursement of \$11 million and \$36 million.

Cash paid for loan repurchases includes the unpaid principal balance of the loan plus past due interest. The amount of loss for loan repurchases is reduced by the fair value of the underlying loan collateral. The repurchase of loans and indemnification payments related to repurchase claims generally resulted from material breaches of representations and warranties related to the loans' material compliance with the applicable underwriting standards, including borrower misrepresentation, credit exceptions without sufficient compensating factors and non-compliance with underwriting procedures. The actual representations and warranties made in a sales transaction and the resulting repurchase and indemnification activity can vary by transaction or investor. A direct relationship between the type of defect that causes the breach of representations and warranties and the severity of the realized loss has not been observed.

(dollars in millions)

	201	2		20	11	
	 onths Ended mber 30		nths Ended mber 30	 lonths Ended ember 30		nths Ended mber 30
Claims resolved (1)	\$ 22	\$	53	\$ 26	\$	51
Repurchases	\$ 4	\$	11	\$ 6	\$	6
Indemnification payments	15		37	10		35
Total	\$ 19	\$	48	\$ 16	\$	41

(1) Represents unpaid principal balance.

Liability for Representations and Warranties

The liability for representations and warranties is included in Interest and other payables on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, and the related provision is included in Non-interest expenses on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Earnings.

Merrill Lynch's estimated liability at September 30, 2012 for representations and warranties exposures and the corresponding range of possible loss considers, and is necessarily dependent on, and limited by, a number of factors, including, depending on the counterparty, actual defaults, projected future defaults, historical loss experience, estimated home prices, other economic conditions, estimated probability that a repurchase claim will be

received, including consideration of whether presentation thresholds will be met, number of payments made by the borrower prior to default and estimated probability that a loan will be required to be repurchased as well as other relevant facts and circumstances, such as bulk settlements, including those of its affiliates, and identity of the counterparty or type of counterparty, as Merrill Lynch believes appropriate.

Additional factors that impact the non-GSE representations and warranties liability and the portion of the estimated range of possible loss corresponding to non-GSE representations and warranties exposures include: (1) contractual material adverse effect requirements, (2) the representations and warranties provided, and (3) the requirement to meet certain presentation thresholds. The first factor is based on Merrill Lynch's belief that a non-GSE contractual liability to repurchase a loan generally arises only if the counterparties prove there is a breach of representations and warranties that materially and adversely affects the interest of the investor or all investors, or of the monoline insurer or other financial guarantor (as applicable), in a securitization trust, and accordingly. Merrill Lynch believes that the repurchase claimants must prove that the alleged representations and warranties breach was the cause of the loss. The second factor is based on the differences in the types of representations and warranties given in non-GSE securitizations from those provided to the GSEs. Merrill Lynch believes the non-GSE securitizations' representations and warranties are less rigorous and actionable than the explicit provisions of comparable agreements with the GSEs without regard to any variations that may have arisen as a result of dealings with the GSEs. The third factor is related to certain presentation thresholds that need to be met in order for any repurchase claim to be asserted on the initiative of investors under the non-GSE agreements. A securitization trustee may investigate or demand repurchase on its own action, and most agreements contain a presentation threshold, for example, 25% of the voting rights per trust, that allows investors to declare a servicing event of default under certain circumstances or to request certain action, such as requesting loan files, that the trustee may choose to accept and follow, exempt from liability, provided the trustee is acting in good faith. If there is an uncured servicing event of default and the trustee fails to bring suit during a 60-day period, then, under most agreements, investors may file suit. In addition to this, most agreements also allow investors to direct the securitization trustee to investigate loan files or demand the repurchase of loans, if security holders hold a specified percentage, for example, 25%, of the voting rights of each tranche of the outstanding securities.

In private-label securitizations, certain presentation thresholds need to be met in order for investors to direct securitization trustees to bring repurchase claims. Claimants have come forward and Merrill Lynch believes it is probable that other claimants in certain types of securitizations may continue to come forward with claims that meet the requirements of the terms of the securitizations. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, Merrill Lynch has seen an increase in repurchase claims from certain private-label securitization trustees.

In addition, in the case of private-label securitizations, the methodology used to estimate the representations and warranties liability and the corresponding estimated range of possible loss considers the experience resulting from the BNY Mellon Settlement and assumes that the conditions to the BNY Mellon Settlement will be satisfied and also takes into account more recent experience, such as claims and file requests, where relevant. Since the transactions that were included in the BNY Mellon Settlement differ from those that were not included in the BNY Mellon Settlement, Merrill Lynch adjusted the experience implied in the settlement in order to determine the estimated representations and warranties liability and the corresponding range of possible loss. The judgmental adjustments made include consideration of the differences in the mix of products in the securitizations, loan originator, likelihood of claims expected, the differences in the number of payments that the borrower has made prior to default, and the sponsor of the securitization.

Although Merrill Lynch continues to believe that presentation thresholds are a factor in the determination of probable loss, given the BNY Mellon Settlement, the estimated range of possible loss assumes that the presentation threshold can be met for all of the non-GSE securitization transactions.

The table below presents a rollforward of the liability for representations and warranties and includes the provisions for representation and warranties exposure recorded in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011.

(dollars in millions)

	Th	ree Months Ended Sep	otember 30, I	Nine Months Ended Se	ptember 30,
		2012	2011	2012	2011
Balance, beginning of period	\$	1,991 \$	2,847 \$	2,847 \$	213
Charge-offs		(29)	(17)	(56)	(102)
Provision (Reversal)		60	17	(769)	2,736
Balance, end of period	\$	2,022 \$	2,847 \$	2,022 \$	2,847

The liability for representations and warranties is established when those obligations are both probable and reasonably estimable. As a result of the BNY Mellon Settlement in the second quarter of 2011, Merrill Lynch determined that it had sufficient experience to record a liability of \$2.7 billion in that period related to its exposure on certain private-label securitizations. Recent levels of claims and file requests with certain counterparties have been significantly less than originally anticipated and, as a result, the liability for representations and warranties was reduced by \$769 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2012, as a portion of the loss was no longer deemed probable. The estimate of the liability for representations and warranties is based on currently available information, significant judgment and a number of other factors that are subject to change. Changes to any one of these factors could significantly impact the estimate of the liability and could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations for any particular period.

Estimated Range of Possible Loss

Merrill Lynch believes that its representations and warranties liability recorded as of September 30, 2012 provides for a substantial portion of its representations and warranties exposures. However, it is reasonably possible that future representations and warranties losses may occur in excess of the amounts recorded for these exposures. In addition, the BNY Mellon Settlement did not provide sufficient experience related to certain private-label securitizations sponsored by whole-loan investors. As it relates to certain private-label securitizations sponsored by whole-loan investors and certain whole loan sales, it is not possible to determine whether a loss has occurred or is probable and, therefore, no representations and warranties liability has been recorded in connection with these transactions.

Merrill Lynch currently estimates that the range of possible loss for all representations and warranties exposures could be up to \$1.2 billion over accruals at September 30, 2012, an increase of \$0.7 billion from December 31, 2011. The increase in the range of possible loss was primarily attributable to the reduction in Merrill Lynch's liability for representations and warranties exposures discussed above. This estimated range of possible loss related to representations and warranties exposures does not represent a probable loss and is based on currently available information, significant judgment, and a number of assumptions, including those set forth below, that are subject to change.

Future provisions and/or ranges of possible loss for representations and warranties exposures may be significantly impacted if actual experiences are different from Merrill Lynch's assumptions in its predictive models, including, without limitation, those regarding the ultimate resolution of the BNY Mellon Settlement, estimated repurchase rates, economic conditions, estimated home prices, consumer and counterparty behavior, and a variety of other judgmental factors. Adverse developments with respect to one or more of the assumptions underlying the liability for representations and warranties and the corresponding estimated range of possible loss could result in significant increases to future provisions and/or this estimated range of possible loss. For example, if courts, in the context of claims brought by private-label securitization trustees, were to disagree with Merrill Lynch's interpretation that the underlying agreements require a claimant to prove that the representations and warranties breach was the cause of the loss, it could significantly impact the estimated range of possible loss.



Additionally, if court rulings related to monoline litigation, including one related to an affiliate of Merrill Lynch, that have allowed sampling of loan files instead of requiring a loan-by-loan review to determine if a representations and warranties breach has occurred are followed generally by the courts, private-label securitization counterparties may view litigation as a more attractive alternative as compared to a loan-by-loan review. Finally, although Merrill Lynch believes that the representations and warranties typically given in non-GSE transactions are less rigorous and actionable than those given in GSE transactions, Merrill Lynch does not have significant experience resolving loan-level claims in non-GSE transactions to measure the impact of these differences on the probability that a loan will be required to be repurchased.

The liability for representations and warranties exposures and the corresponding estimated range of possible loss for these representations and warranties exposures do not include any losses related to litigation matters disclosed herein or in Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in our 2011 Annual Report, nor do they include any potential securities law or fraud claims or potential indemnity or other claims against Merrill Lynch. Merrill Lynch is not able to reasonably estimate the amount of any possible loss with respect to any such securities law (except to the extent reflected in the aggregate range of possible loss for litigation and regulatory matters disclosed herein), fraud or other claims against Merrill Lynch; however, such loss could be material.

Whole Loan Sales and Private-label Securitizations Experience

The majority of repurchase claims that Merrill Lynch has received are from private-label securitization trustees or whole-loan investors on loans sold by ML & Co.'s subsidiary, First Franklin. Merrill Lynch provided representations and warranties, and the whole-loan investors may retain those rights even when the loans were aggregated with other collateral into private-label securitizations sponsored by the whole-loan investors. As of September 30, 2012, the notional amount of unresolved repurchase claims submitted by private-label securitization trustees or whole-loan investors was approximately \$4,344 million. Merrill Lynch has performed an initial review with respect to \$4,292 million of these claims and does not believe a valid basis for repurchase has been established by the claimant, and is still in the process of reviewing the remaining \$52 million of these claims. Merrill Lynch reviews properly presented repurchase claims for these whole loans on a loan-by-loan basis. If, after Merrill Lynch's review, it does not believe a claim is valid, it will deny the claim and generally indicate a reason for the denial. When the counterparty agrees with Merrill Lynch's denial of the claim, the counterparty may rescind the claim. When there is disagreement as to the resolution of the claim, meaningful dialogue and negotiation between the parties are generally necessary to reach a resolution on an individual claim. Generally, a whole-loan sale claimant is engaged in the repurchase process and Merrill Lynch and the claimant reach resolution, either through loan-by-loan negotiation or at times, through a bulk settlement. In the case of privatelabel securitization trustees, there is currently no established process in place for the parties to reach a conclusion on an individual loan if there is a disagreement on the resolution of the claim. Although the timeline for resolution varies, once an actionable breach is identified on a given loan, settlement is generally reached as to that loan within 60 to 90 days. When a claim has been denied and Merrill Lynch does not have communication with the counterparty for six months, Merrill Lynch views these claims as inactive; however, they remain in the unresolved repurchase claims balance until resolution.

In private-label securitizations, certain presentation thresholds need to be met in order for investors to direct a trustee to bring repurchase claims. Merrill Lynch and its affiliates have had limited experience with loan-level private-label securitization repurchases as the number of valid repurchase claims received has been limited. In the year ended December 31, 2011, Merrill Lynch received \$665 million of new repurchase claims from whole-loan and private-label securitization investors, predominately from private-label securitization trustees received in the fourth quarter of 2011. In the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, Merrill Lynch received \$0.8 billion and \$3.3 billion of new repurchase claims, primarily from private-label securitization trustees. Over time, there has been an increase in requests for loan files from certain private-label securitization trustees. Merrill Lynch believes it is likely that these requests will lead to a continued increase in repurchase claims from private-label securitization trustees with standing to bring such claims. The representations and warranties, as governed by the private-label securitization agreements, generally require that counterparties have the ability to both assert a claim and actually prove that a loan has an actionable defect under the applicable contracts. While Merrill Lynch believes the agreements for private-label securitizations and warranties and place

higher burdens on investors seeking repurchases than the explicit provisions of comparable agreements with the GSEs, without regard to any variations that may have arisen as a result of dealing with the GSEs, the agreements generally include a representation that underwriting practices were prudent and customary.

Note 15. Employee Benefit Plans

Merrill Lynch provides pension and other postretirement benefits to its employees worldwide through sponsorship of defined contribution pension, defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans. These plans vary based on the country and local practices. Effective January 1, 2009, the Bank of America Corporation Corporate Benefits Committee assumed overall responsibility for the administration of all of Merrill Lynch's employee benefit plans. Merrill Lynch continues as the plan sponsor. Refer to Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements contained in the 2011 Annual Report for a complete discussion of employee benefit plans.

Defined Benefit Pension Plans

In 1988, Merrill Lynch purchased a group annuity contract that guarantees the payment of benefits vested under the terminated U.S. pension plan. Merrill Lynch, under a supplemental agreement, may be responsible for, or benefit from, actual experience and investment performance of the annuity assets. Merrill Lynch made no contribution under this agreement for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011. Additional contributions may be required in the future under this agreement.

The net periodic benefit (income) cost of Merrill Lynch's plans for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 included the following components:

(dollars in millions)

		Thr	ee N	Ionths Ended Septe	mbe	er 30, 2012	Nine M	onths Ended Septen	nber	30, 2012
	1	. Defined Benefit sion Plans	ľ	Non-U.S. Defined Benefit Pension Plans		Postretirement Plans ⁽¹⁾	U.S. Defined Benefit Pension Plans	Non-U.S. Defined Benefit Pension Plans		Postretirement Plans ⁽¹⁾
Service cost	\$	_	\$	10	\$	1	\$ _ \$	\$ 29	\$	3
Interest cost		22		21		3	67	62		11
Expected return on plan assets		(38)		(33)		_	(114)	(95)		_
Amortization of prior service cost		_		_		2	_	—		4
Amortization of net actuarial losses (gains)		1		(2)		(1)	2	(6)		3
Net periodic benefit (income) cost	\$	(15)	\$	(4)	\$	5	\$ (45) \$	\$ (10)	\$	21

(1) Approximately 96% of the postretirement benefit obligation atSeptember 30, 2012 relates to the U.S. postretirement plan.

(dollars in millions)									
	Three M	Months Ended Septem	ber	30, 2011		Nine M	onths Ended Septemb	er 30	, 2011
	 U.S. Defined Benefit Pension Plans	Non-U.S. Defined Benefit Pension Plans		Postretirement Plans ⁽¹⁾		U.S. Defined Benefit Pension Plans	Non-U.S. Defined Benefit Pension Plans		Postretirement Plans ⁽¹⁾
Service cost	\$ — \$	5 11	\$		1	\$ — 9	\$ 31	\$	3
Interest cost	24	23			4	72	63		11
Expected return on plan assets	(35)	(28)			_	(106)	(78)		_
Amortization of prior service cost	_	—			1	_	_		3
Amortization of net actuarial losses	1	—			1	4	_		3
Net periodic benefit (income)cost	\$ (10) \$	6 6	\$		7	\$ (30) \$	5 16	\$	20

(1) Approximately 95% of the postretirement benefit obligation at September 30, 2011 relates to the U.S. postretirement plan.

For the full year 2012, Merrill Lynch expects to contribute approximately \$1 million to its nonqualified pension plans, \$84 million to its non-U.S. pension plans, and \$20 million to its postretirement health and life plans. Through the third quarter of 2012, Merrill Lynch has contributed \$77 million to the non-U.S. pension plans and \$15 million to its postretirement health and life plans.

Note 16. Regulatory Requirements

As a wholly-owned subsidiary of Bank of America, a bank holding company that is also a financial holding company, Merrill Lynch is subject to the oversight of, and inspection by, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

Certain U.S. and non-U.S. subsidiaries are subject to various securities and banking regulations and capital adequacy requirements promulgated by the regulatory and exchange authorities of the countries in which they operate. These regulatory restrictions may impose regulatory capital requirements and limit the amounts that these subsidiaries can pay in dividends or advance to ML & Co. The principal regulated subsidiaries of ML & Co. are discussed below.

Securities Regulation

As a registered broker-dealer and futures commission merchant, MLPF&S is subject to the uniform net capital requirements of SEC Rule 15c3-1, and the Commodities Futures Trading Commission's ("CFTC") Regulation 1.17. MLPF&S has elected to compute the minimum capital requirement in accordance with the "Alternative Net Capital Requirement" as permitted by SEC Rule 15c3-1. At September 30, 2012, MLPF&S's regulatory net capital as defined by Rule 15c3-1 was \$10.6 billion and exceeded the minimum requirement of \$738 million by \$9.9 billion.

In accordance with the Alternative Net Capital Requirement, MLPF&S is required to maintain tentative net capital in excess of \$1 billion, net capital in excess of \$500 million, and notify the SEC in the event its tentative net capital is less than \$5 billion. As of September 30, 2012, MLPF&S had tentative net capital and net capital in excess of the minimum and notification requirements.

Merrill Lynch International ("MLI"), a U.K. regulated investment firm, is subject to capital requirements of the U.K.'s FSA. Financial resources, as defined, must exceed the total financial resources requirement set by the FSA. At September 30, 2012, MLI's financial resources were \$19.5 billion, exceeding the minimum requirement by \$3.9 billion.

Merrill Lynch Japan Securities Co., Ltd. ("MLJS"), a Japan-based regulated broker-dealer, is subject to capital requirements of the Japanese Financial Services Agency ("JFSA"). Net capital, as defined, must exceed 120% of the total risk equivalents requirement of the JFSA. At September 30, 2012, MLJS's net capital was \$2.6 billion, exceeding the minimum requirement by \$1.9 billion.

Banking Regulation

Merrill Lynch International Bank Limited ("MLIB"), an Ireland-based regulated bank, is subject to the capital requirements of the Central Bank of Ireland. MLIB is required to meet minimum regulatory capital requirements under the European Union ("EU") banking law as implemented in Ireland by the Central Bank of Ireland. At September 30, 2012, MLIB's financial resources were \$13.8 billion, exceeding the minimum requirement by \$3.6 billion.

Note 17. Sale of International Wealth Management Businesses

In the quarter ended September 30, 2012, Bank of America entered into an agreement to sell Merrill Lynch's international wealth management business based outside of the U.S. with approximately \$84 billion in client balances. The sale is subject to regulatory approvals in multiple jurisdictions, with the first of a series of closings expected in the first quarter of 2013.



Note 18.	Subsequent Event
	1, 2012, in connection with an intragroup reorganization involving Bank of America and a number of its subsidiaries, Merrill Lynch filiated companies and their respective subsidiaries from Bank of America. The acquisition was financed through a capital contribution

from Bank of America. In accordance with ASC 805, *Business Combinations*, Merrill Lynch's consolidated financial statements in periods subsequent to the acquisition will include the historical results of the acquired entities as if the transaction had occurred on January 1, 2009, the date on which all the entities were first under the common control of Bank of America. The assets and liabilities acquired in connection with the transaction will be recorded at their historical carrying values.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward-Looking Statements

This report on Form 10-Q, the documents that it incorporates by reference and the documents into which it may be incorporated by reference may contain, and from time to time Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. ("ML & Co. and, together with its subsidiaries, "Merrill Lynch," the "Company," "we," "our" or "us") and its management may make certain statements that constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. When used in this report, "we," "us" and "our" may refer to ML & Co. individually, ML & Co. and its subsidiaries, or certain of ML & Co.'s subsidiaries or affiliates. These statements can be identified by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. Forward-looking statements of functional verbs such as "expects," "anticipates," "believes," "estimates," "targets," "intends," "plans," "goal" and other similar expressions or future or conditional verbs such as "will," "may," "might," "should," "would" and "could." The forward-looking statements made represent the current expectations, plans or forecasts of Merrill Lynch regarding its future results and revenues and future business and economic conditions more generally, including statements concerning: the expectation that we would record a charge to income tax expense of approximately \$400 million if the income tax rate were reduced to 22 percent by 2014 as suggested in United Kingdom ("U.K.") Treasury announcements and assuming no change in the deferred tax asset balance; that the Merrill Lynch international wealth management sale (the "International Sale") is expected to close in stages starting in the first quarter of 2013; the estimates of liability and range of possible loss for various representations and warranties claims; the resolution of representations and warranties repurchase and other claims; the belief that the representations and warranties liability currently has provided for a substantial portion of Merrill Lynch's representations and warranties exposures; the possibility that future representations and warranties losses may occur in excess of the amounts recorded for those exposures; the estimated range of possible loss for representations and warranties exposure as of September 30, 2012 of up to \$1.2 billion over existing accruals; the expectation that unresolved repurchase claims will continue to increase; Merrill Lynch's expected response to repurchase requests for which it concludes that a valid basis for repurchase does not exist; liquidity; the revenue impact resulting from, and any mitigation actions taken in response to, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Financial Reform Act"), including the impact of new regulation of the derivatives markets, requiring certain swap dealers to register with the U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission; that it is our objective to maintain high-quality credit ratings; the estimated range of possible loss from and the impact on Merrill Lynch of various legal proceedings discussed in Note 14 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements; our interest rate risk management strategies and models; our trading risk management processes; and other matters relating to Merrill Lynch. The foregoing is not an exclusive list of all forward-looking statements we make. These statements are not guarantees of future results or performance and involve certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions that are difficult to predict and often are beyond our control. Actual outcomes and results may differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, any of these forward-looking statements.

You should not place undue reliance on any forward-looking statement and should consider the following uncertainties and risks, as well as the risks and uncertainties more fully discussed elsewhere in this report, under Item 1A. "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, and in any of ML & Co.'s subsequent Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") filings: assets under management at the time of the International Sale; the satisfaction of the closing conditions of the International Sale, including regulatory approvals; Merrill Lynch's ability to resolve representations and warranties claims made by private-label and other investors, including as a result of any adverse court rulings, and the chance that Merrill Lynch could face related securities, fraud, indemnity or other claims from one or more of the private-label and other investors; if future representations and warranties losses occur in excess of Merrill Lynch's recorded liability and estimated range of possible loss for representations and warranties exposures; uncertainties about the financial stability of several countries in the European Union (the "EU"), the increasing risk that those countries may default on their sovereign debt or exit the EU and related stresses on financial markets, the Euro and the EU and Merrill Lynch's exposures to such risks, including direct, indirect and operational; the negative impact of the Financial Reform Act on Merrill Lynch's business and earnings, including as a result of additional regulatory interpretation

and rulemaking and the success of Merrill Lynch's actions to mitigate such impacts; adverse changes to Merrill Lynch's credit ratings from the major credit rating agencies; estimates of the fair value of certain of Merrill Lynch's assets and liabilities; and unexpected claims, damages and fines resulting from pending or future litigation and regulatory proceedings.

Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made, and Merrill Lynch undertakes no obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect the impact of circumstances or events that arise after the date the forward-looking statement was made.

The Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements referred to in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (the "MD&A") are incorporated by reference into MD&A. Certain prior-period amounts have been reclassified in order to conform with the current period presentation.

Introduction

Merrill Lynch was founded in 1914 and became a publicly traded company on June 23, 1971. In 1973, the holding company ML & Co. was created. Through our subsidiaries, we are one of the world's leading capital markets, advisory and wealth management companies. We are a leading global trader and underwriter of securities and derivatives across a broad range of asset classes, and we serve as a strategic advisor to corporations, governments, institutions and individuals worldwide.

Bank of America Acquisition

On January 1, 2009, Merrill Lynch was acquired by Bank of America Corporation ("Bank of America") through the merger of a wholly-owned subsidiary of Bank of America with and into ML & Co. with ML & Co. continuing as the surviving corporation and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Bank of America.

Business Segments

Pursuant to Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 280, Segment Reporting, operating segments represent components of an enterprise for which separate financial information is available that is regularly evaluated by the chief operating decision maker in determining how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. The business activities of Merrill Lynch are included within certain of the operating segments of Bank of America. Detailed financial information related to the operations of Merrill Lynch, however, is not provided to Merrill Lynch's chief operating decision maker. As a result, Merrill Lynch does not contain any identifiable operating segments under Segment Reporting, and therefore the financial information of Merrill Lynch is presented as a single segment.

Form 10-Q Presentation

As a result of the acquisition of Merrill Lynch by Bank of America, certain information is not included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q as permitted by General Instruction H of Form 10-Q. We have also abbreviated the MD&A as permitted by General Instruction H.

EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

We reported net losses of \$1.2 billion and \$2.0 billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, compared with net earnings of \$133 million and a net loss of \$903 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. Revenues, net of interest expense ("net revenues") for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 were \$4.5 billion and \$14.3 billion, respectively, compared with \$5.9 billion and \$20.6 billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. Our pre-tax losses were \$1.0 billion and \$2.7 billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. Our pre-tax losses were \$1.0 billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively. Our pre-tax losses of \$390 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, compared with pre-tax losses of \$390 million and \$2.2 billion for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively.

Our results for the three months ended September 30, 2012 included lower net revenues, primarily driven by the valuation of certain of our liabilities as compared with the prior year period. During the quarter ended September 30, 2012, we recorded net losses of \$832 million due to the impact of the narrowing of Merrill Lynch's credit spreads on the carrying value of certain of our long-term debt liabilities, primarily structured notes, as compared with net gains of \$2.9 billion recorded in the three months ended September 30, 2011 from such long-term debt liabilities due to the widening of our credit spreads. We also recorded losses of \$252 million in the quarter ended September 30, 2012 due to net valuation adjustments associated with the consideration of our own creditworthiness in the fair value of certain derivative liabilities (i.e., the debit valuation adjustment or "DVA") as compared with gains from DVA of \$765 million in the prior year period. Our results also reflected a less favorable effective income tax rate in the quarter ended September 30, 2012 as compared with the prior year period. These items were partially offset by higher revenues from our fixed income trading activities, higher other revenues as compared with the prior year as a result of a loss recorded in the quarter ended September 30, 2011 from the sale of a private equity investment, and lower non-interest expenses.

Our results for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 also were impacted by lower net revenues driven by the valuation of certain of our liabilities as compared with the prior year period. During the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we recorded net losses of \$3.0 billion due to the impact of the narrowing of Merrill Lynch's credit spreads on the carrying value of certain of our long-term debt liabilities, primarily structured notes, while in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we recorded net gains of \$2.7 billion due to the widening of our credit spreads. In addition, we recorded losses from DVA of \$1.0 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 as compared with gains from DVA of approximately \$650 million in the prior year period. Our results for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 were also adversely affected by a less favorable effective income tax rate, as well as by a decline in investment banking and commissions revenues. These items were partially offset by higher revenues from our fixed income trading activities, as well as a reduction in non-interest expenses, which was driven by the provision for representations and warranties related to our repurchase exposure on certain private-label securitizations. In the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we reduced our representations and warranties liability by \$769 million, since recent levels of claims and file requests with certain counterparties have been significantly less than originally anticipated and, as a result, a portion of the loss was no longer deemed probable. In the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we recorded a \$2.7 billion provision for representations and warranties exposures due to our determination that we had sufficient experience related to our exposure on certain private-label securitizations as a result of Bank of America's settlement with the Bank of New York Mellon during that period. See "Off Balance Sheet Exposures - Representations and Warranties" for further information.

Transactions with Bank of America

We have entered into various transactions with Bank of America, including transactions in connection with certain sales and trading and financing activities, as well as the allocation of certain shared services. Total net revenues and non-interest expenses related to transactions with Bank of America for the three months ended September 30, 2012 were \$324 million and \$471 million, respectively. Such net revenues and non-interest expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 were \$821 million and \$1,553 million, respectively. Total net revenues and non-interest expenses related to transactions with Bank of America for the three months ended September 30, 2011 were \$288 million and \$581 million, respectively. Such net revenues and non-interest expenses for the non-interest expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 were \$288 million and \$581 million, respectively. Such net revenues and non-interest expenses for the nine months ended

September 30, 2011 were \$822 million and \$1,926 million, respectively. Net revenues and non-interest expenses for both periods included intercompany service fee revenues and expenses from Bank of America associated with allocations of certain centralized or shared business activities between Merrill Lynch and Bank of America. See Note 2 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further information.

Other Events

U.K. Corporate Income Tax Rate Change

On July 17, 2012, the U.K. 2012 Finance Bill was enacted, which reduced the U.K. corporate income tax rate by two percent to 23%. The first one percent reduction was effective on April 1, 2012 and the second reduction will be effective April 1, 2013. These reductions favorably affect income tax expense on future U.K. earnings, but also required us to remeasure our U.K. net deferred tax assets using the lower tax rates. The income tax provision (benefit) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 included a charge of \$781 million for the remeasurement. If the U.K. corporate income tax rate is reduced to 22% by 2014 as suggested in U.K. Treasury announcements and assuming no change in the deferred tax asset balance, we would record a charge to income tax expense for approximately \$400 million in the period of enactment.

Regulatory Matters

The Financial Reform Act provides for new Federal regulation of the derivatives markets. As of October 12, 2012, swaps dealers conducting dealing activity with U.S. persons above a certain threshold will be required to register with the U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("CFTC") on or before December 31, 2012. Upon registration, swap dealers will become subject to additional CFTC rules as and when such rules take effect. Those rules include, but are not limited to, measures that require clearing and exchange trading of certain derivatives, new capital and margin requirements for certain market participants, new reporting requirements and new business conduct requirements for derivatives under the jurisdiction of the CFTC. There remains some uncertainty as to whether non-U.S. entities will be required to register as swap dealers because the CFTC has not yet adopted final cross-border guidance. The ultimate impact of these regulations, and the time it will take to comply, continues to remain uncertain. The final regulations will impose additional operational and compliance costs on us and may require us to restructure certain businesses and negatively impact our revenues and results of operations.

Sale of International Wealth Management Businesses

In the quarter ended September 30, 2012, Bank of America entered into an agreement to sell Merrill Lynch's international wealth management business based outside of the U.S. with approximately \$84 billion in client balances. The sale is subject to regulatory approvals in multiple jurisdictions, with the first of a series of closings expected in the first quarter of 2013.

Weather Events

In the last few days in October, the mid-Atlantic and northeast regions of the U.S. experienced a major storm resulting in wide-spread flooding, power outages, transportation and telecommunication service interruptions and other impacts including, but not limited to, closures of the New York City based securities exchanges. Certain services have been restored and others will require longer periods of recovery time. Our operations in the affected areas have been impacted. We are continuing to support the needs of our clients and customers during this difficult time.

Subsequent Event

On November 1, 2012, in connection with an intragroup reorganization involving Bank of America and a number of its subsidiaries, Merrill Lynch acquired two affiliated companies and their respective subsidiaries from Bank of America. The acquisition was financed through a capital contribution from Bank of America. In accordance with



ASC 805, *Business Combinations*, Merrill Lynch's consolidated financial statements in periods subsequent to the acquisition will include the historical results of the acquired entities as if the transaction had occurred on January 1, 2009, the date on which all the entities were first under the common control of Bank of America. The assets and liabilities acquired in connection with the transaction will be recorded at their historical carrying values.

```
91
```

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

(dollars in millions)						% Change between
	For The Three Months Ended September 30, 2012	For The Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012	For The Three Months Ended September 30, 2011	For The Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011	% Change between the Three Months Ended Sept. 30, 2012 and the Three Months Ended Sept. 30, 2011	% Change between the Nine Months Ended Sept. 30, 2012 and the Nine Months Ended Sept. 30, 2011
Revenues						
Principal transactions	\$ 193	· ,	\$ 2,781		(93)	(68)
Commissions	1,209	3,804	1,441	4,478	(16)	(15)
Managed account and other fee-based revenues	1,349	4,035	1,354	3,976	—	1
Investment banking	1,262	3,519	1,016	4,162	24	(15)
Earnings from equity method investments	21	149	70	328	(70)	(55)
Intercompany service fee revenue from Bank of America	278	650	153	555	82	17
Other revenues ⁽¹⁾	243	1,265	(1,057)	1,733	N/M	(27)
Subtotal	4,555	15,411	5,758	21,357	(21)	(28)
Interest and dividend revenues	1,694	4,379	2,314	6,220	(27)	(30)
Less interest expense	1,732	5,495	2,202	6,945	(21)	(21)
Net interest (expense) income	(38)	(1,116)	112	(725)	N/M	54
Revenues, net of interest expense	4,517	14,295	5,870	20,632	(23)	(31)
Non-interest expenses:					-	
Compensation and benefits	3,429	11,511	3,638	12,146	(6)	(5)
Communications and technology	351	1,180	432	1,338	(19)	(12)
Occupancy and related depreciation	300	901	385	1,056	(22)	(15)
Brokerage, clearing, and exchange fees	213	738	279	882	(24)	(16)
Advertising and market development	112	349	122	358	(8)	(3)
Professional fees	220	641	266	718	(17)	(11)
Office supplies and postage	22	78	31	95	(29)	(18)
Representations and warranties	60	(769)	17	2,736	253	N/M
Intercompany service fee expense from Bank of America	356	1,288	561	1,793	(37)	(28)
Other	445	1,068	529	1,742	(16)	(39)
Total non-interest expenses	5,508	16,985	6,260	22,864	(12)	(26)
Pre-tax loss	(991)	(2,690)	(390)	(2,232)	154	21
Income tax provision (benefit)	191	(735)	(523)	(1,329)	N/M	(45)
Net (loss) earnings	\$ (1,182)	\$ (1,955)	\$ 133	\$ (903)	N/M	117

(1) Amounts include other income and other-than-temporary impairment losses on available-for-sale debt securities. The other-than-temporary impairment losses were \$0 million and \$6 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively, and were \$5 million and \$49 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011. N/M = Not meaningful.

Quarterly Consolidated Results of Operations

Our net loss for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 was \$1.2 billion compared with net earnings of \$133 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2011. Net revenues for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 were \$4.5 billion compared with \$5.9 billion in 2011.

Quarter Ended September 30, 2012 Compared With Quarter Ended September 30, 2011

Principal transactions revenues include both realized and unrealized gains and losses on trading assets and trading liabilities and investment securities classified as trading. Principal transactions revenues were \$193 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 compared with \$2.8 billion for the quarter ended September 30, 2011. The

decline included the impact of lower revenues associated with the valuation of certain of our liabilities. In the quarter ended September 30, 2012, we recorded net losses of \$832 million due to the impact of the narrowing of Merrill Lynch's credit spreads on the carrying value of certain of our long-term debt liabilities, primarily structured notes, as compared with net gains of \$2.9 billion recorded in the quarter ended September 30, 2011 from such long-term debt liabilities due to the widening of our credit spreads. We also recorded losses from DVA of \$252 million in the quarter ended September 30, 2012 as compared with gains from DVA of \$765 million in the prior year period. These decreases in principal transactions revenues were partially offset by higher fixed income trading revenues as compared with the prior year period, primarily in our mortgage and credit products businesses. Revenues from mortgage products benefited from improved market conditions as compared with the prior year, including narrowing credit spreads. Revenues from credit products also benefited from improved market conditions, as the results for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 were adversely impacted by significant levels of volatility in the credit markets and decreased client activity as a result of heightened concerns over European sovereign debt that occurred during that period. Revenues from our rates and currencies business also improved.

Net interest (expense) income is a function of (i) the level and mix of total assets and liabilities, including trading assets, deposits, financing and lending transactions, and trading strategies associated with our businesses, and (ii) the prevailing level, term structure and volatility of interest rates. Net interest (expense) income is an integral component of trading activity. In assessing the profitability of our client facilitation and trading activities, we view principal transactions and net interest (expense) income in the aggregate as net trading revenues. Changes in the composition of trading inventories and hedge positions can cause the mix of principal transactions and net interest (expense) income to fluctuate from period to period. Net interest expense was \$38 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 compared with net interest income of \$112 million in the quarter ended September 30, 2011. The fluctuation was primarily due to lower net interest revenues generated from our trading activities, partially offset by lower financing costs. Lower net interest revenues from our global wealth management business also contributed to the decrease in net interest income.

Commissions revenues primarily arise from agency transactions in listed and over-the-counter ("OTC") equity securities and commodities and options. Commissions revenues also include distribution fees for promoting and distributing mutual funds. Commissions revenues were \$1.2 billion for the quarter ended September 30, 2012, a decrease of 16% from the prior year. The decline was primarily attributable to our global equity products business, and included the impact of lower single-stock trading volumes in the U.S. and the Europe, Middle East and Africa ("EMEA") region, which declined by 17% and 40%, respectively, from the prior year period. Commissions revenues from our global wealth management business also declined due to lower transaction volumes as compared with the prior year period.

Managed account and other fee-based revenues primarily consist of asset-priced portfolio service fees earned from the administration of separately managed and other investment accounts for retail investors, annual account fees, and certain other account-related fees. Managed account and other fee-based revenues were \$1.3 billion for the quarter ended September 30, 2012, a marginal decrease from the prior year period.

Investment banking revenues include fees for the underwriting and distribution of debt, equity and loan products, and fees for advisory services and tailored risk management solutions. Total investment banking revenues were \$1.3 billion for the quarter ended September 30, 2012, an increase of 24% from the prior year, primarily due to strong performance in capital markets underwriting activity during the quarter. Underwriting revenues increased 38% to \$1.0 billion, as higher fees from debt underwritings were partially offset by lower equity underwriting fees. Equity underwriting fees in the quarter ended September 30, 2011 included approximately \$125 million of revenues from Bank of America in connection with the sale of a portion of its interest in China Construction Bank. Revenues from advisory services decreased 16% to \$218 million.

Earnings from equity method investments include our pro rata share of income and losses associated with investments accounted for under the equity method of accounting. Earnings from equity method investments were \$21 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 compared with \$70 million for the prior year period. The decrease reflected lower revenues from certain equity method investments. Refer to Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K for further information on equity method

investments.

Intercompany service fee revenues from Bank of America include revenues associated with the provision of certain shared business activities with Bank of America. Intercompany service fee revenues from Bank of America were \$278 million in the quarter ended September 30, 2012 compared with \$153 million in the prior year period. The increase was driven by higher fees earned from Bank of America in connection with certain shared brokerage and trading activities.

Other revenues include gains and losses on investment securities, including certain available-for-sale securities, gains and losses on private equity investments, and gains and losses on loans and other miscellaneous items. Other revenues were \$243 million in the quarter ended September 30, 2012 as compared with a loss of \$1.1 billion recorded in the quarter ended September 30, 2011. The increase in other revenues as compared with the prior year was primarily driven by a loss of approximately \$975 million recorded in the quarter ended September 30, 2011, which resulted from the sale of the majority of our stake in a private equity investment.

Compensation and benefits expenses were \$3.4 billion in the quarter ended September 30, 2012, a decrease of 6% from the prior year period. The decrease was primarily due to lower costs for salary and other employee compensation costs. Amortization expense associated with stock-based compensation awards and severance costs also declined.

Non-compensation expenses were \$2.1 billion in the quarter ended September 30, 2012 compared with \$2.6 billion in the prior year period. Communications and technology expenses decreased 19% to \$351 million due primarily to lower technology equipment and systems consulting costs. Occupancy and related depreciation expenses were \$300 million, a decrease of 22%, reflecting lower rental and other occupancy costs. Brokerage, clearing and exchange fees were \$213 million, a decrease of 24%, which reflected lower brokerage and other fees due to lower transaction volumes. Professional fees were \$220 million, a decrease of 17%, primarily reflecting lower legal and consulting fees. Intercompany service fee expenses from Bank of America were \$356 million in the quarter ended September 30, 2012 compared with \$561 million in the prior year period. The decrease reflected a lower level of allocated expenses from Bank of America. Other expenses were \$445 million, a decrease of 16% from the prior year period. The decrease reflected lower litigation-related expenses as well as certain other expenses, partially offset by lower expense in the prior year associated with non-controlling interests of certain principal investments.

The income tax provision for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 was \$191 million compared with an income tax benefit of \$523 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2011. The effective tax rate was (19.3%) for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 compared with 134.1% in the prior year. The effective tax rate for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 was primarily driven by the impact of the U.K. corporate income tax rate reduction (see "Executive Overview - U.K. Corporate Income Tax Rate Change"), partially offset by tax benefits related to certain non-U.S. jurisdictions, including an increase in our accumulated earnings presumed to be permanently reinvested in non-U.S. subsidiaries. The effective tax rate for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 was driven by a \$593 million benefit for capital loss deferred tax assets recognized in connection with the liquidation of certain subsidiaries, a \$255 million release of a valuation allowance provided for capital loss carryforward tax benefits and by the recognition of \$234 million of previously unrecognized tax benefits associated with certain jurisdictions. These benefits were partially offset by a charge of \$774 million related to a 2% reduction to the U.K. corporate income tax rate that was enacted in July 2011 and required us to remeasure our U.K. net deferred tax assets using the lower tax rates.

Year-To-Date Consolidated Results of Operations

For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, our net loss was \$2.0 billion compared with a net loss of \$903 million in the prior year period.



Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 Compared With Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011

Our net revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 were \$14.3 billion compared with \$20.6 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The decrease primarily reflected lower principal transactions, commissions, investment banking, and other revenues. Principal transactions revenues were \$2.0 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 as compared with \$6.1 billion in the prior year period. The decline was driven by higher losses associated with the valuation of certain of our liabilities. In the nine months ended September 30, 2012, we recorded net losses of \$3.0 billion due to the impact of the narrowing of Merrill Lynch's credit spreads on the carrying value of certain of our long-term debt liabilities, primarily structured notes, as compared with net gains of \$2.7 billion recorded in the prior year period due to the widening of our credit spreads. We also recorded losses from DVA of \$1.0 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 as compared with DVA gains of approximately \$650 million in the prior year period. In addition, as discussed below, principal transactions revenues from proprietary trading declined by \$418 million due to the exit of our stand-alone proprietary trading business as of June 30, 2011. These decreases in principal transactions revenues were partially offset by higher revenues generated by our mortgage product business, as the results for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 reflected less favorable market conditions and included losses from credit valuation adjustments related to financial guarantors. Revenues from our rates and currencies and credit products businesses also increased. Commissions revenues were \$3.8 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, a decrease of 15% from the prior year. The decline was primarily attributable to our global equity products business due to lower trading volumes. Commissions revenues from our global wealth management business also declined. Investment banking revenues were \$3.5 billion, a decrease of 15% from the prior year period, primarily reflecting lower fees from equity underwritings and advisory services due to an overall decline in global fee pools. Other revenues were \$1.3 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared with \$1.7 billion in the prior year period. The decline included lower revenues from certain investment securities. Other revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 included gains of \$405 million resulting from the repurchase and retirement of certain of our long-term borrowings and a gain of \$145 million from the sale of an office building. Other revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 included a gain of \$377 million from the sale of our remaining investment in BlackRock, Inc.

Included in principal transactions revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 were net revenues associated with activities we identified as "proprietary trading," which was conducted separately from our customer trading activities. Our stand-alone proprietary trading operations engaged in trading activities in a variety of products, including stocks, bonds, currencies and commodities. In conjunction with regulatory reform measures and our initiative to optimize our balance sheet, we exited our stand-alone proprietary trading business as of June 30, 2011. The revenues from these operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 were \$442 million, of which \$418 million were included within principal transactions revenues. The remainder of the revenues for these operations were primarily recorded within net interest revenues. See also "MD&A - Executive Overview - Other Events - Financial Reform Act - Limitations on Proprietary Trading" in our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Compensation and benefits expenses were \$11.5 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, a decrease of 5% from the prior year period. The decline included lower salary and other compensation costs and lower amortization expense associated with stock-based compensation awards, including lower expense for retirement-eligible employees due to a decline in award grants. These decreases in compensation and benefits expense were partially offset by higher incentive-based compensation accruals, reflecting an increase in net revenues (after giving effect to the changes in net revenues associated with the valuation of our long-term debt and DVA).

Non-compensation expenses were \$5.5 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared with \$10.7 billion in the prior year period. Noncompensation expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 included a \$769 million reduction of our liability for representations and warranties, while the prior year period included a provision for representations and warranties of \$2.7 billion. See "Off Balance Sheet Exposures -Representations and Warranties" for further information. Excluding the impact of these items, non-compensation expenses were \$6.2 billion and \$8.0 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011,

respectively. Communications and technology expenses decreased 12% to \$1.2 billion due primarily to lower technology equipment costs. Occupancy and related depreciation expenses were \$901 million, a decrease of 15%, reflecting lower rental and other occupancy costs. Brokerage, clearing and exchange fees were \$738 million, a decrease of 16%, which reflected lower brokerage and other fees due to lower transaction volumes. Professional fees were \$641 million, a decrease of 11%, primarily reflecting lower legal and consulting fees. Intercompany service fee expenses from Bank of America were \$1.3 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared with \$1.8 billion in the prior year period. The decline reflected a lower level of allocated expenses from Bank of America. Other expenses were \$1.1 billion, a decrease of 39% from the prior year period. The decrease reflected lower litigation-related expenses as well as certain other expenses.

The income tax benefit was \$735 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared with an income

tax benefit of \$1.3 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, resulting in effective tax rates of 27.3% and 59.5%, respectively. The effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was primarily driven by the same factors described in the three-month discussion above. The effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was also driven by the same factors described in the three-month discussion above, partially offset by the establishment of a valuation allowance for a portion of certain non-U.S. deferred tax assets that was recorded in the second quarter of 2011.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET EXPOSURES

As a part of our normal operations, we enter into various off-balance sheet arrangements that may require future payments. The table and discussion below outline our significant off-balance sheet arrangements, as well as their future expirations, as of September 30, 2012. Refer to Note 14 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further information.

(dollars in millions)

			Expiration		
	 Maximum Payout	Less than 1 Year	1 - 3 Years	3 - 5 Years	Over 5 Years
Standby liquidity facilities	\$ 783	\$ 764	\$ _	\$ 3	\$ 16
Residual value guarantees	320	206	114	_	_
Standby letters of credit and other guarantees	412	323	62	27	_

Standby Liquidity Facilities

We provide standby liquidity facilities primarily to certain unconsolidated municipal bond securitization variable interest entities ("VIEs"). In these arrangements, we are required to fund these standby liquidity facilities if certain contingent events take place (e.g., a failed remarketing) and in certain cases if the fair value of the assets held by the VIE declines below the stated amount of the liquidity obligation. The potential exposure under the facilities is mitigated by economic hedges and/or other contractual arrangements entered into by Merrill Lynch. Refer to Note 9 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further information.

Residual Value Guarantees

At September 30, 2012, residual value guarantees of \$320 million consist of amounts associated with certain power plant facilities. Payments under these guarantees would be required only if the fair value of such assets declined below their guaranteed value.

Standby Letters of Credit

At September 30, 2012, we provided guarantees to certain counterparties in the form of standby letters of credit in the amount of \$0.4 billion.

Representations and Warranties

<u>Background</u>

In prior years, Merrill Lynch and certain of its subsidiaries, including First Franklin Financial Corporation ("First Franklin"), sold pools of first-lien residential mortgage loans and home equity loans as private-label securitizations (in a limited number of these securitizations, monolines insured all or some of the securities) or in the form of whole loans. Most of the loans sold in the form of whole loans were subsequently pooled into private-label securitizations sponsored by the third-party buyer of the whole loans. In addition, Merrill Lynch and First Franklin securitized first-lien residential mortgage loans generally in the form of mortgage-backed securities guaranteed by the government sponsored enterprises (the "GSEs"). In connection with these transactions, we made various representations and warranties. Breaches of these representations and warranties may result in the requirement to repurchase mortgage loans or to otherwise make whole or provide other remedies to the GSEs, whole-loan investors, securitization trusts or monoline insurers (collectively, "repurchases"). In all such cases, Merrill Lynch would be exposed to any credit loss on the repurchased mortgage loans after accounting for any mortgage insurance or mortgage guarantee payments that it may receive.

Subject to the requirements and limitations of the applicable sales and securitization agreements, these representations and warranties can be enforced by the GSEs, the whole-loan investors, the securitization trustees, or others as governed by the applicable agreement or, in a limited number of first-lien and home equity securitizations where monoline insurers have insured all or some of the securities issued, by the monoline insurer. In the case of loans sold to parties other than the GSEs, the contractual liability to repurchase typically arises only if there is a breach of the representations and warranties that

materially and adversely affects the interest of the investor or investors in the loan or of the monoline insurer (as applicable). Contracts with the GSEs do not contain equivalent language.

For additional information about accounting for representations and warranties and our representations and warranties claims and exposures, see Note 14 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and Item 1A. "Risk Factors" in Merrill Lynch's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

We have vigorously contested any request for repurchase when we conclude that a valid basis for repurchase does not exist and will continue to do so in the future. We may reach settlements in the future if opportunities arise on terms we believe to be advantageous.

Recent Developments Related to the Bank of America BNY Mellon Settlement

As a result of Bank of America's settlement (the "BNY Mellon Settlement") with the Bank of New York Mellon, as trustee (the "Trustee") in the second quarter of 2011, Merrill Lynch determined that it had sufficient experience to record a liability of \$2.7 billion in that period related to its exposure on certain private-label securitizations. Recent levels of claims and file requests with certain counterparties have been significantly less than originally anticipated, and as a result the liability for representations and warranties was reduced by \$769 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 as a portion of the loss was no longer deemed probable.

The BNY Mellon Settlement is subject to final court approval and certain other conditions. Under an order entered by the state court in connection with the BNY Mellon Settlement, potentially interested persons had the opportunity to give notice of intent to object to the settlement (including on the basis that more information was needed) until August 30, 2011. Approximately 44 groups or entities appeared prior to the deadline; seven of those groups or entities have subsequently withdrawn from the proceeding and one motion to intervene was denied. Certain of these groups or entities filed notices of intent to object, made motions to intervene, or both filed notices of intent to object and made motions to intervene. The parties filing motions to intervene include the Attorneys General of the states of New York and Delaware; the Attorneys General's motions were granted on June 6, 2012.

Certain of the motions to intervene and/or notices of intent to object allege various purported bases for opposition to the settlement. These include challenges to the nature of the court proceeding and the lack of an opt-out mechanism, alleged conflicts of interest on the part of the institutional investor group and/or the Trustee, the inadequacy of the settlement amount and the method of allocating the settlement amount among the 525 legacy Countrywide first-lien and five second-lien non-GSE securitization trusts, while other motions do not make substantive objections but state that they need more information about the settlement. Parties who filed notices stating that they wished to obtain more information about the settlement include the Federal Housing Finance Agency.

An investor opposed to the settlement removed the proceeding to federal district court, and the federal district court denied the Trustee's motion to remand the proceeding to state court. On February 27, 2012, the U.S. Court of Appeals issued an opinion reversing the district court denial of the Trustee's motion to remand the proceeding to state court and ordered that the proceeding be remanded to state court. On April 24, 2012, a hearing was held on threshold issues, at which the court denied the objectors' motion to convert the proceeding to a plenary proceeding. Several status hearings on discovery and other case administration matters have taken place. On August 10, 2012, the court issued an order setting a schedule for discovery and other proceedings, and set May 2, 2013 as the date for the final court hearing on the settlement to begin. Bank of America and Merrill Lynch are not parties to the proceeding.

It is not currently possible to predict how many of the parties who have appeared in the court proceeding will ultimately object to the BNY Mellon Settlement, whether the objections will prevent receipt of final court approval or the ultimate outcome of the court approval process, which can include appeals and could take a substantial period of time. In particular, conduct of discovery and the resolution of the objections to the settlement and any appeals could take a substantial period of time and these factors could materially delay the timing of final court approval. Accordingly, it is not possible to predict when the court approval process will be completed.

Unresolved Repurchase Claims

Unresolved representations and warranties repurchase claims represent the notional amount of repurchase claims made by counterparties, typically the outstanding principal balance or the unpaid principal balance at the time of default. In the case of first-lien mortgages, this amount is significantly greater than the expected loss amount due to the benefit of collateral and, in some cases, mortgage insurance or mortgage guarantee payments. Claims received from a counterparty remain outstanding until the underlying loan is repurchased, the claim is rescinded by the counterparty, or the claim is otherwise resolved.

The notional amount of unresolved claims from private-label securitization trustees, whole-loan investors and others increased to \$4.3 billion at September 30, 2012 compared with \$1.1 billion at December 31, 2011. The increase in the notional amount of unresolved claims is primarily due to increases in submissions of claims by private-label securitization trustees, claim quality and the lack of an established process to resolve disputes related to these claims. We anticipated an increase in aggregate non-GSE claims at the time of the BNY Mellon Settlement in June 2011, and such increase in aggregate non-GSE claims was taken into consideration in developing the increase in our representations and warranties liability at that time. Although recent claims activity has been lower than anticipated, we expect unresolved repurchase claims related to private-label securitizations to continue to increase as claims continue to be submitted by private-label securitization trustees, and there is not an established process for the ultimate resolution of claims on which there is a disagreement. The documents governing private-label securitizations require repurchase claimants to show that a breach of representations and warranties had a material adverse impact on the claimant. We believe this to mean that the claimant is required to prove that the breach caused a loss to investors in the trust (or in certain cases, to the monoline insurer or other financial guarantor). We also believe that many of the defaults observed in private-label securitizations have been, and continue to be, driven by external factors, such as the substantial depreciation in home prices, persistently high unemployment and other negative economic trends, diminishing the likelihood that breaches of representation and warranties, where present, caused a loss.



The table below presents unresolved representations and warranties claims by counterparty at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. The unresolved repurchase claims include only claims where we believe that the counterparty has a basis to submit claims. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, we received \$0.8 billion and \$3.3 billion of new repurchase claims primarily from private-label securitization trustees.

Unresolved Repurchase Claims by Counterparty

(dollars in millions)		
	September 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
GSEs	\$ 74	\$ 65
Monoline	147	136
Whole-loan investors, private-label securitization trustees and other	4,344	1,101
Total	\$ 4,565	\$ 1,302

At September 30, 2012, the notional amount of unresolved repurchase claims was \$4,565 million. We have performed an initial review with respect to \$4,500 million of these claims and do not believe a valid basis for repurchase has been established by the claimant. We are still in the process of reviewing the remaining \$65 million of these claims. When a claim has been denied and there has not been communication with the counterparty for six months, Merrill Lynch views these claims as inactive; however, they remain in the unresolved repurchase claims balance until resolution.

In addition to the claims above, during the first quarter of 2012, we received \$1.4 billion in repurchase demands from a master servicer where we believe the claimant has not satisfied the contractual thresholds to direct the securitization trustee to take action and/or that these demands are otherwise procedurally or substantively invalid. We do not believe the \$1.4 billion in demands received are valid repurchase claims, and therefore it is not possible to predict the resolution with respect to such demands.

Cash Settlements

As presented in the table below, during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, Merrill Lynch paid \$19 million and \$48 million to resolve \$22 million and \$53 million of repurchase claims through repurchase or reimbursement to investors or securitization trusts for losses they incurred, resulting in a loss on the related loans at the time of repurchase or reimbursement of \$16 million and \$39 million. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, Merrill Lynch paid \$16 million and \$41 million to resolve \$26 million and \$51 million of repurchase claims through repurchase or reimbursement of \$11 million and \$36 million. Cash paid for loan repurchases includes the unpaid principal balance of the loan plus past due interest. The amount of loss for loan repurchases is reduced by the fair value of the underlying loan collateral. The repurchase of loans and indemnification payments related to repurchase claims generally resulted from material breaches of representations, credit exceptions without sufficient compensating factors and non-compliance with underwriting procedures. The actual representations and warranties made in a sales transaction and the resulting repurchase and indemnification or investor. A direct relationship between the type of defect that causes the breach of representations and warranties and the severity of the realized loss has not been observed.



dollars in millions

		20	012	2011						
	Three Mo Septe		onths Ended ember 30		lonths Ended ember 30	Nine Months Ended September 30				
Claims resolved ⁽¹⁾	\$	22	\$	53	\$	26	\$	51		
Repurchases	\$	4	\$	11	\$	6	\$	6		
Indemnification payments		15		37		10		35		
Total	\$	19	\$	48	\$	16	\$	41		

(1) Represents unpaid principal balance.

Liability for Representations and Warranties

The liability for representations and warranties is included in Interest and other payables on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, and the related provision is included in Non-interest expenses on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Earnings.

Our estimates of the liability for representations and warranties exposures and the corresponding range of possible loss are based on currently available information, significant judgment, and a number of other factors, which are subject to change. Changes to any one of these factors could significantly impact the estimate of the liability and could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations for any particular period. For additional information, see Note 14 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

The liability for representations and warranties exposures and the corresponding estimated range of possible loss for these representations and warranties exposures do not consider any losses related to litigation matters disclosed in Note 14 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements or in Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K, nor do they include any potential securities law or fraud claims or potential indemnity or other claims against us. We are not able to reasonably estimate the amount of any possible loss with respect to any such securities law (except to the extent reflected in the aggregate range of possible loss for litigation and regulatory matters disclosed in Note 14 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements), fraud or other claims against us; however, such loss could be material.

At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the liability for representations and warranties was \$2.0 billion and \$2.8 billion. As a result of the BNY Mellon Settlement in the second quarter of 2011, we determined that we had sufficient experience to record a liability of \$2.7 billion in that period related to our exposure on certain private-label securitizations. Recent levels of claims and file requests with certain counterparties have been significantly less than originally anticipated and, as a result, the liability for representations and warranties was reduced by \$769 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2012, as a portion of the loss was no longer deemed probable.

Estimated Range of Possible Loss

Our estimated liability at September 30, 2012 for obligations under representations and warranties is necessarily dependent on, and limited by, a number of factors, including the implied repurchase experience based on the BNY Mellon settlement, as well as certain other assumptions and judgmental factors. Accordingly, future provisions associated with obligations under representations and warranties and/or the corresponding ranges of possible loss may be materially impacted if actual experiences are different from our historical experience or our understandings, interpretations or assumptions.

We believe that our representations and warranties liability recorded as of September 30, 2012 provides for a substantial portion of our representations and warranties exposures. However, it is reasonably possible that future representations and warranties losses may occur in excess of the amounts recorded for these exposures. In addition,

we have not recorded any representations and warranties liability for certain private-label securitizations sponsored by whole-loan investors. We currently estimate that the range of possible loss for all representations and warranties exposures could be up to \$1.2 billion over accruals at September 30, 2012, an increase of \$0.7 billion from December 31, 2011. The increase in the range of possible loss was primarily attributable to the reduction in our liability for representations and warranties exposures discussed above. This estimated range of possible loss related to representations and warranties exposures does not represent a probable loss and is based on currently available information, significant judgment, and a number of assumptions, including those set forth below, that are subject to change. For additional information about the methodology used to estimate the representations and warranties liability and the corresponding range of possible loss, see Note 14 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Future provisions and/or ranges of possible loss for representations and warranties exposures may be significantly impacted if actual experiences are different from our assumptions in our predictive models, including, without limitation, those regarding the ultimate resolution of the BNY Mellon Settlement, estimated repurchase rates, economic conditions, estimated home prices, consumer and counterparty behavior, and a variety of other judgmental factors. Adverse developments with respect to one or more of the assumptions underlying the liability for representations and warranties and the corresponding estimated range of possible loss could result in significant increases to future provisions and/or this estimated range of possible loss. For example, if courts, in the context of claims brought by private-label securitization trustees, were to disagree with our interpretation that the underlying agreements require a claimant to prove that the representations and warranties breach was the cause of the loss, it could significantly impact the estimated range of possible loss.

Additionally, if court rulings related to monoline litigation, including one related to an affiliate of ours, that have allowed sampling of loan files instead of requiring a loan-by-loan review to determine if a representations and warranties breach has occurred are followed generally by the courts, private-label securitization counterparties may view litigation as a more attractive alternative as compared to a loan-by-loan review. Finally, although we believe that the representations and warranties typically given in non-GSE transactions are less rigorous and actionable than those given in GSE transactions, we do not have significant experience resolving loan-level claims in non-GSE transactions to measure the impact of these differences on the probability that a loan will be required to be repurchased.

Experience with Non-GSE Investors

As presented in the table below, Merrill Lynch, including First Franklin, sold loans originated from 2004 to 2008 (primarily subprime and alt-A) with an original principal balance of \$132 billion to investors other than the GSEs (although the GSEs are investors in certain private-label securitizations), of which approximately \$65 billion in principal has been paid off and \$45 billion has defaulted or is severely delinquent (i.e., 180 days or more past due) at September 30, 2012.

As it relates to private-label securitizations, a contractual liability to repurchase mortgage loans generally arises only if counterparties prove there is a breach of the representations and warranties that materially and adversely affects the interest of the investor or all investors in a securitization trust or of the monoline insurer (as applicable). We believe that the longer a loan performs, the less likely it is that an alleged representations and warranties breach had a material impact on the loan's performance or that a breach even exists. Because the majority of the borrowers in this population would have made a significant number of payments if they are not yet 180 days or more past due, we believe that the principal balance at the greatest risk for repurchase claims in this population of private-label securitization investors is a combination of loans that already have defaulted and those that are currently severely delinquent. Additionally, the obligation to repurchase loans also requires that counterparties have the contractual right to demand repurchase of the loans (presentation thresholds). Private-label securitization investors generally do not have the contractual right to demand repurchase of loans directly or the right to access loan files.

While we believe the agreements for private-label securitizations generally contain less rigorous representations and warranties and place higher burdens on investors seeking repurchases than the explicit provisions of the comparable agreements with the GSEs, without regard to any variations that may have arisen as a result of dealings with the



GSEs, the agreements generally include a representation that underwriting practices were prudent and customary.

The following table details the population of loans originated between 2004 and 2008 and the population of loans sold as whole loans or in non-GSE private-label securitizations by entity together with the defaulted and severely delinquent loans stratified by the number of payments the borrower made prior to default or becoming severely delinquent at September 30, 2012. In connection with these transactions, we provided representations and warranties, and the whole-loan investors may retain those rights even when the whole loans were aggregated with other collateral into private-label securitizations sponsored by the whole-loan investors. At least 25 payments have been made on approximately 60% of the defaulted and severely delinquent loans. In the current year, we have received approximately \$3.2 billion of representations and warranties claims from private-label securitization trustees related to these vintages, and approximately \$12.9 million from whole-loan investors related to these vintages. We believe that many of the defaults observed in these securitizations have been, and continue to be, driven by external factors, such as the substantial depreciation in home prices, persistently high unemployment and other negative economic trends, diminishing the likelihood that any loan defect (assuming one exists at all) was the cause of a loan's default. As of September 30, 2012, approximately 34% of the loans sold to non-GSE counterparties that were originated between 2004 and 2008 have defaulted or are severely delinquent.

(dollars in billions)

	Principal Balance											Principal at Risk							
Entity	Original Principal Balance			Outstanding Principal Balance September 30, 2012		Outstanding Principal Balance Over 180 Days		Defaulted Principal Balance		Defaulted or Severely Delinquent		Borrower Made Less than 13 Payments		Borrower Made 13 to 24 Payments		Borrower Made 25 to 36 Payments		Borrower Made More Than 36 Payments	
Merrill Lynch (excluding First Franklin)	\$	50	\$	14	\$	4	\$	13	\$	17	\$	3	\$	4	\$	3	\$	7	
First Franklin		82		18		6		22		28		5		6		4		13	
Total ⁽¹⁾	\$	132	\$	32	\$	10	\$	35	\$	45	\$	8	\$	10	\$	7	\$	20	

(1) Excludes transactions sponsored by Merrill Lynch where no representations or warranties were made.

Legal Matters

Merrill Lynch has been named as a defendant in various legal actions, including arbitrations, class actions, and other litigation arising in connection with its activities as a global diversified financial services institution. Refer to Note 14 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further information, including the estimated aggregate range of possible loss.

Derivatives

We record all derivative transactions at fair value on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. We do not monitor our exposure to derivatives based on the notional amount because that amount is not a relevant indicator of our risk to these contracts, as it is generally not indicative of the amount that we would owe on the contract. Instead, a risk framework is used to define risk tolerances and establish limits to help to ensure that certain risk-related losses occur within acceptable, predefined limits. Derivatives that meet the accounting definition of a guarantee and credit derivatives are included in Note 6 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Involvement with VIEs

We transact with VIEs in a variety of capacities, including those that we help establish as well as those initially established by third parties. We utilize VIEs in the ordinary course of business to support our own and our customers' financing and investing needs. Merrill Lynch securitizes loans and debt securities using VIEs as a source of funding and a means of transferring the economic risk of the loans or debt securities to third parties. We also administer, structure or invest in or enter into derivatives with other VIEs, including multi-seller conduits,

municipal bond trusts, collateralized debt obligations ("CDOs") and other entities. Our involvement with VIEs can vary and we are required to continuously reassess prior consolidation and disclosure conclusions (refer to Note 9 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements). Refer to Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of our consolidation accounting policy.

Contractual Obligations

We have contractual obligations to make future payments of debt, lease and other agreements. Additionally, in the normal course of business, we enter into contractual arrangements whereby we commit to future purchases of products or services from unaffiliated parties. Other obligations include our contractual funding obligations related to our employee benefit plans. See Notes 12, 14 and 15 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

In the normal course of business, we periodically guarantee the obligations of affiliates in a variety of transactions including International Swaps and Derivatives Association, Inc. ("ISDA") -related and non ISDA-related transactions such as trading, repurchase agreements, prime brokerage agreements and other transactions. We have also entered into an agreement with a non-U.S. regulator that could allow it, in its capacity as regulator, to request payments from us to support obligations to clients of the regulated non-U.S. branch. We believe the likelihood of payment under the terms of this agreement to be remote.

FUNDING AND LIQUIDITY

Funding

We fund our assets primarily with a mix of secured and unsecured liabilities through a globally coordinated funding strategy with Bank of America. We fund a portion of our trading assets with secured liabilities, including repurchase agreements, securities loaned and other short-term secured borrowings, which are less sensitive to our credit ratings due to the underlying collateral. Refer to Note 12 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding our borrowings.

Beginning late in the third quarter of 2009, in connection with the update or renewal of certain Merrill Lynch international securities offering programs, Bank of America agreed to guarantee debt securities, warrants and/or certificates issued by certain subsidiaries of ML & Co. on a going forward basis. All existing ML & Co. guarantees of securities issued by those same Merrill Lynch subsidiaries under various international securities offering programs will remain in full force and effect as long as those securities are outstanding, and Bank of America has not assumed any of those prior ML & Co. guarantees or otherwise guaranteed such securities. There were approximately \$6.6 billion of securities guaranteed by Bank of America at September 30, 2012. In addition, Bank of America has guaranteed the performance of Merrill Lynch on certain derivative transactions. The aggregate amount of such derivative liabilities was approximately \$1.3 billion at September 30, 2012.

Following the completion of Bank of America's acquisition of Merrill Lynch, ML & Co. became a subsidiary of Bank of America and established intercompany lending and borrowing arrangements to facilitate centralized liquidity management. Included in these intercompany agreements is a \$75 billion one-year revolving unsecured line of credit that allows ML & Co. to borrow funds from Bank of America at a spread to the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") that is reset periodically and is consistent with other intercompany agreements. This credit line was renewed effective January 1, 2012 with a maturity date of January 1, 2013. The credit line will automatically be extended by one year to the succeeding January 1st unless Bank of America provides written notice not to extend at least 45 days prior to the maturity date. The agreement does not contain any financial or other covenants. There were no outstanding borrowings against the line of credit at September 30, 2012.

In addition to the \$75 billion unsecured line of credit, there is also a revolving unsecured line of credit that allows ML & Co. to borrow up to \$25 billion from Bank of America. Interest on borrowings under the line of credit is based on prevailing short-term market rates. The line of credit does not contain any financial or other covenants. The line of credit matures on February 12, 2013. At September 30, 2012, there was approximately \$4.1 billion

outstanding under the line of credit.

Merrill Lynch Pierce Fenner & Smith Incorporated ("MLPF&S") also has the following borrowing agreements with Bank of America:

- A \$4 billion one-year revolving unsecured line of credit Interest on the line of credit is based on prevailing short-term market rates. The credit line matures on November 1, 2013 and may automatically be extended by one year to the succeeding November 1st unless Bank of America provides written notice not to extend at least 45 days prior to the maturity date. At September 30, 2012, there were no outstanding borrowings under the line of credit.
- A \$15 billion 364-day revolving unsecured line of credit Interest on the line of credit is based on prevailing short-term market rates. The line of credit matures on February 19, 2013. At September 30, 2012, approximately \$0.9 billion was outstanding under the line of credit.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2012, \$2.6 billion that was outstanding under the following MLPF&S borrowing agreements with Bank of America was repaid and the agreements were terminated. The terminated agreements were replaced by intercompany funding arrangements between MLPF&S and ML & Co.

- A subordinated loan agreement for approximately \$1.5 billion Interest under this agreement was calculated based on a spread to LIBOR.
- A \$7.0 billion revolving subordinated line of credit Interest under this agreement was calculated based on a spread to LIBOR.

Bank of America and Merrill Lynch have entered into certain intercompany lending and borrowing arrangements to facilitate centralized liquidity management. Included in these arrangements is a \$50 billion extendible one-year revolving credit facility that allows Bank of America to borrow funds from Merrill Lynch at a spread to LIBOR that is reset periodically and is consistent with other intercompany agreements. The credit facility matures on January 1, 2013 and will automatically be extended by one year to the succeeding January 1st unless Merrill Lynch provides written notice not to extend at least 45 days prior to the maturity date. There were no amounts outstanding at both September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 under this credit facility. There is also a short-term revolving credit facility that allows Bank of America to borrow up to an additional \$25 billion. Interest on borrowings under the credit facility is based on prevailing short-term market rates. The line of credit matures on February 12, 2013. At September 30, 2012, there were no amounts outstanding under this credit facility.

Credit Ratings

Our borrowing costs and ability to raise funds are impacted by our credit ratings. In addition, credit ratings may be important to customers or counterparties when we compete in certain markets and when we seek to engage in certain transactions, including OTC derivatives. Thus, it is our objective to maintain high-quality credit ratings.

Credit ratings and outlooks are opinions on our creditworthiness and that of our obligations or securities, including long-term debt, short-term borrowings and other securities, including asset securitizations. Following the acquisition of Merrill Lynch by Bank of America, the major credit rating agencies have indicated that the major drivers of Merrill Lynch's credit ratings are Bank of America's credit ratings. Bank of America's credit ratings are subject to ongoing review by the rating agencies, which consider a number of factors, including Bank of America's financial strength, performance, prospects and operations as well as factors not under Bank of America's control. The rating agencies could make adjustments to our ratings at any time and they provide no assurances that they will maintain our ratings at current levels.

Other factors that influence Bank of America's and our credit ratings include changes to the rating agencies' methodologies for our industry or certain security types, the rating agencies' assessment of the general operating environment for financial services companies, our mortgage exposures, our relative positions in the markets in



which we compete, reputation, liquidity position, diversity of funding sources, funding costs, the level and volatility of earnings, corporate governance and risk management policies, capital position, capital management practices, and current or future regulatory and legislative initiatives.

On October 10, 2012, Fitch Ratings ("Fitch") announced the results of its periodic review of its ratings for 12 large, complex, securities trading and universal banks, including Bank of America. As part of this action, Fitch affirmed Bank of America's and ML & Co.'s credit ratings. On June 21, 2012, Moody's Investors Service, Inc. ("Moody's") completed its previously-announced review for possible downgrade of financial institutions with global capital markets operations, downgrading the ratings of 15 banks and securities firms, including the ratings of Bank of America and ML & Co. Bank of America's and ML & Co.'s long-term debt credit ratings were downgraded one notch as part of this action. The Moody's downgrade has not had a material impact on our financial condition, results of operations or liquidity. Each of the three major rating agencies, Moody's, Standard & Poor's Ratings Services ("S&P") and Fitch, downgraded the ratings of Bank of America and ML & Co. in late 2011.

Currently, Bank of America's and ML & Co.'s long-term/short-term senior debt ratings and outlooks expressed by the rating agencies are as follows: Baa2/P-2 (negative) by Moody's; A-/A-2 (negative) by S&P; and A/F1 (stable) by Fitch. MLPF&S's long-term/short-term senior debt ratings and outlooks are A/A-1 (negative) by S&P and A/F1 (stable) by Fitch. Merrill Lynch International, a U.K.-based registered investment firm and subsidiary of ML & Co., has a long-term/short-term senior debt rating and outlook of A/A-1 (negative) by S&P. Merrill Lynch International Bank Limited, an Ireland-based bank subsidiary of ML & Co., has a long-term/short-term senior debt rating and outlook of A/F1 (stable) by Fitch.

The major rating agencies have each indicated that, as a systemically important financial institution, Bank of America's (and consequently ML & Co.'s) credit ratings currently reflect their expectation that, if necessary, Bank of America would receive significant support from the U.S. government, and that they will continue to assess such support in the context of sovereign financial strength and regulatory and legislative developments.

A further reduction in certain of our credit ratings may have a material adverse effect on our liquidity, potential loss of access to credit markets, the related cost of funds, our businesses and on certain trading revenues, particularly in those businesses where counterparty creditworthiness is critical. In addition, under the terms of certain OTC derivative contracts and other trading agreements, the counterparties to those agreements may require us to provide additional collateral, or to terminate these contracts or agreements, which could cause us to sustain losses and/or adversely impact our liquidity. If Bank of America's or ML & Co.'s short-term credit ratings, or those of our bank or broker-dealer subsidiaries, were downgraded by one or more levels, the potential loss of access to short-term funding sources, such as repurchase agreement financing, and the effect on our incremental cost of funds could be material.

At September 30, 2012, if the rating agencies had downgraded their long-term senior debt ratings for ML & Co. or certain subsidiaries by one incremental notch, the amount of additional collateral contractually required by such derivative contracts and other trading agreements would have been approximately \$0.5 billion. If the rating agencies had downgraded their long-term senior debt ratings for ML & Co. or certain subsidiaries by a second incremental notch, approximately \$4.0 billion in additional collateral would have been required.

Also, if the rating agencies had downgraded their long-term senior debt ratings for ML & Co. or certain subsidiaries by one incremental notch, the derivative liability that would be subject to unilateral termination by counterparties as of September 30, 2012 was \$2.7 billion, against which \$2.0 billion of collateral had been posted. Further, if the rating agencies had downgraded their long-term debt ratings for ML & Co. or certain subsidiaries by a second incremental notch, the derivative liability that would be subject to unilateral termination by counterparties as of September 30, 2012 was \$0, 2012 was an incremental \$1.3 billion, against which \$0.7 billion of collateral had been posted.

While certain potential impacts are contractual and quantifiable, the full scope of consequences of a credit ratings downgrade to a financial institution is inherently uncertain, as it depends upon numerous dynamic, complex and inter-related factors and assumptions, including whether any downgrade of a firm's long-term credit ratings

precipitates downgrades to its short-term credit ratings, and assumptions about the potential behaviors of various customers, investors and counterparties.

For information regarding the additional collateral and termination payments that would be required in connection with certain OTC derivative contracts and other trading agreements as a result of such a credit ratings downgrade, see Note 6 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and Item 1A. "Risk Factors" of Merrill Lynch's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

U.S. Sovereign Credit Ratings

On June 8, 2012, S&P affirmed its 'AA+' long-term and 'A-1+' short-term sovereign credit rating on the U.S. The outlook remains negative. On July 10, 2012, Fitch affirmed its 'AAA' long-term and 'F1+' short-term sovereign credit rating on the U.S. The outlook remains negative. All three rating agencies have indicated that they will continue to assess fiscal projections and consolidation measures, as well as the medium-term economic outlook for the U.S.

Credit Risk Management

For information about our credit risk management activities, refer to Item 7A, "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk - Credit Risk Management" included in our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

European Exposures

Certain European countries, including Greece, Ireland, Italy, Portugal and Spain, have experienced varying degrees of financial stress. Risks from the ongoing debt crisis in these countries could continue to disrupt the financial markets, which could have a detrimental impact on global economic conditions and sovereign and non-sovereign debt in these countries. In the third quarter of 2012, European policymakers continued to make incremental progress toward greater fiscal and monetary unity; however, fundamental issues of competitiveness, growth and fiscal solvency remain as challenges. As a result, volatility is expected to continue. We expect to continue to support client activities in the region, and our exposures may vary over time as we monitor the situation and manage our risk profile.

The table below presents our direct sovereign and non-sovereign exposures in these countries at September 30, 2012. Our total sovereign and nonsovereign exposure to these countries was \$3.7 billion at September 30, 2012 compared with \$2.7 billion at December 31, 2011. Our total exposure to these countries, net of all hedges, was \$2.3 billion at September 30, 2012 compared with \$1.1 billion at December 31, 2011. At September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the fair value of hedges and net credit default protection purchased was \$1.3 billion at \$1.6 billion, respectively.

(dollars in millions)	F	Funded Loans and Loan Equivalents		Unfunded Loan ommitments		Net Counterparty Exposure ⁽¹⁾		Securities/ Other Investments ⁽²⁾	S	Country Exposure eptember 30, 2012		Hedges and Credit Default Protection ⁽³⁾		Net Country Exposure eptember 30, 2012 ⁽⁴⁾
Country														
Greece														
Sovereign	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	3	\$	3	\$	_	\$	3
Financial														
Institutions		—		_		1				1		(14)		(13
Corporates		_		_		1		55		56		(1)		55
Total Greece	\$	—	\$	—	\$	2	\$	58	\$	60	\$	(15)	\$	45
Ireland														
Sovereign	\$	12	\$	_	\$	24	\$	6	s	42	\$	_	s	42
Financial	ŕ					21	í	0	÷	.2	~			.2
Institutions		61		12		137		18		228		(10)		218
Corporates		_		_		5		33		38		(5)		33
Total Ireland	\$	73	\$	12	\$	166	\$	57	\$	308	\$	(15)	\$	293
Italy														
Sovereign	\$	_	\$	_	\$	560	\$	739	\$	1,299	\$	(667)	\$	632
Financial Institutions		_		_		363		263		626		(5)		621
Corporates		_		_		162		210		372		(279)		93
Total Italy	\$	_	\$	_	\$	1,085	\$	1,212	\$	2,297	\$	(951)	\$	1,346
Portugal														
Sovereign	\$	_	\$	_	\$	34	\$	2	\$	36	\$	(25)	\$	11
Financial Institutions						2		33		35		(9)		27
Corporates						2		116		125		(8) (100)		27
Total						9		110		123		(100)		23
Portugal	\$	_	\$	_	\$	45	\$	151	\$	196	\$	(133)	\$	63
Spain														
Sovereign	\$	_	\$	_	\$	57	\$	297	\$	354	\$	(59)	\$	295
Financial														
Institutions		9				72		77		158		(53)		105
Corporates Total Spain	\$	8	s	21 21	\$	45 174	\$	204 578	s	278 790	S	(79) (191)	s	199 599
Total	e	17			~		-	270	•		~	()	-	
Sovereign	\$	12	\$	_	\$	675	\$	1,047	\$	1,734	\$	(751)	\$	983
Financial														
Institutions		70		12		575		391		1,048		(90)		958
Corporates		8		21		222		618		869		(464)		405
Total	\$	90	\$	33	\$	1,472	s	2,056	s	3,651	s	(1,305)	\$	2,346

(1) Net counterparty exposure includes the fair value of derivatives including counterparty risk associated with credit default protection and secured financing transactions. Derivatives have been reduced by all eligible collateral pledged under legally enforceable netting agreements. Secured financing transactions have been reduced by eligible cash or securities pledged. The notional amount of reverse repurchase transactions was \$647 million at September 30, 2012. Counterparty exposure has not been reduced by hedges or credit default protection.

(2) Long securities exposures have been netted on a single-name basis to but not below zero by hedges and short positions.

(3) Represents credit default protection purchased, net of credit default protection sold, which is used to mitigate our risk to exposures that comprise "Country Exposure" as listed, including (\$481 million) in net credit default protection purchased to hedge loans and securities and short positions, and (\$824 million) in additional credit default protection purchased to hedge derivative assets. Amounts are calculated based on the credit default protection notional amount assuming zero recovery adjusted for any fair value receivable or payable.

(4) Represents country exposure less hedges and credit default protection.

We hedge certain of our selected European country exposure with credit default protection, primarily in the form of single-name as well as index and tranche credit default swaps ("CDS"). The exposures associated with these hedges represent the amount that would be realized upon the isolated default of an individual issuer in the relevant country assuming a zero recovery rate for that individual issuer. Changes in the assumption of an isolated default can produce different results in a particular tranche.

The majority of our CDS contracts are with highly-rated financial institutions primarily outside of the Eurozone and we work to limit or eliminate correlated CDS. Due to our engagement in market-making activities, our CDS portfolio contains contracts with various maturities to a diverse set of counterparties. We work to limit mismatches in maturities between our exposures and the CDS we use to hedge them. However, there may be instances where the protection purchased has a different maturity from the exposure for which the protection was purchased, in which case those exposures and hedges are subject to more active monitoring and management.

At September 30, 2012, the gross notional amount of single-name CDS protection purchased and sold on reference assets was \$85 million and \$62 million in Greece, \$596 million and \$890 million in Ireland, \$8.3 billion and \$6.4 billion in Italy, \$997 million and \$726 million in Portugal and \$1.9 billion and \$2.2 billion in Spain. After the consideration of legally-enforceable counterparty master netting agreements, the gross notional CDS protection purchased and sold on those same reference assets at September 30, 2012 was \$47 million and \$24 million in Greece, \$548 million and \$892 million in Ireland, \$4.3 billion and \$2.4 billion in Italy, \$408 million and \$137 million in Portugal, and \$853 million and \$1.1 billion in Spain.

At September 30, 2012, the gross fair value of single-name CDS protection purchased and sold was \$15 million and \$11 million in Greece, \$107 million and \$86 million in Ireland, \$852 million and \$730 million in Italy, \$100 million and \$73 million in Portugal, and \$166 million and \$199 million in Spain. After the consideration of legally-enforceable counterparty master netting agreements, the gross fair value of CDS protection purchased and sold on those same reference assets was \$6 million and \$1 million in Greece, \$105 million and \$85 million in Ireland, \$500 million and \$379 million in Italy, \$36 million and \$8 million in Portugal, and \$63 million and \$96 million in Spain.

Losses could still result even if there is credit default protection purchased because the purchased credit protection contracts only pay out under certain scenarios and thus not all losses may be covered by the credit protection contracts. The effectiveness of our CDS protection as a hedge of these risks is influenced by a number of factors, including the contractual terms of the CDS. Generally, only the occurrence of a credit event as defined by the CDS terms (which may include, among other events, the failure to pay by, or restructuring of, the reference entity) results in a payment under the purchased credit protection contracts. The determination as to whether a credit event has occurred is made by the relevant ISDA Determination Committee (comprised of various ISDA member firms) based on the terms of the CDS and facts and circumstances for the event. Accordingly, uncertainties exist as to whether any particular strategy or policy action for addressing the European debt crisis would constitute a credit event under the CDS. A voluntary restructuring may not trigger a credit event under CDS terms and consequently may not trigger a payment under the CDS contract.

In addition to our direct sovereign and non-sovereign exposures, a significant deterioration in the European debt crisis could result in material reductions in the value of sovereign debt and other asset classes, disruptions in capital markets, widening of credit spreads of U.S. and other financial institutions, loss of investor confidence in the financial services industry, a slowdown in global economic activity and other adverse developments.

108

For additional information on the debt crisis in Europe, see Item 1A. "Risk Factors" in our 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Not required pursuant to General Instruction H(2).

Item 4. <u>Controls and</u> <u>Procedures</u>

As of the end of the period covered by this report and pursuant to Rule 13a-15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"), Merrill Lynch's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of ML & Co., conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness and design of Merrill Lynch's disclosure controls and procedures (as that term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Exchange Act). Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that Merrill Lynch's disclosure controls and procedures were effective, as of the end of the period covered by this report, in recording, processing, summarizing and reporting information required to be disclosed by ML & Co. in reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

In addition, no change in Merrill Lynch's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) occurred during the three months ended September 30, 2012 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, Merrill Lynch's internal control over financial reporting.

110

PART II - Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Legal and Regulatory Matters

See Note 14 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, which is incorporated herein by reference in this Item 1, for litigation and regulatory matters that supplement the disclosure in Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Merrill Lynch's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K and in Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Merrill Lynch's Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the quarterly periods ended March 31, 2012 and June 30, 2012.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There are no material changes from the risk factors set forth under Part I, Item 1A. "Risk Factors" in Merrill Lynch's 2011 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>

An exhibit index has been filed as part of this report and is incorporated herein by reference.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. (Registrant)

By: /s/ JENNIFER M. HILL Jennifer M. Hill Chief Financial Officer

By: /s/ ANTHONY BINIARIS

Anthony Biniaris Chief Accounting Officer and Controller

Date: November 8, 2012

112

EXHIBIT INDEX

<u>Exhibit</u>	Description						
12	Statement re: computation of ratios. ⁽¹⁾						
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of the Chief Executive Officer. ⁽¹⁾						
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of the Chief Financial Officer. ⁽¹⁾						
32.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. ⁽¹⁾						
32.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. ⁽¹⁾						
101	The following materials from Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of (Loss) Earnings, (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive (Loss) Income, (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, (iv) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (v) the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. ⁽¹⁾						
(1) Included herewith							

E-1

MERRILL LYNCH & CO., INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES COMPUTATION OF RATIOS OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES AND COMBINED FIXED CHARGES AND PREFERRED STOCK DIVIDENDS (dollars in millions)

		(,			1	
	S	Predecessor Company					
	Three Months Ended	Nine Months Ended	Year Ended	Year Ended	Year Ended	Year Ended	
	September 30, 2012 (unaudited)	September 30, 2012 (unaudited)	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010	December 31, 2009	December 26, 2008	
Pre-tax (loss) earnings (a)	\$ (1,018)	\$ (2,867)	\$ (5,446)	\$ 2,708	\$ 6,455	\$ (45,438)	
Add: Fixed charges (excluding capitalized interest and preferred security dividend requirements of subsidiaries)	1,801	5,709	9,098	9,935	12,341	29,641	
Pre-tax earnings (loss) before fixed							
charges	\$ 783	\$ 2,842	\$ 3,652	\$ 12,643	\$ 18,796	\$ (15,797)	
Fixed charges:							
Interest	\$ 1,732	. , ,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		\$ 29,349	
Other (b)	69	215	313	314	300	292	
Total fixed charges	\$ 1,801	\$ 5,709	\$ 9,098	\$ 9,935	\$ 12,341	\$ 29,641	
Preferred stock dividend requirements	_	_	_	140	141	4,356	
Total combined fixed charges and preferred stock dividends	\$ 1,801	\$ 5,709	\$ 9,098	\$ 10,075	\$ 12,482	\$ 33,997	
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges	*	*	*	1.27	1.52	*	
Ratio of earnings to combined fixed charges and preferred stock dividends	*	*	*	1.25	1.51	*	

On January 1, 2009, Merrill Lynch (the "Predecessor Company") was acquired by Bank of America through the merger of a wholly-owned subsidiary of Bank of America with and into ML & Co. (the "Successor Company") continuing as the surviving corporation and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Bank of America. The Predecessor Company and Successor Company periods have been separated by a vertical line above to highlight the fact that the financial information for such periods has been prepared under two different cost bases of accounting.

(a) Excludes undistributed earnings (loss) from equity investments and earnings from discontinued operations.(b) Other fixed charges consist of the interest factor in rentals, amortization of debt issuance costs and preferred security dividend requirements of subsidiaries.

*The earnings for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and for the years 2011 and 2008 were inadequate to cover total fixed charges and total fixed charges and preferred stock dividends.

The coverage deficiencies for total fixed charges for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and for the years 2011 and 2008 were \$1,018 million, \$2,867 million, \$5,446 million and \$45,438 million, respectively.

The coverage deficiencies for total fixed charges and preferred stock dividends for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and for the years 2011 and 2008 were \$1,018 million, \$2,867 million, \$5,446 million and \$49,794 million, respectively.

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002 FOR THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

I, Thomas K. Montag, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012 of Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc.;
- Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 8, 2012

/s/ Thomas K. Montag

Thomas K. Montag Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002 FOR THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

I, Jennifer M. Hill, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012 of Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: November 8, 2012

/s/ Jennifer M. Hill

Jennifer M. Hill Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012 of Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. (the "registrant") as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Thomas K. Montag, Chief Executive Officer of the registrant, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the registrant.

Date: November 8, 2012

/s/ THOMAS K. MONTAG

Thomas K. Montag Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012 of Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. (the "registrant") as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Jennifer M. Hill, Chief Financial Officer of the registrant, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the registrant.

Date: November 8, 2012

/s/ Jennifer M. Hill

Jennifer M. Hill Chief Financial Officer